

भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 22]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, मई 29, 1971/ज्येष्ठ 8, 1893

No. 22]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MAY 29, 1971 JYAISTHA 8, 1893

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किये गए विधिक प्रादेश और अधिसूचनाएँ

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

CABINET SECRETARIAT

(Department of Personnel)

New Delhi, the 17th May, 1971

S.O. 2086.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 492 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (5 of 1898), the Central Government hereby appoints Sarvashri K. J. Khandalwala and B. A. Pandya, Advocates of Bombay, as Public Prosecutors to conduct before the court of the 16th Presidency Magistrate, Ballard Estates, Bombay, Karwar conspiracy case No. CW/2/71.

[No. 421/9/71-AVD.IV.]

K. L. RAMACHANDRAN, Under Secy.

संविमंडल सचिवालय

(कार्मिक विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 17 मई, 1971

का० प्रा० 2086.—खण्ड प्रक्रिया संहिता 1898 (1898 का 5) की धारा 492 की उपधारा () द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, बम्बई के एडवोकेटों, सर्वश्री के० जे० खन्नेलवाल और श्री० ए० पाण्ड्या को प्रेसीडेंसी मजिस्ट्रेट 16-बैलर्ड एस्टेट, बम्बई के न्यायालय में (2995)

“करवार कन्सपिरेसी कैं” संख्या सी० डब्ल्यू०/2/71, को रैखी करने के लिए एाद्वारा लोक-अभि-
योजक नियुक्त करती है ।

[संख्या 421/9/71-ए० वी० डी०-4]

के० एल० रामाचन्द्रन, अवर सचिव ।

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 18th May 1971

S.O. 2087.—In the notification No. LI(34)54, dated 21st April, 1966, issued by this office the 2nd line of the first para of the schedule may please be read as under:—

Instead of	read as
Khasra No. 1359/1280 and 1360/1280 Kh situated in Southern Ridge Estate.	Nos. 229, min. & 78 min. Jhande-wala Estate, 1359/1280 min. Southern Ridge Estate and 2073/1443/1146 in Nalwala Estate.

[No. L-1(34)54.]

M. L. MONGIA, Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 17th May 1971

S.O. 2088 —Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 7th May, 1971

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up	5,00,00,000	Notes	10,16,08,000
Reserve Fund	150,00,00,000	Rupee Coin	2,32,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	172,00,00,000	Small Coin	3,82,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	37,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	95,02,00,000	(a) Internal	15,02,14,000
		(b) External	
		(c) Government Treasury Bills	18,45,26,000
		Balances Held Abroad*	69,77,31,000
		Investments**	57,91,24,000
		Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Central Government	
		(ii) State Governments	336,17,46,000
Deposits :—		Loans and Advances to :—	
(a) Government :—		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks †	205,45,42,000
(i) Central Government	103,93,20,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks ††	238,79,50,000
		(iii) Others	4,72,95,000

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
(a) State Governments	4,25,35,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	
(b) Banks—		(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	192,71,18,000	(i) State Governments	41,90,71,000
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	9,40,11,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks	20,12,71,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	79,54,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks
(iv) Other Banks	33,22,000	(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	9,59,42,000
		Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	3,89,73,000
(a) Others	72,03,27,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	
Bills Payable	58,83,26,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	31,85,72,000
Other Liabilities	212,53,55,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank
		Other Assets	49,90,89,000
	Rupees 1113,82,68,000		Rupees 1113,82,68,000

* Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

** Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@ Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

† Includes Rs. 102,72,22,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

†† Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 12th day of May 1971.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 7th day of May, 1971

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	10,16,08,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in circulation	4401,15,78,000		(a) Held in India	182,53,11,000	
Total Notes issued		4411,31,86,000	(b) Held outside India	
			Foreign Securities	303,42,00,000	
			TOTAL		485,95,11,000
			Rupee Coin		42,45,93,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		3882,90,82,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper
TOTAL LIABILITIES		4411,31,86,000	TOTAL ASSETS		4411,31,86,000

Dated the 12th day of May, 1971

(Sd.) S. JAGANNATHAN,
Governor

(No. F 3(3)-BC/71.)
K. YESURATNAM, Under Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय
(वैकिक विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 17 मई, 1971

एत० प्रो० 2088.— 7 मई 1971 को रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के बैंकिंग विभाग कार्यकलाप का विवरण ।

देयताएं	रुपये	प्रास्तियां	रुपये
बुद्धि	.	5,00,00,000	10,16,08,000
प्रारंभित निधि	.	रुपये का सिक्का	2,32,000
राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण	.	छोटा सिक्का	3,82,000
(दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि	.	खरीदे और मुताये गये बिलः—	
राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण	.	(क) देवी	15,02,14,000
(स्वीकृत) निधि	.	(ख) विदेशी	..
राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण	.	(ग) सरकारी खजाना बिल	18,45,26,000
(दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि	.	विदेशों में रखा हुआ बकाया*	69,77,31,000
जमा राशियां :—	.	निवेश**	57,91,24,000
(क) सरकारी	.	ऋण और प्रभिम :—	
(i) केन्द्रीय सरकार	.	(i) कन्द्रीय सरकार को	..
(ii) राज्य सरकारें	.	(ii) राज्य सरकारों को @	336,17,46,000
(ख) बैंक	.	ऋण और प्रभिम :—	
(i) अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंक	.	(i) अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को†	205,45,42,000
(ii) अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक	.	(ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को†	238,79,50,000
	.	(iii) दूसरों को	4,72,95,000
	.	राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से	
	.	ऋण, प्रभिम और निवेश	

(क) ऋण और अग्रिम :—			
(iii) गैर अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक			
(i) राज्य सरकारों को			41,90,71,000
(ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को	79,54,000		20,12,71,000
(iii) केन्द्रीय भूमिबन्धक बैंकों को			..
(ख) केन्द्रीय भूमिबन्धक बैंकों के डिबेंचरों में निवेश			9,59,42,000
(iv) ग्रन्थ बैंक	33,22,000		
(ग) ग्रन्थ	72,03,27,000		3,89,73,000
रेय बिल	58,83,26,000	राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से ऋण, अग्रिम और निवेश :—	
ग्रन्थ देयताएं	212,53,55,000	(क) विकास बैंक को ऋण और अग्रिम	31,85,72,000
		(ख) विकास बैंक द्वारा जारी किये गये बांडों/ डिबेंचरों में निवेश	..
		अन्य आस्तियां	49,90,89,000
	रुपये	रुपये	1113,82,68,000

* नकदी आवधिक जमा और अल्पकालीन प्रतिभूतियां शामिल हैं।

** राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि और राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि में से किए गए निवेश शामिल नहीं हैं।

① राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं, परन्तु राज्य सरकारों के अस्थायी ओवरड्राफ्ट शामिल हैं।

+ रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया अधिनियम की धारा 17 (4) (ग) के अधीन अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को मीयादी बिलों पर अग्रिम दिये गये 102,72,22,000 रुपये शामिल हैं।

† राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि और राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं।

तारीख 12 मई, 1971

रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया
रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया अधिनियम, 1934 के अनुसरण में मई, 1971 की 7 तारीख को समाप्त हुए सप्ताह के लिए लेखा
इशू विभाग

देयताएं	रुपये	आस्तियां	रुपये	रुपये
बैंकिंग विभाग में रखे हुए				
नोट	10,16,08,000	सोने का सिक्का और बुलियन :—		
संचयन में नोट	4401,15,78,000	(क) भारत में रखा हुआ	182,53,11,000	
		(ख) भारत के बाहर रखा हुआ	..	
भारी किए गये कुल नोट		विदेशी प्रतिभूतियां	303,42,00,000	
		जोड़		485,95,11,000
		रुपये का सिक्का		12,45,93,000
		भारत सरकार की रुपया		
		प्रतिभूतियां		3882,90,82,000
		देशी विनिमय बिल और		
		दूसरे वाणिज्य पत्र		..
कुल देयताएं	4411,31,86,000	कुल आस्तियां		4411,31,86,000

तारीख 12 मई, 1971

(ह०) एस० जगन्नाथन,

महानिर्देशक

[संख्या एफ० 3(3)-बी० सी०/71]

के० यमुनलाल, अवर सचिव ।

(Department of Expenditure)

New Delhi, the 6th May 1971

S.O. 2089.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309, and clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution and of all other powers enabling him in this behalf and after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor-General in relation to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department, the President hereby makes the following regulations further to amend the Civil Service Regulations, namely:—

1. (1) These Regulations may be called the Civil Service (Amendment) Regulations, 1971.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Civil Service Regulations:—

(a) in Article 525, the following shall be inserted at the end, namely:—

“His pension for service in the Civil Department will not be affected by his military pension.”;

(b) in Article 529, the Note shall be omitted.

3. (1) Where a military pensioner on his employment in a qualifying service in a Civil Department had elected to retain his military pension and had retired from civil service on or after the 27th March, 1967, the date when Article 529 of the Civil Service Regulations was amended by the insertion of a Note thereto,—

(a) the amount of the Civil pension sanctioned to him, if it had been restricted under Article 530 of the Civil Service Regulations, shall be reviewed, or

(b) a separate pension for his civil service, if it had not been settled before the commencement of the Civil Service (Amendment) Regulations, 1971, shall be settled, as if the Note to Article 529 of the Civil Service Regulations had not been in force at the relevant date.

2. Any pension reviewed or settled under sub-clause (a), or, as the case may be, under sub-clause (b), of clause (1) shall take effect from the date the military pensioner retired from civil service.

[No. 3(2)-EV(A)/71.]

New Delhi, the 7th May 1971

S.O. 2090.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the General Provident Fund (Central Services) Rules, 1960, namely:—

1. (1) These Rules may be called General Provident Fund (Central Services) Amendment Rules, 1971.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the General Provident Fund (Central Services) Rules, 1960, in the Fifth Schedule, in paragraph 2, after the entry “Chief Soil Survey Officer, All India Soil and Land Use Survey”, the following entry shall be inserted namely:—

“Deputy Assistant Director General (M.S.) and Depot Managers of the Government Medical Store Depots functioning as Heads of Depots.”

[No. F. 37(1)-E.V/71.]

R. P. SAKSENA, Under Secy.

शिक्षा तथा समाज कल्याण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1971

पूर्व अक्षयनिधि अधिनियम 1890 के मामले में

और

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक कल्याण प्रतिष्ठान, नई दिल्ली के मामले में

एस० ओ० 1518—भारत सरकार, शिक्षा मंत्रालय की तारीख 29 अप्रैल, 1967 की अधिसूचना संख्या एस० ओ० 1485 द्वारा संशोधित और तारीख 26 फरवरी, 1969 की अधिसूचना संख्या एस० ओ० 916 के आशोधन द्वारा तारीख 25 जून 1962 की अधिसूचना संख्या एस० ओ० 1955 की अनुसूची "ख" के पैरा 3 के अनुसरण में राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक कल्याण प्रतिष्ठान की महासमिति के अध्यक्ष के रूप में भारत सरकार के शिक्षा तथा समाज कल्याण मंत्री, श्री सिद्धार्थ शंकर रे की नियुक्ति को एतद्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाता है।

[संख्या एक० 8-34/71 एन० एस० 4]

न० रा० जयरामन, संयुक्त सचिव।

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P. & T. Board)

New Delhi, the 19th May, 1971

S.O. 2091.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director-General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 1st July, 1971 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in BHANDARA Telephone Exchange, Maharashtra Circle.

[No. 5-29/71-PHB(2).]

संचार विभाग

(डाक-तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 19 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2091—स्थायी आदेश क्रम संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गए 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने भंडारा टैलीफोन केन्द्र में 1-7-71 से प्रस्तापित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संख्या 5-29/71-पी० एच० बी० (2).]

New Delhi, the 21st May 1971

S.O. 2092.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627, dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 16th June 1971 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Kurseong Telephone Exchange, West Bengal Circle.

[No. 5-31/71-PHB(2).]

HARKISHAN SINGH,

Asstt. Director General (PHB).

नई दिल्ली, 21 मई, 1971

स्थायी आदेश 2092.—स्थायी आदेश क्रम संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गए 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने कुरसिब्रॉग टेलीफोन केन्द्र में 16-6-71 से प्रम.पित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[नं० 5-31/71-पी०एच०बी० (2)]

हर्किशान सिंह,

सहायक महानिदेशक, (पी० एच० बी०)।

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 7th May 1971

S.O. 2093.—Whereas the Central Government has, in pursuance of the provisions of clause (e) of sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) nominated Dr. J. B. Srivastav, M.D., D.B. (London) F.A.M.S., Director General of Health Services, New Delhi, to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 21st March, 1971 *vice* Dr. Vasant S. Ranadive deceased.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health Notification No. F. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely :—

In the said Notification under heading "nominated under clause (e) of Sub-section (1) of Section 3", for the entry against serial No. 8, the following entry may be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. J. B. Srivastav, M.D., D.B. (London), F.A.M.S." Director General of Health Services, New Delhi.

[No. F.12-72/70-MPT.]

M. C. MISRA, Dy. Secy.

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार नियोजन मंत्रालय

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 7 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2093.—यतः भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (ङ) का अनुसरण करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार 21 मार्च, 1971 से स्वर्गीय डा० वसन्त एस० रणदिवे के स्थान पर डा० जे० बी० श्रीवास्तव, एम० डी० डी० बी० (लन्दन) एफ० ए० एम० एस०, स्वास्थ्य सेवाओं के महानिदेशक, नई दिल्ली को भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद् का सदस्य मनोनीत करती है।

अब, अतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अनुबन्धों का पालन करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय के 9 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या एफ 5-13/59-एम-1 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है; नामतः :

उक्त अधिसूचना में “धारा (3) की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ऊ) के अधीन मनोनीत” शीर्षक में प्रविष्टि सख्या 8 के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि कर दी जाय—नामत:

“डा० जे० बी० श्रीवास्तव,
एम० डी० डी० बी० (लन्दन)
एफ० ए० एम० एस०,

स्वास्थ्य सेवाओं के महानिदेशक, नई दिल्ली ।

[प० स० 12-72/70-एम०पी०टी०]

महेशचन्द्र मिश्र, उपसचिव ।

(Department of Health)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 13th April 1971

S.O. 2094.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health No. F. 16-52/62-MI (MPT), dated the 14th June, 1963, the Central Government has directed that the Medical qualification, “Doctor of Medicine” granted by Yale University, School of Medicine, Connecticut, United States of America shall be recognised medical qualification for the purposes of the Indian Medical Council Act 1956 (102 of 1956);

And whereas Dr. James A. Stringham who possesses the said qualification is for the time being attached to the Nur Manzil Psychiatric Centre, Lal Bagh, Lucknow for the purposes of charitable work;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (c) of the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the said Act, and in continuation of the order of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health, Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development No. 19-43/68-M.P.T. dated the 16th February, 1968, the Central Government hereby specifies

- (i) a further period of two years with effect from the date of expiry of the period specified in the said order, or
- (ii) the period during which Dr. James A. Stringham, is attached to the said Nur Manzil Psychiatric Centre, Lal Bagh, Lucknow, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice by the aforesaid doctor shall be limited.

[No. F. 19-43/68-M.P.T.]

P. C. ARORA, Under Secy.

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 1971

एस० ओ० 2094—यतः भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 14 जून, 1963 की अधिसूचना संख्या एफ० 16-52/62 चि० (एम० पी० टी०) द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने नदेश दिया है कि भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) के प्रयोजनों के लिए डाक्टर आफ मेडिसिन लि विश्वविद्यालय स्कूल आफ मेडिसिन, कनेक्टिकट, संयुक्त राज्य अमरीका द्वारा प्रदत्त चिकित्सा अर्हता मान्य चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी ;

और यतः डा० जैम्स ए० स्ट्रिंघम जिसके पास उक्त अर्हता है और फिलहाल धर्मार्थ कार्यों के प्रयोजनों के लिए नूर मंजिल मनश्चिकित्सा केन्द्र लाल बाग, लखनऊ, में काम कर रहे हैं ।

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 14 की उपधारा (1) के परंतुक के खण्ड (ग) का तथा भूतपूर्व स्वास्थ्य, परिवार नियोजना एवं निर्माण, आवास एवं नगर विकास मंत्रालय के 16 फरवरी, 1968 के आदेश संख्या 19-43/68-एम० पी० टी० के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि :

- (1) उक्त आदेश में विनिर्दिष्ट अवधि की समाप्त तिथि से आगे की दो वर्ष की अवधि के लिए

अथवा

- (2) उस अवधि को जब तक डा० जैम्स ए० स्ट्रुथंस उक्त नूर मंजिल मनश्चिकित्सा, केन्द्र लाल बाग, लखनऊ काम करते रहे में से, जो भी कम हो, के दौरान, वह डाक्टर मेडिकल प्रैक्टिस कर सकेंगे ।

[संख्या 19-43/68-एम० पी० टी०]

पी० सी० अरोरा, अव्वर सचिव ।

ISPAT AUR KHAN MANTRAYALA

(Khan Vibhag)

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 17th May 1971

S.O. 2095.—In the Notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals and Mines & Metals (Department of Mines & Metals) No. S.O. 341, dated the 29th December, 1970, published at pages 462 and 463 in part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India, dated the 16th January, 1971,—

at page 462:

- (i) in line 38, for "329/(P), 3296(P)", read "3291(P), 3295(P), 3296(P)",
- (ii) in line 46, for "890(P), 891(P)", read "890, 891(P), 892(P), 893(P)",
- (iii) in line 47, for "905 to 713", read "905 to 913", for "931 to 935", read "921 to 935",
- (iv) in line 48, for "940(P)", read "949",
- (v) in line 49, for "969(P)", read "968(P)"; and

at page 463:

- (i) in line 8, for "3291, 3350, 3348", read "3291, 3290, 3250, 3348";
- (ii) in line 11, for "955, 973, 970", read "955, 972, 970".

[No. F. C3-2(2)/70.]

K. SUBRAHMANYAN, Under Secy.

पेट्रोलियम और रसायन तथा खान और धातु मंत्रालय

(खान और धातु विभाग)

शुद्धि-पत्र

नई दिल्ली, 17 मई, 1971

का० ग्रा० 2095.—भारत के राजपत्र के भाग II, खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii), तारीख 16 जनवरी, 1971 के पृष्ठ संख्या 463, 464 और 465 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और रसायन तथा खान और धातु मंत्रालय (खान और धातु विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० ग्रा० 341, तारीख 29 दिसम्बर, 1970 में—

पृष्ठ संख्या 463—

पंक्ति संख्या 40 में “धनकलला” के लिए “धेतकनाल” पढ़ा जाए;

पृष्ठ संख्या 464—

पंक्ति संख्या 22 में “3328 (पी)” के लिए “3348 (पी)” और “3360(पी)” के लिए “3350 (पी)” पढ़ा जाए ;

पंक्ति संख्या 31 में “337 (पी) से 940” के लिए “337 से 940” और “942 (पी), 913 (पी), 947 (पी)” के लिए क्रमशः “942 (पी), 943 (पी), 947 (पी)” पढ़ा जाए;

पृष्ठ संख्या 465—

पंक्ति संख्या 9 में “प्लॉट संख्या 3305 के पूर्वी सीमा के साथ-साथ” के लिए “प्लॉट संख्या 3305 के उत्तरी सीमा के साथ-साथ ” पढ़ा जाए ।

[सं० कोयला 3-2(2)/70]

के० सुब्रह्मण्यन, अपर सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT

(Transport Wing)

New Delhi, the 17th May 1971

S.O. 2096.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 63A of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 (4 of 1939), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Kewal Krishan, Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (Building and Roads, Patiala), Punjab, as a member of the Inter-State Transport Commission, vice Shri K. M. Kantawala, retired and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Shipping and Transport No. S.O. 1818, dated the 11th April, 1969, namely:—

In the notification, for item (4), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“(4)—Shri Kewal Krishan, Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (Building and Roads, Patiala), Punjab.”

[No. 23-T(11)/67.]

N. A. A. NARAYANAN, Under Secy.

पोतपरिवहन तथा परिवहन मंत्रालय

(परिवहन स्तंभ)

नयी दिल्ली 17 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2093.—मोटर गाड़ी अधिनियम, 1939 (1939 का 4) की धारा 63 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री केवल कृष्ण, मुख्य इंजीनियर, लोक निर्माण विभाग (भवन और सड़क) पटियाला पंजाब, को श्री के०एम० कांटेवाला, सेवा निवृत्त के स्थान पर नियुक्त करती है, और भारत सरकार के पोतपरिवहन और परिवहन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1618 तारीख 11 अप्रैल, 1969 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अधिसूचना में मद (4) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित मद प्रतिस्थापित की जायेगी, अर्थात् :—

“(4) श्री केवल कृष्ण, मुख्य इंजीनियर,

लोक निर्माण विभाग (भवन और सड़क, पटियाला)

पंजाब ।

[सं० 23 टी०(11)/67]

एन० ए० ए० नारायणन, अवर सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 12th May, 1971

S.O. 2097.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 9 read with sub-rule (2) of rule 9 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby re-appoints Smt. Ammu Swaminathan after consultation with the Central Board of Film Censors, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Madras with immediate effect to 30th June, 1971.

[No. F. 11/2/71-FC.]

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 12 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2097.—चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5(1) और चलचित्र (सेंसर) नियमावली, 1958 के नियम 9 के उपनियम (2) तथा नियम 8 के उप-नियम (3) के साथ पठित नियम 9 के उप-नियम (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने केन्द्रीय फिल्म सेंसर बोर्ड से परामर्श करने के पश्चात्, एतद्वारा श्रीमती अम्मु स्वामीनाथन की तत्काल से 30 जून, 1971 तक, उक्त बोर्ड के मद्रास सलाहकार पैनल का फिर से सदस्य नियुक्त किया है ।

[संख्या 11/2/71-एफ०सी०]

S.O. 2098.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 3 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby re-appoints following persons as members of the Advisory Panel of the Central Board of Film Censors at Calcutta with immediate effect to 30th June, 1971.

1. Shri Anant Mahapatra.

2. Shri Kshitish Roy.

[No. 11/4/71-एफ०सी०]

एस० ओ० 2098.—चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5 (1) और चलचित्र (सेंसर) नियमावली, 1958 के नियम 9 के उप-नियम (2) के साथ पठित नियम 8 के उप-नियम (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने एतद्वारा निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को तत्काल से 30 जून, 1971 तक, केन्द्रीय फिल्म सेंसर बोर्ड के कलकत्ता सलाहकार पैनल का फिर से सदस्य नियुक्त किया है :—

1. श्री अनन्त महापात्र
2. श्री खितिश राय

[संख्या 11/4/71-एफ० (सी०)]

New Delhi, the 17th May 1971

S.O. 2099.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 6 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952), the Central Government hereby directs that the film entitled "The Kremlin Letter" which was granted a 'A' certificate No. 3046 dated March 10, 1971 by the Board of Film Censors shall be deemed to be an uncertified film in the whole of India with effect from the date of issue of this Notification.

[No. 9/5/71-F(C).]

VIRENDRA D. VYAS, Director.

नई दिल्ली, 17 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2099.—चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) की धारा 6 की उप-धारा 2 की क्लाज (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार यह निदेश देती है कि "दि क्रिम्लिन लैटर" नामक फिल्म, जिसे फिल्म सेंसर बोर्ड द्वारा 'ए' प्रमाण-पत्र संख्या 3046 तारीख 10 मार्च, 1971 प्रदान किया गया था, को इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने की तारीख से पूरे भारत में अप्रमाणित फिल्म समझा जाएगा।

[सं० 9/5/7-एफ० (सी०)]

वीरेन्द्र देव व्यास, निदेशक।

MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE

New Delhi, the 11th March, 1971

S.O. 2100.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 9 of the Tea Act, 1953 (29 of 1953), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. B. Kudaisya of the U.P. Civil Service and lately an officer with the Delhi Municipal Corporation, as Special Officer for North West India, Tea Board, New Delhi with effect from the forenoon of the 1st July, 1970.

[No. 1(1)-Plant(A)/70.F]

A. K. MISRA, Dy. Director.

विदेश व्यापार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 11 मार्च, 1971.

का० प्रा० 2100.—चाय अधिनियम, 1953 (1953 का 29) की धारा 9 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उत्तर प्रदेश सिविल सेवा तथा दिल्ली नगर निगम के भूतपूर्व अधिकारी श्री पी० बी० कुदेसिया को 1 जलाई, 1970

के पूर्वाह्न से उत्तर-पश्चिम भारत के लिये विशेष अधिकारी, चाय बोर्ड, नई दिल्ली, के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० 1 (1)-प्लांट (ए)/70]

ए० के० मिश्र, उप-निदेशक।

New Delhi, the 18th Mau. 1971

S.O. 2101.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (10 of 1955), the Central Government hereby makes the following Order further to amend the Art Silk Textiles (Production and Distribution), Control Order 1962 namely:—

1. (1) This Order may be called the Art Silk Textiles (Production and Distribution) Control (Amendment) Order, 1970.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

2. In clause 3 of the Art Silk Textiles (Production and Distribution) Control Order, 1962, in sub-clause (3)—

(i) in the proviso, for the words "Provided that", the words "Provided also that" shall be substituted;

(ii) before the proviso, as so amended, the following provisos and Note shall be inserted, namely:—

"Provided that in relation to the State of Jammu and Kashmir, the owner of every spindle producing art silk yarn shall, within a period of one hundred days from the commencement of the Art Silk Textiles (Production and Distribution) Control (Amendment) Order, 1970, apply to the Textile Commissioner for the grant of permission for working each such spindle :

Provided further that where any such owner applies for the grant of permission after the expiry of the period specified above, the Textile Commissioner may, if he is satisfied that such person had sufficient cause for the delay in making the application, may, after making such enquiries as he may consider necessary and on payment of a late fee of rupees two per spindle, grant such permission.

NOTE.—The late fee of rupees two per spindle mentioned above is payable in any Government Treasury situated in the State of Jammu and Kashmir under the head "T-Remittances—Exchange Account between Dy. Director of Audit (F.R.C.S.C.M.) Bombay and A.G. (Jammu and Kashmir) XXIX—Industries—Misc. Receipts of the Textile Commissioner, Government of India. Bombay—Adjustable in the book of D. A. G. C. W. M.—Bombay. The receipted Treasury challan evidencing such payment shall accompany the application.

[No. 2(22)-Tex(F)/70.]

B. D. KUMAR, Jt. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2101.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, आवश्यक वस्तु अधिनियम, 1955 (1955 का 10) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, आर्टसिल्क वस्त्र (उत्पादन और वितरण) नियंत्रण आदेश, 1962 में और आगे संशोधन करने के लिए, एतद् द्वारा निम्नलिखित आदेश करती है, अर्थात्:—

1. (1) इस आदेश का नाम आर्टसिल्क वस्त्र (उत्पादन और वितरण) नियंत्रण (संशोधन) आदेश, 1970 होगा।

(2) यह तुरन्त प्रवृत्त होगा।

2. आर्ट सिल्क वस्त्र (उत्पादन और वितरण) नियंत्रण आदेश, 1962 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (3) में :—

- (i) परन्तु में “परन्तु” शब्द के लिए “परन्तु यह और भी कि” शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएंगे
- (ii) इस प्रकार संशोधित परन्तु से पहले, निम्नलिखित परन्तु और टिप्पण अन्तः स्थापित किया जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“परन्तु जम्मू और कश्मीर राज्य के सम्बन्ध में, आर्ट सिल्क धागे का उत्पादन करने वाले प्रत्येक तकुले का स्वामी, आर्ट सिल्क वस्त्र (उत्पादन और वितरण) नियंत्रण (संशोधन) आदेश, 1970 के प्रारम्भ होने से 100 दिन की अवधि के भीतर वस्त्र आयुक्त को ऐसे प्रत्येक तकुले को काम में लाने की अनुज्ञा प्रदान करने के लिए आवेदन करेगा :

परन्तु यह और कि जहां ऐसा कोई स्वामी, उपर्युक्त विनिर्दिष्ट अवधि की समाप्ति के पश्चात् अनुज्ञा के लिए आवेदन करता है वहां यदि वस्त्र आयुक्त का समाधान हो जाए कि ऐसे व्यक्ति के आवेदन देर से भेजने का पर्याप्त कारण रहा है, तो वह ऐसी जांच करने के पश्चात् जैसी वह आवश्यक समझे और दो रुपये प्रति तकुले के हिसाब से विलम्ब फीस दिए जाने पर, ऐसी अनुज्ञा प्रदान कर सकेगा।”

टिप्पण :—उपर्युक्त दो रुपये प्रति तकुले के हिसाब से विलम्ब, फीस जम्मू और कश्मीर राज्य के अन्दर स्थित किसी भी सरकारी कोष में “न-विप्रेषण संपरीक्षा उप-निदेशक एफ० आर० एस० सी० एस एम०) मुम्बई और महा-लेखा पाल (जम्मू और कश्मीर) के बीच विनिमय लेखा 39-उद्योग-प्रकीर्ण, वस्त्र आयुक्त, मुम्बई, भारत सरकार की प्राप्ति—डी० ए० जी० सी० डब्लू० एम०—मुम्बई के खाते में समायोज्य शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत संदेय होगी। रसीदी खजाना चालान जो ऐसे संदाय के साक्ष्य के रूप में होंगे आवेदन के साथ भेजेंगे।”

[संख्या 2(22) टैक्स० (एफ०)—70]

बी० डी० कुमार, संयुक्त सचिव।

(Office of the Joint Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)
(Central Licensing Area)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 26th February 1971

S.O. 2102.—M/s. Japan Bottle House, 312 Phatak Habash Khan, Delhi were granted an Established Importers Licence No. P/E/0188545/C/XX/35/D/29-30, dated 9th June 1970 for Rs. 1,000 for import of Drugs & Medicines pertaining to A.M. 70 licensing period. They have applied for the duplicate Exchange Control Copy of the said licence on the ground that the original has been lost or misplaced. It is, further stated by the firm that the original Exchange Control Copy of the licence has not been utilised.

In support of this declaration, the applicant has filed an affidavit duly attested by a Notary Public stating that the original Exchange Control Copy of the licence has been lost or misplaced.

I am satisfied that the original Exchange Control Copy of the said licence has been lost/misplaced and direct that a duplicate Exchange Control Copy of Licence No. P/E/0188545/C, dated 9th June 1970 should be issued to the applicant. The original Exchange Control Copy of the licence is hereby cancelled.

[No. F. DM/61/AM.70/QL/CLA.]

(संयुक्त मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

(केन्द्रीय लाइसेंस क्षेत्र)

आवेदक

नई दिल्ली, 26 फरवरी, 1971

एस० प्रो० 2102 सर्वश्री जापान बोतल हाउस, 312, फाटक हबाश खान, दिल्ली-6 को अप्रैल-मार्च, 1970 लाइसेंस अवधि के लिए भेषज तथा दवाइयों के आयात के लिए 1000 रुपये का एक संस्थापित आयात लाइसेंस संख्या पी/ई/0188545/सी/एक्सएक्स/35/डी/29-30, दिनांक 9-6-1970 स्वीकृत किया गया था। उन्होंने उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है अथवा अस्थानस्थ हो गई है। फर्म द्वारा आगे यह बताया गया है कि लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति का उपयोग नहीं किया गया है।

उपर्युक्त घोषणा के समर्थन में आवेदक ने पब्लिक नोटरी द्वारा विधिवत् साह्यांकित एक शपथ पत्र यह बताते हुए जमा किया है कि उक्त लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है अथवा अस्थानस्थ हो गई है।

मैं इससे संतुष्ट हूँ कि उक्त लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है/अस्थानस्थ हो गई है और निदेश देता हूँ कि आवेदक को लाइसेंस संख्या पी/ई/0188545/सी, दिनांक 9-6-1970 की अनुलिपि मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति जारी की जानी चाहिए। लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति एतद्वारा रद्द की जाती है।

[संख्या डी०एम०/61/ए०एम० 70/क्यू एल०/सी०एल०ए०]

S.O. 2103.—M/s, Japan Bottle House, 312 Phatak Habash Khan, Delhi-6, were granted an Established Importers Licence No. P/E/0189500/C/XX/36/D/31-32, dated 30th July 1970, for Rs. 1,000 for import of Drugs and Medicines pertaining to April—March, 1971 licensing period. They have applied for the duplicate Exchange Control Copy of the said licence on the ground that the original has been lost or misplaced. It is, further stated by the firm that the original Exchange Control Copy of the licence has not been utilised.

In support of this declaration, the applicant has filed an affidavit duly attested by a Notary Public stating that the original Exchange Control Copy of the licence has been lost or misplaced.

I am satisfied that the original Exchange Control Copy of the said licence has been lost/misplaced and direct that a duplicate Exchange Control Copy of the Licence No. P/E/0189500/C/XX/36/D/31-32, should be issued to the applicant. The original Exchange Control Copy of the licence is hereby cancelled.

[No. F. DM/20/AM.71/QL/CLA.]

R. L. VARMA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports,
For Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

एस० प्रो० 2103.—सर्वश्री जापान बोतल हाउस, 312, फाटक हबाश खान, दिल्ली-6 को अप्रैल-मार्च, 1971 लाइसेंस अवधि के लिए भेषज तथा दवाइयों के आयात के लिए 1000 रुपये का एक संस्थापित आयात लाइसेंस संख्या पी/ई/0189500/सी/एक्सएक्स/36/डी/31-32, दिनांक 30-7-1970 प्रदान किया गया था। उन्होंने उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है अथवा अस्थानस्थ

हो गई है। फर्म द्वारा आगे यह बताया गया है कि लाइसेंस की मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति का उपयोग नहीं किया गया है।

उपर्युक्त घोषणा के समर्थन में आवेदक ने पब्लिक नोटरी द्वारा विधिवत् साध्यांकित एक शपथ पत्र यह बताते हुए जमा किया है कि उक्त लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है अथवा/अस्थानस्थ हो गई है।

मैं इससे संतुष्ट हूँ कि उक्त लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है/अस्थानस्थ हो गई है और निवेश देता हूँ कि आवेदक की लाइसेंस संख्या पी०/ई०/0189500/सी०/एक्स एक्स/36/डी/31-32 की अनुलिपि मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति जारी की जानी चाहिए। लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति एतद् द्वारा रद्द की जाती है।

[संख्या : डी०एम०/20/ए०एम० 71/क्यू०एल०/सी०एल०ए०]

आर० एल० वर्मा,

उपमुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात,

कृते संयुक्त मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात।

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th March 1971

S.O. 2104.—M/s. Tata Robins Fraser Ltd., 11-Station Road, Burma Mines, Jamshedpur-7 were granted an import licence No. P/D/1326046/T/OR/34/H/29-30 dated 3rd February, 1970 for Rs. 33,76,324 for import of components as per list attached thereto from Rupee Payment Countries. They have requested for the issue of duplicate Custom Copy of the licence on the ground that original Custom Copy of said licence has been misplaced/or lost without having been registered with any Custom Authority and utilised at all. The firm have also furnished the necessary affidavit as per ITC Rules.

2. The undersigned is satisfied that original Custom Copy of import licence No. P/D/1326046/T/OR/34/H/29-30 dated 3rd February, 1970, has been misplaced/or lost and directs that a duplicate Custom Copy may be issued. The original Custom Copy of above licence is cancelled.

[No. Mach-T-2(3)/AM70/RM4/4582.]

G. D. BAHL,

Dy. Ch. Controller of Imports and Exports.

(मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 26 मार्च, 1971

एस० ओ० 2104—सर्व श्री टाटा रोबिन्स फ्रेजर लि०, 11-स्टेशन रोड, बर्मा माइन्स, जमशेदपुर-7 की लाइसेंस में संलग्न सूची के अनुसार रुपया भुगतान देशों से संघटकों के आयात के लिए 33,76,324 रुपए के लिए एक आयात लाइसेंस सं० पी० डी०/1326046/टी० ओ०/आर०/34 एच०/29-30 दिनांक 3-2-70 जारी किया गया था। उन्होंने लाइसेंस की सीमा-शुल्क प्रति की अनुलिपि जारी करने के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि उक्त लाइसेंस की मूल सीमा-शुल्क प्रति अस्थानस्थ हो गई है यह बिना किसी सीमा शुल्क प्राधिकारी से पंजीकृत कराये और बिलकुल

उपयोग किए खो गई है। फर्म ने आयात व्यापार नियंत्रण नियमों के अनुसार आवश्यक शपथ पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है।

2. अधोहस्ताक्षरी संतुष्ट है कि लाइसेंस सं० पी०/डी०/1326046 टी० ओ०/आर०/दिनांक 3-2-70 की मूल सीमा-शुल्क प्रति अस्थानस्थ हो गई है अथवा खो गई है और निदेश देता है कि सीमा-शुल्क प्रति की अनुलिपि जारी की जाए। उपर्युक्त लाइसेंस की सीमा शुल्क प्रति रद्द की जाती है।

[संख्या मैक टी०-2(3)/ए एम 70/आर० एम०-4/4582]

जी० डी० बहल,

उप-मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात।

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 6th May, 1971

S.O. 2105.—M/s. Hindustan Latex Ltd., Mayur Bhawan, New Delhi were granted licence No. I/A/1044987 dated 17th March, 1971, under free foreign exchange ceiling for the import of chemicals valued at Rs. 99,600. They have requested for the issue of duplicate licence, both copies, on the ground that the original copies of the licence have been lost by them. It has further been reported by the party that the licence was not utilized and it has a balance of Rs. 99,600. The licence has not been registered at any customs office.

In support of their contention, the applicants have filed an affidavit. The undersigned is satisfied that the original licence No. I/A/1044987 dated 17th March, 1971, (both copies) has been lost and directs that duplicate customs and exchange copies of the said licence be issued to them. The original licence is hereby cancelled.

The duplicate licence (both copies) is being issued separately.

[No. UD/67-H/70-71/PLS(A).]

S. K. USMANI,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.
for Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आवेदक

नई दिल्ली, 6 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2105—सर्वे श्री हिन्दुस्तान लैक्स लि०, मयूर भवन, नई दिल्ली को स्वतंत्र विदेशी मुद्रा सीलिंग के अन्तर्गत 99,600 रुपए मूल्य के रसायनों के आयात के लिए लाइसेंस सं० आई०/ए०/1044987 दिनांक 17-3-71 प्रदान किया गया था। उन्होंने लाइसेंस की दोनों प्रतियों की अनुलिपियां जारी करने के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि लाइसेंस की मूल प्रतियां उनसे खो गई हैं। पार्टी द्वारा आगे यह सूचना दी गई है कि लाइसेंस का उपयोग नहीं हुआ था और इस पर 99,600 रुपए उपयोग करना शेष है। लाइसेंस को किसी भी सीमा शुल्क कार्यालय से पंजीकृत नहीं कराया गया है।

अपने तर्क के समर्थन में आवेदकों ने एक शपथ पत्र दाखिल किया है। निम्नहस्ताक्षरकर्त्ता संतुष्ट है कि मूल लाइसेंस सं० आई०/ए०/1044987 दिनांक 17-3-71 (दोनों प्रतियां) खो गया है और

निदेश देता है कि उक्त लाइसेंस की सीमा शुल्क तथा मुद्रा विनियम प्रतियों की अनुलिपियां उन को जारी की जानी चाहिए। मूल लाइसेंस एतद्द्वारा रद्द किया जाता है।

लाइसेंस (दोनों प्रतियों) की अनुलिपियां अलग से जारी की जा रही हैं।

[संख्या यु० डी०/67-एच०/70-71/पी० एल० एस० (ए)]

एस० के० उसमानी,

उप मुख्य, नियंत्रक,

कृते मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 14th May 1971

S.O. 2106.—M/s. Union Carbide India Limited, New Delhi were granted Licence No. P/D/2166468, dated 18th December, 1968 for import of spares valued at Rs. 4,94,600 from General Currency Area. They have requested for the issue of duplicate Exchange Control copy of the licence on the ground that the original Exchange Control copy of the licence has been lost/misplaced after utilising Rs. 2,71,457.20P (approximately) and that the licence has been registered with the Collector of Customs, Calcutta.

2. In support of their contention, the applicant have filed an affidavit. The undersigned is satisfied that the original Exchange Control copy of licence No. P/D/2166468, dated 18th December, 1968 has been lost/misplaced and directs that duplicate Exchange Control Copy of the said licence be issued to them. The original Exchange Control Copy of the licence is cancelled.

[No. Ch/U-23(28)/A.M.69/R.M.3/290.]

मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 14 मई, 1971

एस०ओ० 2106.—सर्वश्री यूनियन कार्बाइड इन्डिया लि०, नई दिल्ली को सामान्य मुद्रा क्षेत्र से फालतू पुर्जों के आयात के लिए 4,94,600 रुपये का आयात लाइसेंस संख्या पी/डी/2166468, दिनांक 18 दिसम्बर, 1968 स्वीकृत किया गया था। उन्होंने उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रति के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रति सीमा शुल्क समाहर्ता कलकत्ता के पास पंजीकृत की गई है और उसका 2,71,457 रुपये 20 पैसे (सगभग) तक उपयोग करने के पश्चात् वह खो गई है/अस्थानस्थ हो गई है।

2. अपने तर्क के समर्थन में आवेदक ने एक शपथ पत्र जमा किया है। अधोहस्ताक्षरी इससे संतुष्ट है कि लाइसेंस संख्या पी/डी/2166468, दिनांक 18 दिसम्बर, 1968 की मूल मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रति खो गई है/अस्थानस्थ हो गई है और निदेश देता है कि उन्हें उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रति जारी की जानी चाहिए। लाइसेंस की मूल मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रति रद्द की जाती है।

[संख्या : सी०एच/यू-23(28)/ए०एम०69/आर०एम०3/290]

S.O. 2107.—M/s. E. S. Patanwala, Bombay were granted Licence No. P/D/2176424 dated 8th December, 1970 for the import of Raw Material and spare parts for the manufacture of Soap, cosmetics and Toiletries for Rs. 41,000 from General Currency Area. They have requested for the issue of duplicate copies of the

licence on the ground that the original custom and exchange control copies of the licence have been lost/misplaced. It has been further requested the licence in question was lost/misplaced after utilising Rupees Nil and this licence has not been registered with any of the Collector of Customs.

2. In support of their contention, the applicant has filed an affidavit. The undersigned is satisfied that the original licence (In duplicate) No. P/D/2176424, dated 8th December, 1970 has been lost/misplaced and direct that duplicate custom and exchange control copies of the said licence should be issued to them. The original licence (In duplicate) is cancelled.

[No. Toilet-10(1)/A.M.71/R.M.3/287.]

SARDUL SINGH,

Deputy Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

एस० ओ० 2107.—सर्वश्री ई० एस० पाटनवाला, बम्बई को साबुन, कास्तिवर्धकों और शृंगारवर्धकों के निर्माण के लिये सामान्य मुद्रा क्षेत्र में कच्चे माल और फालतू पुर्जों के आयात के लिए 41,000 रुपये मूल्य का एक लाइसेंस सं० पी०/डी०/2176424 दिनांक 8 दिसम्बर 1970 प्रदान किया गया था। उन्होंने लाइसेंस की अनुलिपियां जारी करने के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि इस की मूल सीमा शुल्क और मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रतियां खो गई हैं/अस्थानस्थ हो गई हैं। आगे यह आवेदन किया गया है कि विषयाधीन लाइसेंस बिना उपयोग किये खो गया है/अस्थानस्थ हो गया है और इसको किसी भी सीमा शुल्क समाहर्ता के पास पंजीकृत नहीं कराया गया है।

2. अपने तर्क के समर्थन में आवेदक ने एक शपथपत्र दाखिल किया है। अधाहस्ताक्षरी सतुष्ट है कि मूल लाइसेंस (दो प्रतियों में) सं० पी०/डी०/2176424 दिनांक 8 दिसम्बर, 1970 खो गया है/अस्थानस्थ हो गया है और निदेश देता है कि उक्त लाइसेंस की सीमा शुल्क मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रतियों की अनुलिपियां उन को जारी की जानी चाहिए। मूल लाइसेंस (दो प्रतियों में) रद्द किया जाता है।

[सं० टाबलैट-10(1)/ए०एम०-71/आर०एम० 3/287]

सरदूल सिंह,

उप-मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात।

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND INTERNAL TRADE

(Department of Industrial Development)

(INDIAN STANDARDS INSTITUTION)

New Delhi, the 14th May 1971

S. O. 2108.—In pursuance of regulation 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that amendment(s) to the Indian Standard(s) given in the schedule hereto annexed have been issued under the powers conferred by the sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 3 of the said Regulations.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	No. and title of the Indian Standard amended	No. and Date of Gazette Notification in which the establishment of the Indian Standard was notified	No. and Date of the Amendment	Brief particulars of the Amendment	Date from which the amendment shall have effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	IS: 277-1969 Specification for galvanised steel sheets (plain and corrugated) (<i>second revision</i>)	S. O. 639 dated 21 February 1970	No. 13 December 1970	Clause 10.1 has been substituted by a new one	1 December 1970
2	IS : 279-1961 Specification for galvanised iron and steel wire for telegraph and telephone purposes (<i>revised</i>)	S. O. 1267 dated 28 April 1962.	*No. 1 December 1970	(i) Clause 6.1.3 has been substituted by a new one (ii) (Page 6, Table II)—Delete the table (iii) (Page 6, foot-note)—Delete the foot-note	1 December 1970
3	IS : 280-1962 Specification for mild steel wire for general engineering purposes (<i>revised</i>)	S. O. 1421 dated 25 May 1963	No. 2 January 1971	(i) Clause 10.1 has been substituted by a new one (ii) (Page 7, Table III)—Delete the table	1 January 1971
4	IS : 398-1961 Specification for hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes (<i>revised</i>)	S. O. 417 dated 10 February 1962	†No. 4 July 1970	(i) Clause 4.1.1 and Table II have been amended (ii) Clauses 10.1.2 and 14.4.1 have been substituted by new ones. (iii) Tables VI, to VIII and X have been substituted by new ones	1 July 1970.

5	IS : 419-1957 Specification for putty, for use on window frames (<i>first revision</i>)	S. O. 2035 dated 8 June 1968	No. 1 January 1971	To avoid the ambiguity caused by clause 3.3.1 it was considered that a proper setting time should be prescribed. This amendment prescribes requirements for setting time with a suitable method of test and this will help in removing the anomaly	1 January 1971
6	IS : 916-1966 Specification for 18-Litre square tins (<i>revised</i>)	S. O. 469 dated 11 February 1967	No. 2 January 1971	Clauses 3.3.1.1 and 3.4.3 have been substituted by new ones.	1 January 1971
7	IS : 2136-1962 Specification for rayon lining cloth	S. O. 3226 dated 27 October 1962	No. 1 January 1971	Clause 1.1 has been amended and new matter added in Table I	1 January 1971
8	IS : 2494-1964 Specification for V-belts for industrial purposes.	S. O. 895 dated 20 March 1965	No. 1 January 1971	(Page 13, clause 7.1)—Add the following note under this clause: ‘NOTE’—The lot size consists of the V-belts of same cross-section irrespective of the nominal inside length.’	1 January 1971
9	IS : 2742-1964 Specification for automotive brake lining	S. O. 618 dated 20 February 1965	No. 1 December 1970	[Page 7, Table II, foot-note with asterisk (*)] Substitute the following for the existing foot-note: ‘*This determines the extent to which any individual value of the coefficient of friction may vary from the actual average value.’	1 December 1970
10	IS : 2988-1965 Specification for vernier theodolite	S. O. 2134 dated 3 July 1965	No. 1 December 1970	(Page 6, clause 4.2.2, last sentence)—substitute the following for the existing sentence: ‘Each fifth degree line shall be numbered’	1 December 1970
11	IS : 3631-1966 Specification for ready mixed paint, finishing, exterior, (i) alkyd and (ii) non-alkyd, for general purpose, to Indian standard colours.	S. O. 2687 dated 10 September 1966	No. 2 December 1970	Table 1 has been amended	1 December 1970
12	IS : 3975-1967 Specification for mild steel wires, strips and tapes for armouring cables	S. O. 3336 dated 23 September 1967	No. 2 December 1971	(i) Clauses 0.3 and 6.1 have been substituted by new ones (ii) Table 1 has been substituted by a new one and Table 2 amended (iii) A note has been added at the end of clause 6.4 (iv) Clauses 6.3 & 6.4 have been amended (v) Clause 6.2 has been deleted	5 January 1971

*For purposes of ISI Certification Scheme this Amendment shall come in to force with effect from 1 March 1971

†For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme this Amendment shall come into force with effect from 22 December 1970.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
13	IS : 4246-1967 Specification for domestic gas stoves for use with liquefied petroleum gases	S. O. 2036 dated 8 June 1968	*No. 2 December 1970	(i) (Page 21, Fig. 13)—Substitute 'Fig. 9' for 'Fig. 13' (ii) Clauses 6.1.1, 8.1.1, 26.1 and 26.2 have been substituted by new ones. (iii) Clauses 7.4, 16, F-3(F), 7.9, 10.1, 12.1, D-1.2, F-3 and Table I have been amended (iv) New clauses 3.4 and 16.2 have been added	1 December 1970

*For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme this Amendment shall come in to force with effect from 1 March 1971.

Copies of these amendment are available with the Indian Standards Institution, 'Manak Bhavan', 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1 and also its branch offices at (i) 534 Sardar Vallabhabhai Patel Road, Bombay-7 (ii) 5 Chowringhee Approach, Calcutta-13 (iii) 54 General Patters Road Madras-2 (iv) 117/418 B, Sarvodaya Nagar, Kanpur, and (v) 5-9-201/2 Chirag Ali Lane, Hyderabad-1.

[No. CMD/13: 5]

श्रीद्योगिक विकास और आंतरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय
(श्रीद्योगिक विकास विभाग)
(भारतीय मानक संस्था)

नई दिल्ली, 14 मई, 1971

एस० आ० 2108.—भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिह्न) विनियम 1955, के विनियम 4 के अनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था की ओर से अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त विनियमों के विनियम 3 के उपविनियम (1) के अनुसार प्राप्त अधिकार के अधीन यहां अनुसूची, में दिए भारतीय मानकों के संशोधन जारी किए गए हैं :

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	संशोधित भारतीय मानक की पद संख्या और शीर्षक	जिस गजट में भारतीय मानक तैयार होने की सूचना छपी थी उसकी संख्या और दिनांक	संशोधन की संख्या और दिनांक	संशोधन का संक्षिप्त विवरण	संशोधन लागू होने की तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	IS : 277-1969 जस्ताचढ़ी इस्पात एस० आ० 639 लिमांक संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1970 की चढ़ों (सादी और लहरदार) की विशिष्टि (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	एस० आ० 639 लिमांक संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 21 फरवरी, 1970	खण्ड 10.1 के स्थान पर नया खण्ड रखा गया है।	खण्ड 10.1 के स्थान पर नया खण्ड रखा गया है।	1 दिसम्बर 1971
2	IS : 279-1961 टेलीग्राफ और टेलीफोन कार्यों के लिए जस्ता चढ़े लोहे और इस्पात के तार पुनरीक्षण	एस० आ० 1267 दिसम्बर 28 अप्रैल, 1962	*संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1970	(1) खण्ड 6.1.3 के स्थान पर नया खण्ड रखा गया है। (2) पृ० 6 (सारणी 2)—सारणी हटा दी जाए (3) (पृ० 6, पाद टिप्पणी)—पाद टिप्पणी हटा दी जाए	1 दिसम्बर 1970

*आ मा संस्था प्रमाणन मुहर योजना के लिए यह संशोधन 1 मार्च 1971 से लागू होगा।

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
3	IS : 280-1962 'सामान्य इंजी- नियरी कार्यों के लिए साधारण इस्पात के तार की विशिष्ट पुन- रोक्षित)	एस.ओ. 1421 25 मई, 1963	संख्या 2 जनवरी 1971	(1) खण्ड 10.1 के स्थान पर नया खण्ड रखा गया है । (2) (पृ० 7 सारणी 3)-सारणी हटा दी जाए	1 जनवरी 1971
4	IS : 398-1961 शिरोपरि पावर प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सल्ले खिंचे लड़- दार एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात की कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक की विशिष्ट (पुनरोक्षित)	एस.ओ. 417 10 फरवरी 1962	*संख्या 4 जुलाई 1970	(1) खण्ड 4.1.1 और सारणी 2 '1' का संशोधन किया गया है । (2) खण्ड 10.1.2 और 14.4.1 के स्थान पर नए खण्ड दिए गए हैं । (3) सारणी 6 से लेकर 8 तक और 10 के स्थान पर नई सारणियां दी गई हैं ।	1 जुलाई 1970
5	IS : 419-1967 बिड़की के चौखटों पर काम के लिए पट्टी की विशिष्ट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	एस.ओ. 2036 8 जून 1968	संख्या 1 जनवरी 1971	खण्ड 3.3.1 के द्वारा उत्पन्न संशय से बचने के लिए सेट होने का उप- युक्त समय निर्धारित किया जाना चाहिए । इस संशोधन में सेट होने के समय सम्बन्धी अपेक्षाएं तथा उनके परीक्षण की पद्धतियां दी गई हैं और इससे असंगति दूर करने में सहायता मिलेगी ।	1 जनवरी 1971

6	IS : 916-1966 18-सीटर चौकोर डिब्बों की विशिष्टि	एस० क्र० 469 11 फरवरी 1967	संख्या 2 जनवरी 1971	खण्ड 3.3.1.1 और 3.4.3 के स्थान पर नए खण्ड दिए गए हैं।	1 जनवरी 1971
7	IS : 2136-1962 रेल के अक्षर के कपड़े की विशिष्टि	एस० क्र० 3226 27 अक्टूबर 1962	संख्या 1 जनवरी 1971	खण्ड 1.1 का संशोधन किया गया तथा सारणी 1 में नई सामग्री जोड़ी गई है।	1 जनवरी 1971
8	IS : 2494-1964 औद्योगिक कार्यों के लिए वी-मट्टों की विशिष्टि	एस० क्र० 895 20 मार्च 1964	संख्या 1 जनवरी 1971	(पृ० 13, खण्ड 7.1)—इस खण्ड के नीचे निम्नलिखित जोड़ लीजिए : 'नोट—राशि के आकार में एक ही आड़ी काट वाले वी-मट्टे सम्मिलित होते हैं उनकी भीतरी सांकेतिक लम्बाई चाहे कुछ भी हो।	1 जनवरी 1971
9	IS : 2742-1964 स्वचल गाड़ियों की ब्रेक-लाईनिंग की विशिष्टि	एस० क्र० 618 20 फरवरी 1965	संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1970	[(पृ० 7. सारणी 11, तारांकित पाद नोट*)] वर्तमान पाद नोट के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित कर लीजिए इससे निश्चित होता है कि घर्षण गणांक का कोई एक मान अपने वास्तविक औसत मान से कितना भिन्न हो सकता है।'।	1 दिसम्बर 1970
10	IS : 2988-1965 बनियर थियो-डोलाइट की विशिष्टि	एस० क्र० 2134 3 जुलाई 1965	संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1970	(पृ० 6, 4.2.2 प्रतिम वाक्य)—वर्तमान वाक्य के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित कीजिए : 'हर पांचवी डिग्री-रेखा पर संख्या डाली जाए'।	1 दिसम्बर 1970

*भा मा संस्था प्रमाणन मुहर योजना के लिए यह संशोधन 1 मार्च 1971 से लागू होगा।

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
11	IS : 3631-1966 सामान्य कार्यों के लिए भारतीय मानक रंगों के अनुरूप बाहरी फिनिश देने के (1) एल्काइड और (2) अन-एल्काइड तैयार मिश्रित रंग-रोगन की विशिष्टि	एस० ओ० 2687 10 सितम्बर 1966	संख्या 2 दिसम्बर 1970	सारणी 1 का संशोधन किया गया है।	1 दिसम्बर 1970
12	IS : 3975-1967 कवचित केबलों के लिए साधारण इस्पात के तार, पती और फीते की विशिष्टि	एस० ओ० 3336 23 सितम्बर 1967	संख्या 2 दिसम्बर 1970	(1) खण्ड 0.3 और 6.1 के स्थान पर नए खण्ड लगाए गए। (2) सारणी 1 के स्थान पर नई सारणी दी गई और सारणी 2 का संशोधन किया गया।	5 जनवरी 1971
13	IS : 4246-1967 द्रवित पेट्रोलियम गैस से काम करते वाले घरेलू गैस चूल्हों की विशिष्टि	एस० ओ० 2036 8 जून 1968	*संख्या 2 दिसम्बर 1970	(1) खण्ड 6.4 के नीचे एक नोट जोड़ा गया। (4) खण्ड 6.3 और 6.4 का संशोधन किया गया। (5) खण्ड 6.2 हटा दिया गया। (1) (पृ० 21 आकृति 13) — 'आकृति 13' के स्थान पर 'आकृति 9' लगा ली जाए।	1 दिसम्बर 1970

- (2) खण्ड 6.1.1, 8.1, 26.1 और 26.2 के स्थान पर नए खण्ड दिए गए ।
- (3) खण्ड 7.4, 16, एफ०-3 (एफ०) 7.9, 10.1, 12.1, डी०-1.2, एफ०.3 और सारणी 1 का संशोधन किया गया ।
- (4) नए खण्ड 3.4 और 16.2 जोड़े गए ।

इन संशोधनों की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक संस्था, मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-1 और आगे बताए शाखा कार्यालयों से प्राप्त हैं : (1) 534, सरदार बल्लभ साई पटेल रोड, बम्बई-7, (2) 5, चौरंगी एप्रोच, कलकत्ता-13, (3) 54, जनरल पेटर्स रोड, मद्रास-2, (4) 117/418 बी सर्वोदयनगर, कानपुर, (5) 5-9-201/2, चिराग अली लेन, हैदराबाद-1, और सिंडिकेट बैंक बिल्डिंग, गांधीनगर, बंगलौर-9 ।

[पं० सी० एम० डी/13:5]

New Delhi, the 17th May 1971

S. O. 2109.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks), Regulations, 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that twentyseven licences, particulars of which are given in the following schedule, have been granted authorizing the licensees to use the Standard Marks:

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Licence No. (CM/L-)	Period of Validity		Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the Licence and the Relevant IS : Designation.
		From	To		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	CM/L-2356 1-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	K.R. Steelunion Private Ltd., 16-D, Industrial Area, Kalyani, Distt. Nadia (West Bengal) having their office at 33, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	Cold twisted plain steel bars— IS : 1786-1966
2	CM/L-2357 1-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	National Pesticides, 5, Industrial Estate, Vidisha(M.P.)	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS : 1310-1958
3	CM/L-2358 1-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	Rawel Industries, 2304/11, Jhajjar Road, Bahadurgarh (Haryana) having their office at 517, Katra Ishwar Bhavan, Delhi-6.	Rotary shaft oil seal unit 95 × 125 × 12 mm Type 'B'— IS : 5129-1969
4	CM/L-2359 2-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Elite Casting Company, Nagra Kishan Lal, Hathras Road, Agra having their office at A-4, N.D.S.E. Part I, New Delhi.	Cast iron flushing cisterna, high level, bell type 10 litres capacity only— IS : 774-1964
5	CM/L-2360 3-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Chandigarh Spun Pipe Co., 29, Industrial Area, Chandigarh.	Low density polyethylene pipes for potable water supplies of pressure ratings 6.0 kgf/cm ² only— IS : 3076-1968
6	CM/L-2361 13-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	Timber Trades, Khajuri Road, P.O. Yamuna Nagar, Distt. Ambala having their office at 217/D/R, Model Town, P. O. Yamuna Nagar, Distt. Ambala.	Plywood tea-chest battens— IS : 10-1964
7	CM/L-2362 13-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Tuticorin Salt Refineries Ltd., Urani Extension Salt Factory, Tuticorin-4 having their office at 283, West Great Cotton Road, Tuticorin-2.	Light magnesium carbonate for rubber industry— IS : 1420-1959
8	CM/L-2363 13-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	H. U. F. Laljibhai Jivram Gajjar, Forg & Blower Co. Premises, Naroda Road, Ahmedabad-2 (Gujarat State).	Three-phase induction motors, 2.2 kW (3 HP), 3.7 kW (5HP), 5.6 kW (7.5 HP) with class 'A' insulation and 7.5 kW (10 H.P.)— IS : 325-1961

9	CM/L-2364 13-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Martin Burn Industrial Unit No. 2, 1, Satya Doctor Road, Kidderpore, Calcutta-23 having their Regd. office at Martin Burn House, 12, Mission Row, Post Box No. 23, Calcutta 1.	Welded low carbon steel gas cylinders of 33'3 litres water capacity for the storage and transportation of low pressure liquefiable gases— IS : 3196-1968
10	CM/L-2365 13-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	The Central India Iron & Steel Company, 38, Shilnath Camp, Indore.	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS : 226-1969
11	CM/L-2366 13-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Coastal Engineering Company, Post Box No. 3, Palluruthy, Cochin-5, Ernakulam Distt., Kerala State.	Tea-chest metal fittings— IS : 10-1964
12	CM/L-2367 13-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Cochin Tin Factory, Post Box No. 6, Palluruthy, Cochin-5, Ernakulam Distt., Kerala State.	Tea-chest metal fittings— IS : 10-1964
13	CM/L-2368 13-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Khandesh Pesticides Private Ltd., Dharangaon, (Distt. Jalgaon), W. Rly.	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates— IS : 562-1962
14	CM/L-2369 16-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Do.	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates— IS : 565-1961
15	CM/L-2370 21-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	Assam Timber Treating Works, Margherita (Assam).	Tea-chest battens— IS : 10-1964
16	CM/L-2371 22-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	Avadh Plywood Industries, Bahraich Road, Gonda (U.P.)	Tea-chest plywood panels— IS : 10-1964
17	CM/L-2372 22-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	Marimah Industries, 26, South Patel Nagar, New Delhi having their office at 9/2457, Bazar Sita Ram, Delhi-6.	Door closers (hydraulically regulated), size only— IS : 3564-1966
18	CM/L-2373 22-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	The Kohinoor Tannery, Jajmau Road, Kanpur-10	Sole leather— IS : 579-1962
19	CM/L-2374 23-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	Diko Cables of Sanatnagar, Barzulla, Srinagar-5 (Kashmir) having their office at The Bund, Srinagar-1 (Kashmir).	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS : 398-1961
20	CM/L-2375 27-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	Bhilai Cement Pipe Manufacturing Co., 70/A, Industrial Estate, Nandini Road, Bhilai-1 (MP).	Cement concrete pipes (with and without reinforcement), 100 mm and 150 mm sizes, class NP2— IS : 458-1961
21	CM/L-2376 27-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	The Bharat Plywood & Timber Products Private Ltd., Baliapatam (Kerala) having their office at Fort Road, P. O. Box 21, Cannanore-1 (Kerala).	Plywood for general purposes— IS : 303-1960
22	CM/L-2377 28-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	Murthyumjaya Parklands Industries, Olessa P. O., Kottayam (Kerala).	Tea-chest battens— IS : 10-1964
23	CM/L-2378 28-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	Kapadia Paint & Allied Industries, Plot 67, 68 & 72, Ambattaur Industrial Estate, Madras-58.	Red oxide-zinc chrome paint— IS : 2074-1962

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
24	CM/L-2379 29-7-1970	1-9-1970	31-8-1971	Purushotham Goculdas Plywood Co., Pappinisseri P.O., Cannanore Distt. (Kerala) having their office at Purushotham Goculdas Bldgs., Cannanore (Kerala).	Wooden flush door shutters (solid core type) with plywood face panels, Grade BN— IS : 2202 (Part I)—1966
25	CM/L-2380 30-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	Dhur Tin Factory, 1, Sarat Chandra Dhur Road, Calcutta-50.	18-litre square tins— IS : 916-1966
26	CM/L-2381 31-7-1970	16-8-1970	15-8-1971	Bramhappa Tavanappanavar Private Ltd., Krishnaraja Road, Post Box No.7, Davangere, (Mysore State).	Compounded feeds for cattle— IS : 2052-1968
27	CM/L-2382 31-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	Kailash Saw Mills, Village Dhaki, Pathankot.	Plywood tea-chest battens— IS : 10-1964

[No. CMD/13:11]

नई दिल्ली, 17 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2109—समय समय पर संशोधित भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिह्न), विनियम, 1955 के विनियम 8 के उपविनियम (1) के अनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था की ओर से अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि सत्ताइस लाइसेंस जिनके व्योरे अनुसूची में दिए हैं लाइसेंस धारियों को मानक सम्बन्धी मुहर लगाने का अधिकार देते हुए स्वीकृत किए गए हैं :

अनुसूची

क्रमांक	लाइसेंस सं० (सीएम/एल)	वैधता की अवधि से	तक	लाइसेंस के अधीन वस्तु/प्रक्रिया और तत्सम्बन्धी भारतीय मानक IS : पदनाम	
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	सी०एम०/एल०-2356 1-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	के० धार० स्टील युनियन प्रा० लि०, 16-डी, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, कल्याणी जिला नदिया, (प० बंगाल) इनका कार्यालय 33, नेताजी सुभाष रोड, कलकत्ता में है।	क्रीकट प्रबलन के लिए ठंडी मरोड़ी इस्पात की सादी छड़ें IS : 1786-1966
2	सी एम/एल-2357 1-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	नेशनल पेस्टीसाइड्स, 5, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट विदिशा (मध्य प्रदेश)	एन्टिडन पायसनीय तेज द्रव IS : 1310- 1958
3	सी एम/एल-2358 1-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	रावल इंडस्ट्रीज, 2304/11, जहांगीर रोड बहादुरगढ़ (हरयाणा) इनका कार्यालय 517, कटरा ईश्वर भवन, नई दिल्ली 6 में है।	घुर्णक शाफ्ट तेल सील इकाई 95X125X 12 मिमी, टाइप बी, IS:5129-1969

1	2	3	4	5	6
4	सी एम/एल-2359 2-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	एलाइट कॉस्टिंग कम्पनी, नगरा किसान- ताल हाथरस रोड, आगरा, इनका कार्यालय ए-4, नई दिल्ली सालथ एक्सटेंशन भाग 1, नई दिल्ली में है चंडीगढ़ स्पन पाइप कं० 29, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, चंडीगढ़	ऊंचे पर लगने वाली नीचे को चौड़ी इलवां लोहे की पलक की टेकियां, सिर्फ 10 मीटर समाई वाली IS:774-1964 प्रति सेंटीमीटर 6.0 किग्राम बल दबाव रेफ़िग वाले सप्लाय से जल भरने के लिए अल्प घनत्व वाले पालीइथाइलीन पाइप IS:3076-1968 चाय के बक्सों के लिए प्लाईवुड की पेटियां IS:10-1964
5	सी० एम/एल-2360 3-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	टिम्बर ट्रेडर्स, खजुरी रोड, डाकघर यमुनानगर, जिला अम्बाला, इनका कार्यालय 217/डी/आर, माडल टाउन, डाकघर यमुनानगर, जिला अम्बाला में है	
6	सी एम/एल-2361 13-7-1971	1-7-1970	30-6-1971	तूतीकोरिन स्टील रिफ़ाइनरी लि०, युरानी एक्सटेंशन साल्ट फ़ैक्टरी, तूतीकुड 4, इनका कार्यालय 283, वेस्ट ब्रेड काटन रोड, तूतीकुडी-2 में है	रबड़ उद्योग के लिए हल्का मैगनीशियम कार्बोनेट IS :1420-1959
7	सी एम/एल-2362 13-7-1970	16-7-1970	15-7-1971	एच० यु० एफ० लालजी भाई जीवरास गञ्जर, फोर्ज एण्ड ब्लोअर कं०, अहाता नरोदा रोड, अहमदाबाद-2 (गुजरात राज्य)	वर्ग ए रोधन युक्त तीन फेजी प्रेरण मोटर, 2.2 कि० वा० (3 हा० पा०), 3.7 कि० वा० (5 हा० पा०), 5.6 कि० वा० (7.5 हा० पा०) और 7.5 कि० वा० (10 हा० पा०) IS 325-1961
8	सी एम/एल-2363 13-7-1970	1-7-1970	30-6-1971		

- 9 सी एम/एल-2364 16-7-1970 15-7-1971 माटिन बर्न इंडस्ट्रियल यूनिट सं० 2, 1, सत्य डाक्टर रोड, खिदिपुर, कलकत्ता-23, इनका कार्यालय माटिन बर्न हाउस, 12, मिशन रो, पो० बा० सं० 23, कलकत्ता 1 में है।
अल्प दाब द्रवणीय गैसों के भंडारण और परिवहन के लिए 33.3 लीटर पानी की समाई वाले वैल्वकुत अल्प कार्बन इस्पात गैस के सिलिंडर IS:3196-1968
- 10 सी एम/एल-2365 16-7-1970 15-7-1 1971 दि सेन्ट्रल इंडिया आयरन एण्ड स्टील कं०, 38, सीलनाथ कैम्प, ग्रंदोर कोस्टल इजीनियरिंग कं०, पो० बा० नं० 5, पाल्लूति कोचीन-5, एर्नाकुलम जिला, केरल राज्य
संरचना. इस्पात (मानक किस्म) IS:226-1969
चाय की पेटियों के धातु के फिटिंग IS: 10-1964
- 11 सी एम/एल-2366 16-7-1970 15-7-1971 कोचीन टीन फैक्टरी, पो० बा० नं० 6, पाल्लूरुति, कोचीन-5 एर्नाकुलम जिला, केरल राज्य
चाय की पेटियों के धातु के फिटिंग IS:10-1964
- 12 सी एम/एल-2367 16-7-1970 15-7-1971 खानदेश पेस्टीसाइड्स प्रा० लि०, धरन-गांव (जिला जलगांव), प० रेलवे
डी० डी० टी० जल विसर्जनीय तेज चूर्ण- IS:562-1962
IS:565-1961
- 13 सी एम/एल-2368 16-7-1970 15-7-1971 अक्षम टिम्बर ट्रेडिंग वर्क्स, मरवेरिया (अक्षम)
चाय की पेटियों के लिए पट्टियां IS: 10-1964
- 14 सी एम/एल-2369 16-7-1970 15-7-1971 अक्षम प्लाईवुड इंडस्ट्रीज, बहुराइच रोड, गोंडा (उ० प्र०)
चाय की पेटियों के लिए प्लाईवुड के तख्ते IS: 10-1964
- 15 सी एम/एल-2370 1-8-1970 31-7-1971
- 16 सी एम/एल-2371 16-7-1970 15-7-1971

1	2	3	4	5	6
17	सी एम/एल-2372 22-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	मारीमा इंडस्ट्रीज, 26, दक्षिण पटेल नगर, डोर ब्लोजर (द्रव नियंत्रित), केवल 2 नई दिल्ली, इनका कार्यालय 9/ साइज में, IS : 3564-1966 2457, बाजार सीताराम, दिल्ली-6 में है 9	
18	सी एम/एल-2373 22-7-1970	17/11	15-7-1971	दि कोइन्टर टैनरी, जाजमऊ रोड, कानपुर तेल का चमड़ा—:579-1962 10	
19	सी एम/एल-2374 23-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	डि.को.के.वल्स, सनातनगर बारजुल्ला, शिरोपरि पावर प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए सख्त श्रीनगर-5 (कश्मीर), इनका (कार्यालय बिचै लड़दार एल्युमिनियम और इस्पात बन्द, श्रीनगर-1 (कश्मीर) में है। की कोर वाले एल्युमिनियम चालक (पुनरीक्षित) IS : 398-1961	
20	सी एम/एल-2375 27-7-1971	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	भिलाई सीमेंट पाइप मैन्युफैक्चरिंग कं० सीमेंट कं. के पाइप (प्रबलन सहित 70/ए, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, नन्दिनी ग्रथवा रहित) 100 मिमी और 150 मिमी साइज श्रेणी NP ₂ रोड, भिलाई-1, (मध्य प्रदेश) IS : 458-1961	
21	सी एम/एल-2376 27-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	दि भारत प्लाईवुड एण्ड टिम्बर प्राइवेट्स सामान्य कार्यों के लिए प्लाईवुड IS:303- प्रा० लि०, बालियापाटम् (केरल), 1960 इनका कार्यालय पो० बा० नं० 21, फोर्ट रोड, कन्नूर (केरल) में है	
22	सी एम/एल-2377 28-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	मृणुजय पार्कलैण्ड्स इंडस्ट्रीज, डाकघर श्रीलसा, कोट्टायम् (केरल) की पेटियों के लिए पेटिंग IS : 10- 1964	

23	सी एम/एल-2378 28-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	कपाड़िया पंटे एण्ड एलाइड इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट 67, 63 और 72 ग्राम्बटूर इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, मद्रास-58	रेड-ग्रोव्साइड जस्ता क्रोम रंग रोगन IS : 2074-1962
24	सी एम/एल-2379 29-7-1970	1-9-1970	31-8-1971	पुरुषोत्तम गोकुलदास प्लार्डवुड कं. पप्पीनिससरी डाकघर, जिला कन्नूर (केरल), इनका कार्यालय पुरुषोत्तम गोकुलदास बिल्डिंग कन्नूर (केरल) में है।	लकड़ी के समतल कपाट (ठीस मध्य भाग वाले) ऊपर प्लार्डवुड लगे, ग्रेड बी एन IS : 2202 (भाग I) 1966
25	सी एम/एल-2380 30-7-1970	1-9-1970	31-7-1971	छगटीन फॅक्टरी 1, मूलत चन्द्र धर रोड, कलकत्ता-50	18-लीटर वर्गीकार टीन के डिब्बे IS : 916-1966
26	सी एम/एल-2381 31-7-1970	16-8-1970	15-8-1971	ब्रह्म मणा तवनप्पनवर प्रा० लि०, कृष्णराज रोड, पो० बा० 7, देवनगिरि (मैसूर राज्य)	गाय भँसों के लिए मिश्रित आहार IS : 2052-1968
27	सी एम/एल-2382 31-7-1970	1-8-1970	31-7-1971	कैलाश सा मिल्स, डाक्री गांव, पठान- कोट	चाय की पेटियों के लिए प्लार्डवुड की पेटियाँ IS : 10-1964

[सं सी एम ड/13-11]

S. O. 2110.—In pursuance of sub-regulations (2) and (3) of regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standard(s), particulars of which are mentioned in the Schedule given hereafter, have been established during the period 1 to 31 July 1970 :

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and title of the Indian Standard Established	No. and Title of the Indian Standard if any, superseded by the new Indian Standard	Brief Particulars
1	2	3	4
1	IS : 44-1969 Specification for iron oxide pigments, for paints (<i>first revision</i>)	(i) IS : 44-1950 Specification for black oxide of iron for paints; (ii) IS : 46-1950 Specification for manufactured red oxides of iron for paints. (iii) IS : 46-1950 Specification for natural red oxides of iron for paints. (iv) IS : 47-1950 Specification for ochre for paints (v) IS : 48-1950 Specification for natural sienna (raw and burnt) for paints, and (vi) IS : 49-1950 Specification for natural umber (raw and burnt) for paints.	This standard prescribes the requirements and methods of sampling and test for natural and synthetic iron oxide pigments (Price Rs. 5.50).
2	IS : 197-1969 Methods of sampling and test for varnishes and lacquers. (<i>first revision</i>).	IS : 197-1952 Method of test for varnishes and lacquers.	This standard prescribes the methods of sampling and test varnishes and lacquers. It also covers tests for the detection of common adulterants in varnishes and lacquers. (Price Rs. 9.50)
3	IS : 417-1969 Specification for footballs, volleyballs, Netballs, throw balls, basketballs and water polo balls. (<i>second revision</i>)	*IS : 417-1965 Specification for footballs volleyballs, basketballs and water poloballs (<i>revised</i>).	This standard covers the requirements for footballs (soccer), volleyballs, netballs, throw balls, basketballs and water polo balls. (Price Rs. 3.50)
4	IS : 869-1969 Specification for ethylene dichloride. (<i>first revision</i>)	IS : 869-1956 Specification for ethylenedichloride, technical.	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for ethylene dichloride, also known as dichloroethane, used mainly as solvent, as constituent of fumigant formulations and a base material for vinylchloride manufacture). (Price Rs. 6.00)

*For purposes of ISI Certification Marks licence, IS: 417-1965 shall run concurrently with IS: 417-1969 upto 1 September 1970.

1	2	3	4
5	IS : 1190-1969 Specification for twin wire healds (excluding jacquard, fancy and jute weaving). (first revision).	(i) IS : 1190-1957 Specification for twin wire healds for use in cotton and silk weaving (excluding jacquard and fancy weaving), and (ii) IS : 1936-1961 Specification for inset mail wire healds for use in cotton, silk, woollen and worsted weaving (excluding jacquard and fancy weaving).	This standard prescribes the requirements for : (a) twisted eyelet wire healds, and (b) inset mail wire healds for frame weaving (excluding jacquard, fancy and jute weaving). (Price Rs. 2.50)
6	IS : 1200 (Part III)—1970 Method of measurement of building and civil engineering works Part III brickwork. (second revision)	IS : 1200-1964 Method of measurement of building works (revised)	This standard covers the method of measurement of brickwork in buildings and civil engineering works and applies to the preparation of estimates and bills of quantities and for site measurement. (Price Rs. 4.00)
7	IS : 1263-1969 Specification for cocoa-butter. (first revision)	IS : 1263-1958 specification for cocoa-butter	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for cocoa-butter. (Price Rs. 8.50)
8	IS : 1350 (Part III)—1969 Method of test for coal and coke Part III determination of sulphur. (first revision)	IS : 1350-1959 Methods of test for coal and coke—proximate analysis, total sulphur and calorific value.	This standard prescribes the methods of test for coal and coke relating to the determination of total sulphur and forms of sulphur. (Price Rs. 5.00)
9	IS : 1422-1970 Specification for cotton duck (first revision)	*IS:1422-1959 Specification for cotton duck, secured dyed or water-proofed.	This standard prescribes the constructional details and other particular of four varieties of cotton duck, grey. (Price Rs. 2.00)
10	IS : 1940-1969 Methods of chemical analysis of tin ingot. (first revision)	IS : 1940-1961 Method of chemical analysis of tin ingot.	This standard prescribes the methods for determination of antimony, arsenic, copper, iron and bismuth in the ranges specified in IS:26-1966 and IS : 4280-1967. The method for determination of lead is suitable for analysis of lead in tin ingot. Tin in tin ingot, shall be determined by difference. (Price Rs. 5.00)

*For purposes of ISI Certification Marks scheme, IS: 1422-1959 shall run concurrent with IS: 1422-1970 upto 31 October 1970.

1	2	3	4
11	IS : 1963-1969 Methods for determination of threads per decimeter in woven fabrics. (first revision)	IS : 1963-1961 Method for determination of ends and picks per unit length in woven fabrics.	This standard prescribes two methods for determination of warp threads and weft thread per decimetre in woven fabrics. The methods are applicable to all textile fabrics irrespective of their composition (that is, whether they are made of cotton, wool, silk, jute, man-made fibres or blends of two or more such fibres), manufacturing processes and finishing treatments. (Price Rs. 3.50).
12	IS : 2004-1970 Specification for carbon steel forgings for general engineering purposes. (first revision)	IS : 2004-1962 Specification for carbon steel forgings for general engineering purposes.	This standard covers the requirements for seven classes of carbon steel forgings for general engineering purposes, designated as Class 1, 2, 3, 3A, 4, 5 and 6. (Price Rs. 3.50)
13	IS : 2032 (Part XI)—1969 Graphical Symbols used in electrotechnology Part XI electrical installations in buildings. standard covers physical symbols for electrical installations in buildings for use in architectural diagrams. (Price Rs. 4.00).
14	IS : 2391-1970 Specification for foundry nickel (first revision)	IS : 2391-1963 Specification for foundry nickel	This standard covers the requirements for foundry nickel used in the iron and steel industry. (Price Rs. 1.50)
15	IS : 2479-1969 Colour code for identification of aluminium and aluminium alloys for general engineering purposes. (first revision)	IS : 2479-1963 Colour code for the identification of aluminium and aluminium alloys for general engineering purposes.	This standard prescribes a scheme of colour coding for identification of aluminium and aluminium alloys for general engineering purposes on the basis of chemical composition and temper condition. (Price Rs. 3.50)
16	IS : 2597 (Part IV)—1970 Code of practice for the use of electronic valves Part IV cathode ray tubes		This standard deals with the code of practice for the use of cathode ray tubes used in cathode ray oscilloscopes, radar display units, etc. (Price Rs. 2.50)
17	IS : 2745-1969 Specification for firemen's helmets. (first revision)	IS : 2745-1964 Specification for firemen's helmets	This standard lays down the requirements regarding materials, design, manufacture, finish and performance requirements of firemen's helmets. (Price Rs. 6.00)

1	2	3	4
18	IS : 4837 (Part II) 1969 Recommendations for school furniture, class- room chairs and tables for use in schools Part II age group 12-16 years	..	This standard deals with the dimensional re- quirements of the chairs and tables for students in the age group 12 to 16 years for use in the Indian schools. The chairs and tables have been divided into three sizes related to age groups of students and also to the grades (classes) (Price Rs. 3.50)
19	IS : 4833 (Part I)— 1969 Anthropometric dimen- sions for school chi- lren part I age groups 11 years.	..	This standard gives in detail anthropometric dimensions of children belonging to age group between 5 and 11 years and graphically repre- sents body measure- ments, reach dimensions eyelevels and correlation of body measurements (Price Rs. 2.50).
20	IS : 4988 (Part I) 1969 Gloss- ary of terms and classifica- tion of earth-moving machinery Part I General terms	..	This standard covers the definitions for the terms applicable in general to all types of earth moving machinery. (Price Rs. 9.50)
21	IS : 5392-1969 Specifica- tion for bone plates, Eggers type	..	This standard specifies the dimensions and re- quirements for Eggers type bone plate with slots on two sides suit- able for 3.5 mm dia- meter screws used in orthopaedic surgery. (Price Rs. 3.00)
22	IS : 5394-1969 Specifica- tion for Prostheses, hip	..	This standard specifies the dimensions and re- quirements for Thomp- son and Aust in Moor- type hip prostheses. The weights of pros- theses are not covered in this specification since they vary consi- derably due to different materials and various sizes. (Price Rs. 3.00)
23	IS : 5412-1969 Specifica- tion for saws, nasal, Jo- seph's pattern	..	This standard specifies the dimensional and other requirements of nasal saw (Joseph's pattern) used in ENT surgery. (Price Rs. 3.00)
24	IS : 5448-1969 Specifica- tion for dextrin for use in textile industry	..	This standard prescribes requirements for two types of dextrin for use in the textile industry. (Price Rs. 3.50)

1	2	3	4
25	IS : 5460-1969 Specification for soft balls	..	This standard specifies the dimensions and requirements of soft balls. (Price Rs. 3.00)
26	IS : 5477 (Part III)-1969, Methods for fixing the capacities of reservoirs part III live storage.	..	This standard lays down the criteria and methods for fixing the live storage capacity of a reservoir. (Price Rs. 4.00)
27	IS : 5102-1969 Specification for twist drills parallel shank, long series.	..	This standard specifies the dimensions and requirements for parallel shank twist drills of long series. (Price Rs. 5.00)
28	IS : 5295-1969 Specification for ethylene glycol.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and tests for ethylene glycol (1:2 ethanediol) for industrial use. (Price Rs. 7.00)
29	IS : 5323-1969 Letter symbols and abbreviations for electron tubes and valves.	..	This standard covers the letter symbols abbreviations used in connection with electron tubes and valves. (Price Rs. 5.00)
30	IS : 5362-1969 Specification for twist drills, parallel shanks, stub series, left hand cutting for Automatics.	..	This standard specifies the dimensions, and requirements for twist drills, parallel shanks of stub series for left hand cutting for use with automatics. (Price Rs. 5.00)
31	IS : 5371-1969 Specification for Multi-tooth lock washers.	..	This standard specifies the requirements for multi-tooth lock washers intended for use for general engineering applications with screws in the diameter range of 1.6 to 30 mm. (Price Rs. 3.00)
32	IS : 5393-1969 Specification for screws, bone.	..	This standard specifies the dimensions and requirements for bone screws. (Price Rs. 3.00)
33	IS : 5396-1969 Specification for guide pin for Kuntscher nail.	..	This standard specifies the dimensions and requirements for guide pin for Kuntscher nail. (Price Rs. 3.00)

1	2	3	4
34	IS: 5415-1969 Code of practice for packing and packaging of optical and mathematical instruments and components.	-	This standard specifies the general guiding principles and methods for packing and packaging of optical and mathematical instruments and components. (Price Rs. 5.50)
35	IS: 5422-1969 Specification for turbine type generators.	..	This standard covers 3-phase 50 Hz turbine type generators with a rated speed of 3000 or 1500 rev/min. (Price Rs. 6.50)
36	IS: 5446-1969 Specification for chucking reamers with parallel shanks.	..	This standard specifies the dimensions and requirements for chucking reamers with parallel shanks. (Price Rs. 3.00)
37	IS: 5459-1969 Specification for base balls.	..	This standard specifies the dimensions and requirements for outdoor and indoor base balls. (Price Rs. 3.00)
38	IS: 5467-1969 Specification for shellac wax.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for shellac wax. (Price Rs. 5.00)
39	IS: 5474 (Part I)-1969 Specification for ships' side scuttles part I general requirements.	..	This standard gives the general requirements, materials and tests for ships side scuttles. (Price Rs. 5.50)
40	IS: 5475-1969 Specification for polystyrene film dielectric capacitors.	..	This standard covers the requirements for fixed capacitors with a rated dc voltage not exceeding 6300 V, containing a dielectric of polystyrene film, intended for use in telecommunication equipment and in electronic devices employing similar techniques, but excluding capacitors for a reactive power exceeding 200 var. (Price Rs. 8.50)
41	IS: 5476-1969 Glossary of terms relating to Jute.	..	This standard prescribes definitions of terms commonly used in the jute industry and trade. (Price Rs. 7.50)
42	IS: 5477 (Part II)-1969 Methods for fixing the capacities of reservoirs Part II dead storage.	..	This Standard covers the methods for computing the sediment yield and for predicting the probable sediment distribution in the reservoir below normal (full) reservoir level(F.R.L.) (Price Rs. 5.50)

1	2	3	4
43	IS: 5480-1969 Specification for Automobile polish, paste.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the method of sampling and test for wax-solvent paste type polish for automobiles. (Price Rs. 3.50)
44	IS: 5483-1969 Method for determination of specific gravity of iron ores, pellets and sinters.	..	This standard prescribes the method of determining the specific gravity of iron ores, pellets and sinters. (Price Rs. 2.00)
45	IS: 5486-1969 Specification for quick release knife.	..	This standard lays down the requirements regarding materials, shape and dimensions, construction testing of quick-release knife and its sheath. (Price Rs. 2.50)
46	IS: 5489-1969 Specification for carburising steel for use in bearing industry.	..	This standard covers the requirements for carburising steel in the form of billets, bars, tubes, rings and wires for use in the bearing industry. (Price Rs. 3.50)
47	IS: 5492-1969 Specification for priens for woollen and worsted plain looms.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for weft pirns for use in shuttles for woollen and worsted plain looms. (Price Rs. 2.50)
48	IS: 5505-1969 Specification for Multi-edged rescue axe (non-wedging).	..	This standard lays down the requirements regarding materials, shape and dimensions, construction and testing of multi-edged rescue axe (non-wedging). (Price Rs. 3.50)
49	IS: 5506-1969 Specification for chemical fire engine, soda-acid type.	..	This standard lays down the requirements regarding material, shape, construction, chemical charge, anti-corrosive treatment and tests for chemical fire engines, soda-acid type, mounted on trolley wheels or on a trailer chassis capable of being towed speedily behind a jeep car or being pulled by manpower. (Price Rs. 6.00)

1	2	3	4
50	IS: 5507-1969 Specification for chemical fire engine, foam type.	..	This standard lays down the requirements regarding material, shape construction, chemical charge, anti-corrosive treatment, and tests for chemical fire engines, foam type, mounted on trolley wheels or on a trailer chassis capable of being towed speedily behind a jeep car or being pulled by manpower. (Price Rs. 5.50).
51	IS: 5520-1969 specification for wooden lasts for heavy duty boots.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements, method of sampling and test for wooden hinged tests for heavy-duty boots.
52	IS: 5521-1969 Specication for steel tanks for storage of molasses.	..	This standard covers the requirements of materials, recommended volumes and dimension method of construction and testing for above ground mild steel tanks for storage of molasses. (Price Rs. 4.00)
53	IS: 5522-1969 Specification for stainless steel sheets, coils and circles for utensils and hospitalware.	..	This standard covers the requirements for stainless steel in the form of sheets, coils and circles for the manufacture of utensils and hospitalware. (Price Rs. 2.50)
54	IS: 5524-1969 Specification for wool-cotton under-pants.	..	This standard prescribes the constructional details and other particulars of rib-knitted wool-cotton under-pants, scoured, bleached or dyed. (Price Rs. 4.00)
55	IS: 5530-1969 Code of procedure for repair and rectification of steel castings by metal arc welding process.	..	This standard specifies requirements for the metal arc welding of steel casting when it is used : (a) as a normal production process, (b) to rectify a casting before it is put into service, and (c) to repair a casting that has been in service. (Price Rs. 7.50)
56	IS: 5531-1969 Specification for cast iron specials for use with asbestos cement pressure pipes.	..	This standard covers the requirements for cast iron specials to be used with asbestos cement pressures pipes.

1	2	3	4
			This standard is applicable to pipes suitable for lead joints or rubber joints or a combination of both. In case of rubber joints, the inner profile of socket and of the pipe shall depend on the type of rubber joint ensuring that the overall dimensions are maintained for reasons of safety and interchangeability. (Price Rs. 6.50)
57	IS: 5535-1969 Specification for Rugby ball.	..	This standard covers the requirements of rugby balls. (Price Rs. 2.50)
58	IS: 5539-1969 Specification for preservative treated plywood.	..	This standard covers the treatment of plywood for protection against fungi, termites and other insects and marine borers and requirements of preservative treated plywood. (Price Rs. 5.00)
59	IS: 5543-1970 Specification for bains marie for use with lpg.	..	This standard specifies the constuctional and performance requirements of wet heat and dry heat bains marie for use with liquefied petroleum gases at a working pressure of 30 gf/cm ² (Price Rs. 2.50)
60	IS: 5553- (Part I)-1970 Specification for reactors part I shunt reactors.	..	This standard covers shunt reactors of oil or synthetic liquid immersed type (Price Rs. 2.50)
61	IS: 5553-(Part II)—1970 Specification for reactors part II series reactors.	..	This standard covers oil or synthetic liquid immersed single phase reactors and three-phase reactors for unearthed systems where substantially symmetrical currents will flow through the reactors when a symmetrical system of line voltages is applied. (Price Rs. 3.50)
62	IS: 5567-1970 Specification for bottles for sterilized milk.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for dimensions, quantity and performance of 200-ml bottles for sterilized milk. (Price Rs. 2.50)
63	IS: 5571-1969 Guide for selection of electrical equipment for hazardous areas.	..	This standard provides guidance for selection of electrical equipment for hazardous areas. (Price Rs. 3.50)

1	2	3	4
64	IS: 5572-(Part I)-1970 Classification of hazardous areas for electrical instal- lation part I areas having gases and vapours.	..	This standard covers classi- fication of areas for electri- cal installations in petro- leum refineries and other similar areas where hazards of explosion due to gases and vapours exist, and in which flammable gases and volatile liquids are : (a) processed ; (b) stored; or (c) loaded, unloaded, or otherwise handled. This standard relates to the areas where the gases and vapours are heavier than air. (Price Rs. 4.00)]
65	IS: 5587-1970 Specification for hand, dress.	..	This standard specifies the dimensional and other requirements for dress hand. (Price Rs. 3.00)
66	IS: 5594-1970 Specification for rotary for hand pros- theses.	..	This standard specified the dimensional and other requirements for rotary for hand prostheses (also known as standard rotary) with and without metal cuff. (Price Rs. 3.00)
67	IS: 5607-1970 Specification for semi-automatic elbow joint.	..	This standard specifies the dimensional and other requirements of semi-auto- matic elbow joint used with artificial arm. (Price Rs. 5.00)
68	IS: 5614-1970 Specification for tobacco seed oil.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for tobacco seed oil. (Price Rs. 2.0).
69	IS: 5632-1970 Specification for wolf ramite con- centrate.	..	This standard covers the requirements for wolf ramite concentrate used in the ferro alloy industry. (Price Rs. 1.50).
70	IS: 5633-1970, Specification for vanadium pentaoxide.	..	This standard covers the requirements for vanadium pentaoxide. (Price Rs. 1.50)
71	IS: 5634-1970 Specification for molybdenum oxide (technical).	..	This standard covers the re- quirements for molyb- denum oxide (technical). (Price Rs. 1.50)

Copies of these Indian Standards are available for sale with the Indian Standards Institutions Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1, and also its branch offices at (i) 534, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay-7 (ii) 5, Chowringhee Approach Road, Calcutta-13 (iii) 54, General Patters, Madras-2 (iv) 117/418 B, Sarvodaya Nagar, Kanpur and (v) 5-2-201/2, Chirag Ali Lane, Hyderabad-1.

[No. C.M.D./13:2.]

A. K. GUPTA,
Deputy Director General.

एन० ओ० 2110—समय समय पर संशोधित भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिह्न) विनियम, 1955 के उपविनियम (2) और (3) के अनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में जिन भारतीय मानकों के बारे में 1 से 31 जुलाई, 1970 की अवधि में निर्धारित किए गए हैं :—

अनुसूची

क्रमांक निर्धारित भारतीय मानक की पद संख्या तथा शीर्षक

नए भारतीय मानक द्वारा रद्द हुए भारतीय मानक यदि हों, की पद संख्या और शीर्षक

संक्षिप्त विवरण

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
-----	-----	-----	-----

1 IS : 44-1969 रंग-रोगनों के लिए लोह आक्साइड के वर्णकों की विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)

(1) IS : 44-1950 रंग-रोगनों के लिए लोह आक्साइड की विशिष्टि

इस मानक में प्राकृतिक और कृत्रिम लोह आक्साइड वर्णकों के विषय में अपेक्षाएं और बातचीत लेने तथा परीक्षण की पद्धतियां दी गई हैं।

(2) IS : 45-1950 रंग-रोगनों के लिए निर्मित लोहे के रेड-आक्साइड की विशिष्टि (मूल्य ₹० 5.50)

(3) IS : 46-1950 रंग-रोगनों के लिए लोहे के प्राकृतिक रेड-आक्साइड की विशिष्टि

(4) IS : 47-1950 रंग-रोगनों के लिए गेरू की विशिष्टि

(5) IS : 48-1950 रंग रोगनों के लिए प्राकृतिक सियन्ना (कच्ची और पक्की) की विशिष्टि

(6) IS : 49-1950, रं रोगनों
के लिए प्राकृतिक बंधुकी (umber)
(कच्ची और पकी) की विशिष्टि

- 2 IS : 197-1969 वार्निश और लैकर्स की बानगी लेने तथा परीक्षण की पद्धतियां निर्धारित की गई हैं। इसमें वार्निशों और लैकर्स में आम तौर पर मिलावट के लिए प्रयुक्त वस्तुओं का पता लगाने के परीक्षण दिए गए हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 9.50)
- 3 IS : 417-1969 फुटबाल, बॉलीबाल, नेटबाल, थ्रो बाल, बास्केट बाल और जल-चौगान की विशिष्टि (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण) *IS : 417-1965 फुटबाल, बॉलीबाल, बास्केट बाल और जल-चौगान की विशिष्टि (पुनरीक्षण)
(मूल्य रु० 3.50)
- 4 IS : 869-1969 इथाइलीन डाइक्लोराइड की विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण) इस मानक में इथाइलीन डाइक्लोराइड जिसे डाइक्लोरोथन भी कहते हैं, के विषय में अपेक्षाएं और बानगी लेने की पद्धतियां दी गई हैं। इथाइलीन डाइक्लोराइड घोलक-छुपाने के यौगिकों के एक घटक और बिनाइल क्लोराइड के निर्माण में आधार-सामग्री के रूप में काम आता है।
(मूल्य रु० 6.00)
- 5 IS : 1190-1969 तार के दोहरे हीलडों (जैकड, फैन्सी और पटसन बुनाई को छोड़कर) की विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण) (1) IS : 1190-1957 सूती और रेशमी बुनाई (जैकड और फैन्सी बुनाई की छोड़कर) में उपयोग के लिए दोहरे तार के हीलडों की विशिष्टि
(मूल्य रु० 2.50)

*भा० मा० संस्था प्रमाणन योजना के लिए IS : 417-1965 का उपयोग 1 सितम्बर, 1970 तक IS : 417-1969 के साथ होता रहेगा।

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
-----	-----	-----	-----

(2) IS : 1936-1961 सूती, रेसमी, ऊनी और वस्टेड बुनाई (जैकडे और फैन्सी बुनाई को छोड़कर में उपयोग के लिए मेल जड़े तार हील्लों की विशिष्टि)

- 6 IS : 1200 (भाग 3)-1970 इमारती और सिविल इंजीनियरी कार्यों में मापन पद्धति, भाग 3 ईंट का काम (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण) इस मानक में इमारती और सिविल इंजीनियरी कार्यों में ईंट का काम मापने की पद्धति दी गई है और इसका उपयोग लागत-अनुमान, राशि-सूचियां और स्थान के माप के लिए किया जाता है।
(मूल्य ₹० 4.00)
- 7 IS : 1263-1969 कोकोआ मक्खन की विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण) IS : 1263-1958 कोकोआ मक्खन की विशिष्टि इस मानक में कोकोआ मक्खन के विषय में अपेक्षाएं और परीक्षण पद्धतियां निर्धारित की गई हैं।
(मूल्य ₹० 8.50)
- 8 IS : 1350 (भाग 3)-1969 कोयला और कोक की परीक्षण पद्धतियां भाग 3 गंधक की मात्रा निकालना (पहला पुनरीक्षण) IS : 1350-1959 कोयला और कोक की परीक्षण पद्धतियां सलिकट विश्लेषण, कुल गंधक और कैलोरीय मान इस मानक में कुल गंधक की मात्रा और गंधक के रूप निर्धारित करने की दृष्टि से कोयले और कोक की परीक्षण पद्धतियां दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य ₹० 5.00)
- 9 IS : 1422-1970 सूती डक की विशिष्टि IS : 1422-1959 प्रशालित, रंगी या जलसह की गई सूती डक की विशिष्टि इस मानक में चार प्रकार की सूती डक के निर्माण सम्बन्धी तथा अन्य च्योरे निर्धारित किए गए हैं।
(मूल्य ₹० 2.00)

- 10 IS : 1940-1969 रांगा-इंगट की रसायनिक विश्लेषण पद्धतियाँ (पहला पुनरीक्षण) IS : 1940-1961 रांगा-इंगट की रसायनिक विश्लेषण रीति इस मानक में IS: 26-1966 और IS: 4280-1967 में निर्धारित परासों में एंटीमनी आर्सेनिक, तांबा, लोहा और कस्तुट की मात्रा निकालने की पद्धतियाँ दी गई हैं। सीसे की मात्रा निकालने की पद्धति रांगा-इंगटों के सीसे के विश्लेषण के लिए उपयुक्त है। रांगा-इंगट में रांगे की मात्रा घटाकर निकाली जाए।
(मूल्य ₹० 5.00)
- 11 IS : 1963-1969 बुने हुए कपड़े में प्रति डेसी-मीटर IS : 1963-1961 बने हुए कपड़ों में प्रति इंचाई लम्बाई ताने और बाने और धागों की मात्रा निकालना (पहला पुनरीक्षण) इस मानक में बने कपड़ों में प्रति डेसीमीटर ताने और बाने के धागों की संख्या निकालने की दो पद्धतियों निर्धारित की गई हैं। ये पद्धतियाँ सभी प्रकार के कपड़ों पर लागू होती हैं, उनकी रचना (अर्थात् चाहे वे सूत, ऊन, रेशमी पटसन, मनुष्य निर्मित रेशम अथवा इसी प्रकार के दो या तीन रेशों के मिश्रण के बने हों) निर्माण पद्धति, समापन उपचार ऋंसे भी हो।
(मूल्य ₹० 3.00)
- 12 IS : 2004-1970 सामान्य इंजीनियरी कार्यों के लिए कार्बन इस्पात की गाड़ी हुई वस्तुओं की विशिष्टता (पहला पुनरीक्षण) IS : 2004-1962 सामान्य इंजीनियरी कार्यों के लिए सात वर्ग 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 और 6 की कार्बन इस्पात की ठली वस्तुओं के विषय में अपेक्षाएं निर्धारित की गई हैं।
(मूल्य ₹० 3.50)
- 13 IS : 2032(भाग XI)-1999 विद्युत टेकेनोलोजी में प्रयुक्त लेखन प्रतिभाग 9 इमारतों के बिजली के प्रतिष्ठान इस मानक में वस्तु-आकृतियों में उपयोग के लिए बिजली के प्रतिष्ठानों के लिए लेखन-प्रतीक दिए गए हैं।
(मूल्य ₹० 4.00)
- 14 IS : 2391-1970 फाउंड्री निकेल की विशिष्टता (पहला पुनरीक्षण) IS : 2391-1963 फाउंड्री निकेल के प्रयुक्त फाउंड्री निकेल के विषय में अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य ₹० 1.50)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
15 IS : 2479-1969 सामान्य इंजीनियरी कार्यों के लिए एल्युमिनियम और एल्युमिनियम मिश्र-धातु की पहचान की रंग पहचान की रंग संहिता (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	IS : 2479-1963 सामान्य इंजीनियरी कार्यों के लिए एल्युमिनियम और एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु की पहचान की रंगसंहिता (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	इस मानक में रसायनिक रचना और टेम्पर की स्थितियों के आधार पर सामान्य इंजीनियरी कार्यों के एल्युमिनियम और एल्युमिनियम मिश्रधातु के रंगों के पहचान की एक योजना निर्धारित की गई है। (मूल्य रु० 3.50)	
16 IS : 2597 (भाग 4)-1970 बिजली के वाल्वों की उपयोग की रीतिसंहिता, भाग 4, कैथोड किरण ट्यूब		इस मानक में कैथोड किरण दोलनदर्शियों, राडार प्रदर्शों इकाइयों में प्रयुक्त कैथोड किरण ट्यूबों के उपयोग की संहिता का वर्णन किया गया है। (मूल्य रु० 2.50)	
17 IS : 2745-1969 आग बुझाने वालों के टोपों की विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	IS : 2745-1964 आग बुझाने वालों के टोपों की विशिष्टि	इस मानक में आग बुझाने वालों के टोपों की सामग्री, डिजाइन निर्माण, फिनिश और कार्यप्रदता सम्बन्धी अपेक्षाएँ दी गई हैं। (मूल्य रु० 6.00)	
18 IS : 4837 (भाग 2)-1969 स्कूलों में उपयोग के लिए स्कूली फर्नीचर, कक्षा की कुर्सियों और मेजों के विषय में सिफारिश, भाग 2, आयु-वर्ग 12 से 16 वर्ष		इस मानक में 12 से 16 वर्ष की आयु वर्ग के छात्रों के लिए भारतीय स्कूलों में काम आने वाली कुर्सियों और मेजों की नापों के सम्बन्ध में अपेक्षाएँ निर्धारित की गई हैं। ये मेजें और कुर्सियाँ छात्रों की आयु-वर्गों और उनके ब्रेडों के अनुसार तीन नापों में बांटी गई हैं। (मूल्य रु० 2.50)	

- 19 IS : 4838 (भाग I)-1969 स्कूली बच्चों की शरीरमितीय माप, भाग I आयु वर्ग 5 से 11 वर्ष
- इस मानक में 5 से 11 वर्ष की आयु वर्ग के बच्चों के शरीर-मितीय माप विस्तार से दिए गए हैं। इसमें अंगों के माप, पहुंच माप, नेत्र स्तर और शारीरिक मापों के सह-अनुपात की शफों की सहायता से दिखाए गए हैं।
(मूल्य 2.50)
- 20 IS : 4988 (भाग I)-1969 मिट्टी हटाने की मशीनों सम्बन्धी शब्दावली और वर्गीकरण, भाग I सामान्य शब्दावली
- इस मानक में मिट्टी हटाने की सभी प्रकार की मशीनों से सामान्य रूप से सम्बन्धित शब्दों की परिभाषाए दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 9.50)
- 21 IS : 5392-1969 एगर्स टाइप हट्टी प्लेटों की विशिष्टि
- इस मानक में विकलांगता शल्य-चिकित्सा में प्रयुक्त एगर्स टाइप हट्टी प्लेटों के माप और अपेक्षाएँ निर्धारित की गई हैं। इन पट्टियों में दोनों ओर 3.5 मिमी व्यास वाले पेचों के लिए उपयुक्त छेद बने होते हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 3.00)
- 22 IS : 5394-1969 कमर के कृत्रिम अंगों की विशिष्टि
- इस मानक में थामसन और आस्टिन मुर टाइप के कमर के कृत्रिम अंगों के माप और अपेक्षाएँ निर्धारित की गई हैं। इस मानक में इन कृत्रिम अंगों के वजन नहीं दिए गए हैं क्योंकि इनके वजन सामग्रियों और आकारों की विभिन्नता के फलस्वरूप भिन्न भिन्न होते हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 3.00)
- 23 IS : 5412-1969 जो सेफ नसूने की नाक सम्बन्धी आरियों की विशिष्टि
- इस मानक में आँख, नाक और कंठ शल्य-चिकित्सा में प्रयुक्त भारी (जोसेफ नसूने की) के सम्बन्ध ये माप तथा अन्य अपेक्षाएँ निर्धारित की गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 3.00)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
24	IS : 5448-1969 वस्त्रादि उद्योग में उपयोग के लिए डकिस्ट्रन की विशिष्टि	_____	इस मानक में वस्त्रादि उद्योग में काम में उपयोग होने वाली दो प्रकार की डकिस्ट्रन के सम्बन्ध में अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं । (मूल्य रु० 3.50)
25	IS : 5460-1969 साफ्ट बाल की विशिष्टि	_____	इस मानक में साफ्ट बाल सम्बन्धी माप और अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं । (मूल्य रु० 3.00)
26	IS : 5477 (भाग 3)-1969 जलाशयों की समाइयां निश्चित करने की पद्धतियां भाग 3 बल भंडार	_____	इस मानक में जलाशयों की भंडारण सम्बन्धी पांच समाइयों निर्धारित करने की कसौटियां बताई गई हैं । (मूल्य रु० 4.00)
27	IS : 5102-1969 समान्तर शैक वाली लम्बे सिरिज की टिक्सट ड्रिलों की विशिष्टि	_____	इस मानक में लम्बी सिरिज के समान्तर शैक वाली टिक्सट ड्रिलों की नापें और अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं । (मूल्य 5.00)
28	IS : 5295-1969 इथाइलीन ग्लाइकोल की विशिष्टि	_____	इस मानक में औद्योगिक उपयोग के लिए इथाइलीन ग्लाइकोल (1 : 2 इथानिडिओल) की बान्नी लेने और परीक्षण पद्धतियां तथा अपेक्षाएं निर्दिष्ट हैं । (मूल्य रु० 7.00)
29	IS : 5323-1969 इलेक्ट्रान ट्यूबों और वाल्वों के लिए अक्षर-प्रतीक और संक्षिप्तियां	_____	इस मानक में इलेक्ट्रान ट्यूबों और वाल्वों के सम्बन्ध में प्रयुक्त अक्षर-प्रतीक और संक्षिप्तियां दी गई हैं । (मूल्य रु० 5.00)

- 30 IS : 5362-1969 स्वचल ड्रिलिंग मशीनों में बाएँ हाथ की कटाई बाएँ हाथ की कटाई के लिए प्रयुक्त स्टेब सिरॉज की समान्तर रॉक वाली टिक्सट ड्रिल (मूल्य रु० 5.00)
- 31 IS : 5371-1969 अनेक दांतों वाले तालक वाशरों की विशिष्टि (मूल्य रु० 5.00)
- 32 IS : 5393-1969 हड्डी-पेचों की विशिष्टि (मूल्य रु० 3.00)
- 33 IS : 5396-1969 कुंठशर कील के लिए गाइड पिन की विशिष्टि (मूल्य रु० 3.00)
- 34 IS : 5415-1969 चाक्षुष और गणित सम्बन्धी पुजों और यंत्रों की पैकेज बन्दी और बंधाई की रीतिसंहिता (मूल्य रु० 5.00)
- 35 IS : 5422-1969 टरबाइननुमा जनित्रों की विशिष्टि (मूल्य रु० 6.50)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
36	IS : 5446-1969 समान्तर शैकों वाले चक में लगने वाले रिमरों की विशेषिष्ट		इस मानक में समान्तर शैकों वाले चक में लगने वाले रिमरों की माप और अपेक्षाएं निर्धारित की गई हैं। (मूल्य ₹० 3.00)
37	IS : 5459-1969 बेस बाल की विशिष्टि		इस मानक में बाहुय और आंतरिक खेलों के लिए प्रयुक्त बेस बाल की माप और अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं। (मूल्य ₹० 3.00)
38	IS : 5467-1969 चपड़ा मोम की विशिष्टि		इस मानक में चपड़ा मोम की अपेक्षाएं और बागरी लेने की तथा परीक्षण की पद्धतियां दी गई हैं। (मूल्य ₹० 5.00)
39	IS : 5474 (भाग 1)-1969 जलयानों के पार्श्व शिरोखों की विशिष्टि, भाग 1 सामान्य अपेक्षाएं		इस मानक में जलयानों के पार्श्व-शिरोखों सम्बन्धी सामान्य अपेक्षाएं, प्रयुक्त सामग्री और परीक्षण दिए गए हैं। (मूल्य ₹० 5.50)
40	IS : 5475-1969 पालीस्टाइरीन फिल्म परा-बैद्युत कैपेसिटरो की विशिष्टि		इस मानक में 6300 वोल्ट से अन्तर्धिक डी सी वोल्टता वाले अचल कैपेसिटरो के सम्बन्ध में अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं। इनमें एक तराबैद्युत पालीस्टाइरीन फिल्म होती है जो दूर संचार उपकरणों और ऐसी ही तकनीकों का प्रयोग करने वाले इलेक्ट्रानिक साधनों में काम आती है लेकिन इनमें 200 वार से अधिक प्रतिक्रिया-शक्ति के लिए कैपेसिटरो को नहीं लिया गया। (मूल्य ₹० 8.50)
41	IS : 5476-1969 पटसन सम्बन्धी शब्दावली		इस मानक में पटसन के उद्योग व्यापार में साधारण रूप से प्रयुक्त शब्दों की परिभाषाएं दी गई हैं। (मूल्य ₹० 7.50)

- 42 IS : 5477 (भाग 2)-1969 जलाशयों की समाइयां निश्चित करने की पद्धतियां भाग 2, अचल मंडार
—
—
इस मानक में तलछट बढ़ने और जलाशय में पूर्ण जलाशय सतह के नीचे तलछट के सम्भाव्य वॉटन के विषय में अनू-मान देने की पद्धतियां दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 5.50)
- 43 IS : 5480-1969 स्वचल गाड़ियों की पेटन्नुमा पालिश की विशिष्टि
—
—
इस मानक में स्वचल गाड़ियों के लिए मोम धोलक पेटन्नुमा पालिश के विषय में अपेक्षाएं, बानगी लेने की तथा परीक्षण की पद्धतियां दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 3.50)
- 44 IS : 5483-1969 अयस्क लोहे के छरों और सिन्दरों का अपेक्षिक घनत्व निकालने की पद्धति
—
—
इस मानक में अयस्क लोहे के छरों और सिन्दरों का अपेक्षित घनत्व निकालने की पद्धति बतलाई गई है।
(मूल्य रु० 2.00)
- 45 IS : 5486-1969 तुरंत खुलने वाले चाकू की विशिष्टि
—
—
इस मानक में तुरंत खुलने वाले चाकुओं और उनके खोलों में लगने वाली सामग्री, उनकी आकृति और माप, निर्माण और परीक्षण के विषय में अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 2.50)
- 46 IS : 5489-1969 बेयरिंग उद्योग में उपयोग के लिए कार्बुरीकारी इस्पात की विशिष्टि
—
—
इस मानक में उद्योगों में उपयोग की कार्बुरीकारी इस्पात, सिल्लियों, छड़ों, नालियों, छल्लों और तार के रूप में ली गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 3.50)
- 47 IS : 5492-1969 ऊनी और वस्टर सादे करघों की नालों (पतें) की विशिष्टि
—
—
इस मानक में ऊनी और वस्टर सादे करघों के लिए शटरों में उपयोग के लिए बाने की नालों (पतें) की अपेक्षाएं निर्धारित की गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 2.50)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
48	IS : 5505-1969 अनेक फनियों वाली बचाव कुल्हाड़ी (न उपाड़ सकने वाली) की विशिष्टि	—	इस मानक में अनेक फनियों वाली बचाव कुल्हाड़ियों (न उपाड़ सकने वाली) के विषय में सामग्री, आकृति, नाप, निर्माण, परीक्षण सम्बन्धी अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं। (मूल्य रु० 3.50)
49	IS : 5506-1969 सोडा अम्ल वाले रसायनिक दमकलों की विशिष्टि	—	इस मानक में सोडा-अम्ल वाले रसायनिक दमकलों के विषय में सामग्री, आकृति, निर्माण, रसायनिक आवेग, संक्षारण रोधी उपचार और परीक्षण सम्बन्धी अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं। ये दमकल पहियों वाली ट्राली या ट्रैलर की चैसिस पर रखे होते हैं और किसी जीप के उछे तेजी से ले जाए जा सकते अथवा आदमियों द्वारा खींचे जा सकते हैं। (मूल्य रु० 6.00)
50	IS : 5507-1969 फेन वाले रसायनिक दमकलों की विशिष्टि	—	इस मानक में फेन रसायनिक दमकलों की सामग्री, आकृति, निर्माण, रसायनिक आवेग, संक्षारण रोधी उपचार और परीक्षण के सम्बन्ध में अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं। ये दमकल पहियों वाली ट्राली या ट्रैलर की चैसिस पर रखे होते हैं और किसी जीप के पंछे तेजी से ले जाए जा सकते अथवा आदमियों द्वारा खींचे जा सकते हैं। (मूल्य रु० 5.50)
51	IS : 5520-1969 भारी ड्यूटी बूटों के लिए लकड़ी के फम	—	इस मानक में भारी ड्यूटी बूटों के लिए लकड़ी के कच्चेदार फमों के विषय में अपेक्षाएं, बानगी लेने की और परीक्षण पद्धतियां दी गई हैं। (मूल्य रु० 5.50)

- 52 IS : 5521-1969 सीरे के भंडारण के लिए
इस्पात की टंकियां
-
- इस मानक में सीरे के भंडारण के लिए जमीन के ऊपर बनी साधारण इस्पात की टंकियों में लगने वाली सामग्री, भरे जाने वाले घनत्व और माप, निर्माण और परीक्षण पद्धतियां दी गई हैं ।
(मूल्य रु० 4.00)
- 53 IS : 5522-1969 बतनों और अस्पताल के सामान के लिए स्टेनलेस इस्पात की चद्दर कुण्डलियां और वृत की विशिष्टि
-
- इस मानक में स्टेनलेस इस्पात के बतनों और अस्पताल का सामान बनाने के लिए चद्दर कुण्डलियों और वृत के रूप में स्टेनलेस इस्पात के विषय में अपेक्षाएं निर्धारित की गई हैं ।
(मूल्य रु० 2.50)
- 54 IS : 5524-1969 नीचे पहिने के सूती जांघिया की विशिष्टि
-
- इस मानक में प्रक्षालित विरजित अथवा रंगीन रिबदार बुनाई वाले सूती जांघियों के विषय में निर्माण सम्बन्धी व्यौरे तथा अन्य अपेक्षाएं निर्धारित की गई हैं ।
(मूल्य रु० 4.00)
- 55 IS : 5530-1969 मेटल आर्कवैल्डिंग विधि द्वारा इस्पात की ढली वस्तुओं की परम्पत और उनके ठीक करने की रीति-संहिता
-
- इस मानक में इस्पात की ढली वस्तुओं की मेटल आर्कवैल्डिंग के सम्बन्ध में उन स्थितियों के लिए अपेक्षाएं निर्धारित की गई हैं जब उसकी उपयोग निम्नलिखित रूप में किया जाता है ।
- क) सामान्य उत्पादन प्रक्रिया में,
ख) किसी ढली हुई वस्तु को उसके काम में लाने के लिए ठीक करने के लिए ; अथवा

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

56 IS : 5531-1969 ऐन्वेस्टस सीमेण्ट के दाब पाइपों के साथ उपयोग के लिए ढलवां लोहे की विशेष वस्तुओं की विशिष्टि

ग) काम में आ रही किसी वस्तु की मरम्मत करने के लिए ।
(मूल्य रु० 7 . 50)

इस मानक में ऐन्वेस्टस सीमेण्ट के दाब पाइपों के साथ काम में आने वाली ढलवां लोहे की विशेष वस्तुओं के सम्बन्ध में अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं । यह मानक उन्हीं पाइपों के लिए लागू होता है जो रंग से या खड़ से अथवा दोनों से जोड़ जा सकते हैं । खड़ के जोड़ लगाने के लिए साकेट और पाइप की भीतरी रूप रेखा खड़ के बनाए जाने वाले जोड़ के अनुरूप होती है जिसमें यह पक्का होता है कि सुरक्षा और अंतर्विनियता की दृष्टि से समग्र मापों का पालन किया जाता है ।
(मूल्य रु० 6.50)

57 IS : 5535-1969 रखी गेंद की विशिष्टि

इस मानक में रखी गेंद से सम्बन्धित अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं ।
(मूल्य रु० 2.50)

58 IS : 5539-1969 परिसक उपचारित प्लाईवुड की विशिष्टि

इस मानक में फफूंदी, दीपक और अन्य क्रीड़े मक़ोड़ों तथा समुद्री वेत्रक कीड़ों से प्लाईवुड के बचाव के लिए उपचार की विधि दी गई है तथा परिसक उपचारित प्लाईवुड के विषय में अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं ।
(मूल्य रु० 5.00)

59 IS : 5543-1970 द्रवित पेट्रोलियम गस से काम करने वाले बेस मरी की विशिष्टि

60 IS : 5553 (भाग 1)-1970 रिऐक्टरो की विशिष्टि भाग 1 अट रिऐक्टर

61 IS : 5553 (भाग 2)-1970 रिऐक्टरो की विशिष्टि भाग 2 सिरिज रिऐक्टर

62 IS : 5567-1970 निजर्मिष्ठत दूध की बोलों की विशिष्टि

63 IS : 5571-1970 खतरनाक क्षेत्रों के लिए विद्युत उपकरणों की चुनाव संदर्शिका

इस मानक में द्रवित पेट्रोलियम गसों पर 30 ग्राम बल प्रति वर्ग सेंटीमीटर के कार्यकारी दाब पर काम के लिए जल-तापी और शुष्कतापी बेस मरी के सम्बन्ध में निर्माण तथा कार्यप्रवृत्ता सम्बन्धी अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 2.50)

इस मानक में तेल अथवा संश्लिष्ट द्रवों में डुबाए हुए अट रिऐक्टरो को लिया गया है।
(मूल्य रु० 2.50)

इस मानक में बिना अर्थ की हुई प्रणालियों के लिए तेल अथवा संश्लिष्ट द्रव में डुबाए हुए एक फेजी रिऐक्टरो और तीन फेजी रिऐक्टरो को इन स्थितियों के अधीन लिया गया है कि समाप्त प्रणाली की रेखीय बोल्टता लगाई जाने पर रिऐक्टर में पर्याप्त मात्रा में समाप्त धारा आती हो।
(मूल्य रु० 3.50)

इस मानक में निर्जर्मिष्ठत दूध की 200 मिली बोलों के सम्बन्ध में माप, परिमाण और कार्यप्रदता सम्बन्धी अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 2.50)

इस मानक में खतरनाक क्षेत्रों के लिए बिजली के उपकरणों के चुनाव से सम्बन्धित मार्गदर्शन प्रदान किया गया है।
(मूल्य रु० 3.50)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
64	IS : 5572 (भाग 1) - 1970 विद्युत् प्रतिष्ठानों के लिए खतरनाक क्षेत्रों का वर्गीकरण, भाग 1 गैस और भाप वाले		<p>इस मानक में पेट्रोलियम परिशोधनालामों और अन्य ऐसे ही स्थानों जहाँ गैसों और भापों के कारण विस्फोट होने का खतरा होता है और जिनमें ज्वलनशील गैसों और उड़नशील द्रवों के संदर्भ में निम्नलिखित काम होते हैं इन विद्युत् प्रतिष्ठानों के लिए क्षेत्रों का वर्गीकरण बताया गया है।</p> <p>क) उन पर कोई प्रक्रम किया जाता है।</p> <p>ख) उनका भंडार है; या</p> <p>ग) चढ़ाया, उतारा अथवा किसी प्रकार धरा, उठाया जाता है।</p> <p>यह मानक उन क्षेत्रों से सम्बन्धित है जहाँ की गैसों और भाप वायु से अधिक भरी होती है।</p> <p>(मूल्य रु० 4.00)</p>
65	IS : 5587-1970 ईस हैण्ड की विशिष्टि		<p>इस मानक में ईस हैण्ड के सम्बन्ध में माप सम्बन्धी तथा अन्य अपेक्षाएँ निर्धारित की गई हैं।</p> <p>(मूल्य रु० 3.00)</p>
66	IS : 5594-1970 कृत्रिम हस्त अंगों के लिए रोटर की विशिष्टि]		<p>इस मानक में घातु के कफ वाली अथवा बिना कफ वाली कृत्रिम हस्त अंगों के लिए रोटर (जिसे मानक रोटर भी कहते हैं) के विषय में माप सम्बन्धी तथा अन्य अपेक्षाएँ निर्धारित की गई हैं।</p> <p>(मूल्य रु० 3.00)</p>

- 67 IS : 5607-1970 अर्ध स्वचल कोहनी जोड़ की विशिष्टि
इस मानक में कृत्रिम भुजा के साथ प्रयुक्त अर्ध स्वचल कोहनी जोड़ के विषय में माप सम्बन्धी तथा अन्य अपेक्षाएं निर्धारित की गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 5.00)
- 68 IS : 5614-1970 तम्बाकू के तेल की विशिष्टि
इस मानक में तम्बाकू के तेल के सम्बन्ध में आवश्यक अपेक्षाएं तथा बानगी लेने और परीक्षण की पद्धतियां दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 1.00)
- 69 IS : 5632-1970 बुलफामाइड चूर्ण की विशिष्टि
इस मानक में लोह मिश्रधातु उद्योग में प्रयुक्त बुलफामाइड तेज चूर्ण के विषय में अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 1.50)
- 70 IS : 5633-1970 वैनेडियम पेंटा आक्साइड की विशिष्टि
इस मानक में वैनेडियम पेंटाआक्साइड सम्बन्धी अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 1.50)
- 71 IS : 5634-1970 मालिब्डीनम आक्साइड (तकनीकी) की विशिष्टि
इस मानक में मालिब्डीनम आक्साइड (तकनीकी) सम्बन्धी अपेक्षाएं दी गई हैं।
(मूल्य रु० 1.50)

इन भारतीय मानकों की प्रतियां विक्री के लिए भारतीय मानक संस्था, मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-1 से तथा आगे बताए स्थानों में स्थित उसके शाखा कार्यालयों से प्राप्त की जा सकती हैं (1) 534 सरदार बल्लभ भाई पटेल रोड, बम्बई-7 (2) 5, चौरंगी एप्रोच रोड, कलकत्ता-13, (3) 54 जरल पेटर्स रोड, मद्रास-2 (4) 117/418, बी, सर्वोदय नगर कानपुर (5) 5-9-20 1/2, विराम अली लेन, हैदराबाद-1, और (6) सिड्डीकैट बैंक बिल्डिंग, गांधी नगर, बंगलौर-9।

[सं० सी० एम० डी०/13:2]

ए० के० गुप्ता
उपमहानिदेशक।

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION**(Department of Labour and Employment)***New Delhi, the 7th May 1971*

S.O. 2111.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery of Messrs Burrakur Coal Company Limited, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 29th April, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA.

REFERENCE NO. 14 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery of Messrs Burrakur Coal Company Limited.

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers.—Sri S. B. Sanyal, Legal Adviser, Bihar Organisation of Industrial Employers.

On behalf of Workmen.—Sri Rajdeo Singh, President, Bankola Workers' Union.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/44/70-LR.II, dated December 11, 1970, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bankola Colliery of Messrs Burrakur Coal Company Limited, and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether in view of the nature of duties performed by Shri Sudhir Roy, Shale Picker, the management of Bankola Colliery of Messrs Burrakur Coal Company Limited, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan is justified in not designation him as loading Supervisor. If not, to what relief is the workman entitled and from what date?"

2. The workmen, represented by Bankola Workers' Union, filed a written statement. It is necessary for me to set out hereunder the first four paragraphs from the said written statement:

- "1. That the workman Shri Sudhir Roy has been working as a Loading Supervisor in Bankola Colliery since 1966 but all along booked and paid as a Shale Picker.
2. That he was taken as a shale Picker during 1964 and when found efficient was entrusted with supervision of the work of Wagon Loaders, Shale Pickers, Wagon Shunters and assist the Loading Inspector and drawing the Wagons etc. during his shift.
3. That his designation was not changed nor he was given any official letter to that effect but he was compensated by some extra payment.
4. That the extra payment was stopped and he demanded for his proper grading and designation but it was neglected."

It was further pleaded, in the written statement, that the demand on behalf of the workmen to designate the workmen, Sudhir Roy, as loading supervisor and to put him in grade III of the clerical staff did not meet with favourable response. In these circumstances, the workmen prayed that Sudhir Roy should be designated as a Loading Supervisor and put in grade III of the clerical staff.

3. The management also filed a written statement. It was pleaded, in paragraph 2 of the said written statement, that in Bankola colliery there was no designation known as 'Loading Supervisor' nor was such designation to be found in the recommendations of the Central Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry. In paragraph 5 of the written statement, it was pleaded, that whenever the concerned workman discharged some extra responsibilities over and above that of a shale picker, he was always paid some extra remuneration therefor. In paragraph 7 of the written statement, the management pleaded, that the concerned workman did not possess the requisite qualification for being promoted as an Assistant Loading clerk, who must have "fluent knowledge of English". In paragraph 10 of the written statement, it was pleaded that there was no vacancy in the clerical staff and therefore the question of appointing the concerned workman as a Clerk did not arise. In paragraph 12 of the written statement it was pleaded, ".....Sri Sudhir Roy is working as a shale picker and a mere mis-description, if any, will not change his designation inasmuch as there is no category as Loading Supervisor either in the instant colliery or in the Wage Board".

4. This is in brief the summary of the pleading which I need bear in mind in this reference.

5. Reading the order of reference, I find that the dispute stands within a very short compass. The dispute is that the workman Sudhir Roy, a shale picker, was being utilised not as a shale picker but was being exploited in other duties and was being deprived of the proper designation of the office where he was being exploited and consequently being deprived of the emoluments attached to that office.

6. The concerned workman himself deposed in proof of his case. According to his written statement (paragraph 2), he was appointed as a shale picker in the year 1964. According to his evidence, however, he was appointed, as a shale picker, in the year 1963. Be that as it may, the case made on behalf of the workmen in the written statement, was that he was being utilised as a loading supervisor since 1966. He at first stated, although working as a loading supervisor he was being paid the remuneration of a shale picker. But thereafter, in answer to a question put by the Tribunal, he admitted that over and above the remuneration of a shale picker, he used to get, at times, some extra remuneration for extra work done by him. In answer to a further question put by the Tribunal later on, he made the position absolutely clear with the following statement:

"It is not as if I used to be paid at times some extra payment for work done. I used to be regularly paid for extra work whenever done."

7. If I consider this version of the case by the workman, it seems that his grievance is not monetary, because he has been paid for extra work done by him, but his grievance is delimited to alleged deprivation of a status. The workman is, however, not consistent in his version. In answer to a question put in cross-examination he said:

I do not want any promotion. I want my remuneration for work done." He, however, swallowed this in answer to a question put by the Tribunal and said:

"I do not want an increase in salary but I want a designation of loading supervisor."

Mr. Rajdeo Singh, who appeared for the workmen, however, argued that the concerned workman was not being paid proper remuneration of a loading supervisor, although he was being given some extra remuneration for extra work done. He drew inspiration for this part of his argument from the following extract from the deposition of the concerned workman:

"I do not know if any remuneration for loading supervisor was fixed under the recommendations of the Central Wage Boards. As a Shale picker, I get Rs. 37.70 paise per week. Loading Supervisors should get Rs. 201/- per month. Ram Asray is a loading Supervisor. He gets Rs. 201/- per month."

Unfortunately for Mr. Rajdeo Singh, this part of the deposition by the workman is palpably untrue and contradicted by documentary evidence, called for from the management by the workman himself. This will appear from the further extract from the evidence of the concerned workman himself:

"(Shown Bonus Register for the years 1969 and 1970, entry of employee Ram Asray. Also shown column 'Gross weekly earnings of Ram

Asray in the region of Rs. 38 to 41 for the year 1969 and in the region of Rs 40 to 49 for the year 1970). Witness says he is not aware of the entries. (The Bonus register for 1969 for Ram Asray for 1969 marked Ex. 2 and for 1970 marked Ex. 3)."

Mr. Rajdeo Singh wanted to minimise the value of the documentary evidence with the help of a statement appearing in the evidence of witness no. 3 (Parash Nath Singh, shale picker), examined on behalf of the workmen. This workman deposed:

"To Tribunal.—Two or three years ago Ram Asray used to get Rs. 34 and odd per week. For three months Ram Asray got Rs. 201/- per month.

Cross-examination.—It is untrue that he got Rs. 201/- per month in those months when he did extra work. I do not agree with you that he never got Rs. 201/- per month."

Parash Nath Singh is a casual workman. He appears to know too much. In my opinion, he is a foresworn witness when he says Ram Asray began to be paid Rs. 201/- per month three months ago.

8. The question for my consideration is whether the concerned workman Sudhir Roy was at all working as a Loading Supervisor, since 1966 and, if so, what remuneration he should have been paid. Now, there is no dispute about the point that the Central Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry did not anywhere use the expression 'Loading Supervisor' nor fix any remuneration for such an office. But, although not to be found in the recommendations of the Central Wage Board, it is not unthinkable that a colliery may have some official designations not to be found in the recommendations of the Wage Board. Mr. Rajdeo Singh argued that in Bankola colliery certain officers used to be designated as loading supervisors. In support of this contention he relied upon the following documents:

(i) Ex. A.

T.K. Please make O.T. on the following Supervisors worked on Sunday 18th January, 1970.

(1) Ramasri Kahar

(2) Sudhir Roy

(3) Omar Khan

N

Mr. Rajdeo Singh argued that Ramasri Kahar was the same person as Ram Asray Singh.

(ii) Ex. 4:

T.K. Please make 12 twelve hours. O. T. against Sudhir Roy (L. Supervisor) on 13th May, 1970 (Supply 35 Box) (for five) 4 A.M. to 12 P.M.—12 hours O. T.

He went to clear the Cross-conveyer 4 A.M. to 6 A.M. to attend to clear as per manager's instruction and after the cross-conveyer, ?? fire. that attended to fire.

(iii) Ex. B series.—This goes to indicate that there is a designation of workmen as loading supervisor.

Illustrative of the entries made in Ex. B series, I set out hereinbelow an extract from Ex. B:

The following Shale Pickers engaged on 23rd October, 1968 against supply 35 Box.

	Permanent	Temporary
1. Registered keeper		
2. Loading Supervisor	3	
3. Depot Peon	x	1
4. B. B. Belt	8	1
5. No. 1 Incline Belt	3	x
6. No. 2 Sdg. in wagon	6	6
7. No. 1 Sdg. in wagon	6	3
8. Superintendent Bungalow	2	x"

From the above pieces of documentary evidence it appears that the designation 'Loading Supervisor' is not unknown in Bankola colliery.

9. Mr. S. B. Sanyal, who appeared for the management, however, argued that this was mis-description, as pleaded in paragraph 12 of the written statement filed by the management. I have no evidence that this must be the position in Bankola colliery. Even then, the question for my consideration is whether the concerned workman was posted, from 1966 onward, as a loading supervisor. There is no evidence of that. On the other hand, it appears from the documentary evidence that the concerned workman was a shale picker and was engaged as a loading supervisor on certain dates only. From the evidence of the workman it appears that he was paid extra remuneration for extra work done as a loading supervisor. In my opinion, the position really is that Sudhir Roy is a shale picker. His services may have often been requisitioned to supervise the shale pickers' work and for such supervision work he was paid some extra remuneration. He now aspires permanently to do supervision work and not to work as a shale picker any longer. He has not stated in his evidence the amount of extra remuneration that he has been paid. There is nothing to show before me that he has been inadequately paid. I do not feel that he has any right permanently to be posted as a loading supervisor, nor do I feel that he must be paid so extra remuneration over and above his wages as a shale picker, although he may not be required to work as a loading supervisor. Further, he admits himself that he does not possess the requisite qualification to work as a clerk.

10. In the result, I hold that the management of Bankola colliery of Messrs Burrakar Coal Company Limited was justified in not designating Sudhir Roy as a loading supervisor. The workman is not entitled to any relief.

This is my award.

Dated,
April 20, 1970.

Sd./- B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.
[No. 6/44/70-LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 14th May, 1971

S.O. 2112.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of P. D. Kajora Colliery, Post Office Kajoramgram, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 5th May, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 23 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of P. D. Kajora Colliery.

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers, Absent.

On behalf of Workmen, Absent.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/87/70-LR.II, dated January 6, 1971, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of P. D. Kajora Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of P. D. Kajora Colliery, Post Office Kajoramgram, District Burdwan is justified in not paying variable dearness allowance at the rate of Rs. 1.53 per day with effect from 1st April, 1970

in accordance with the recommendation of the Central Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry as accepted by the Government of India in their Resolution No. WB-16(5)/66, dated the 21st July, 1967? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. In this reference parties have adopted a strange attitude of non-cooperation with this Tribunal. On being called upon to file their written statement, the management wrote a letter to this Tribunal dated February 15, 1971, couched in the following strange language:

"***we beg to inform you that we have received the above notice only today and asked us to submit written statement within 15 days; but in view of not aware of anything on the above matter neither received any copy from Ministry of Labour, Employment & Rehabilitation, Government of India, New Delhi, order No. 6/87/70-LR-II, dated 6th January, 1971 nor from our Colliery employees and thus at a loss to understand, the exact position, so, Sir, please order your office to permit us with either copy of the order No. 6/87/70-LR-II, of 6th January, 1971 or the objection petition filed with you to enable us to file written statement,..."

Thereupon, the Tribunal informed the management that they were at liberty to inspect the order of Reference and other relevant papers before this Tribunal. Nobody came to inspect the document. On the other hand, they wrote another demi-official letter to the Presiding Officer of this Tribunal asking for full copies of all relevant papers in connection with the case. All that the Tribunal could do was to await for formal application for copy. Thereafter, parties were called upon by notice, to appear before the Tribunal, on April 19, 1971, which date was fixed for settling the peremptory date of hearing of the Reference. On that day neither the management nor the workmen appeared. Today was fixed as the date of peremptory hearing and the parties were informed of the date by notice, which was duly received by them. Today also neither the management nor the workmen appeared. The management has again sent a demi-official letter to the Presiding Officer of the Tribunal stating that they were not aware of the subject matter of the Reference and, therefore, unable to file their written statement.

3. The conduct of the workmen was also strange. The General Secretary of their trade union, Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (CITU) duly received all notices but they neither filed any written statement nor appeared before this Tribunal at any stage.

4. In these circumstances, I am constrained to feel that the parties are not serious in prosecuting their dispute before this Tribunal and I pass a 'no dispute' award in the matter.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

Dated: April 30, 1971.

[No. 6/87/70-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 18th May 1971

S.O. 2113.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of Shri O. Maheepathi, Arbitrator, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs Gypsum Limited, Bikaner and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 15th May, 1971.

ARBITRATION AWARD UNDER SECTION 10A OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947, IN THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE—BETWEEN M/s. BIKANER GYPSUMS LTD., BIKANER AND THEIR WORKMEN.

PRESENT:

Shri O. Maheepathi, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (C) & Arbitrator-
Representing Employer: Bikaner Gypsums Ltd., Bikaner.

Shri A. K. Mukharjee, Personnel Manager.

Representing workmen: Gypsum Mine Workers Union, Bikaner.

1. Shri V. N. Gupta, Secretary.

2. Shri B. L. Ojha, Joint Secretary.

Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh.

Shri Dillbagh Singh, Vice-President.

The representatives of M/s. Bikaner Gypsums Ltd. and Gypsum Mine Workers Union, Bikaner had, by a written agreement signed on 29th July, 1970 under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 agreed to refer the 16 specific matters in dispute annexed to the agreement, to my arbitration and the said arbitration agreement was published as S.O. No. 3171 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii) dated 26th September, 1970. The agreement had provided that the arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 6 months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between them in writing and that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on them. The parties later on agreed that in view of the fact that certain information and data were to be collected, the arbitrator may give his award by 25th April, 1971. During the course of hearing, another union viz. the Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, Jamsar which is also a recognised union in the establishment pleaded that it should also be made a party, to the proceedings before me. The Sangh's request was acceded to with the common consent of the other two parties to the arbitration agreement.

2. As mentioned earlier, there are 16 issues in dispute and I shall now deal with them in serial order :—

- (1) Whether any anomaly in the wages of Shri S. L. Thanvi, Mohan Lal, P. C. Dass, H. K. Bhargava, U. S. Suthar and P. R. Mazoomadar, all clerks and Supervisors has been caused by way of fitment of Shri Ram Jeevan and A. K. Biswas, as per the award of Shri O. Maheepathi, dated the 4th October, 1969, if so to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled?

The contention of the union is that all the persons involved in this issue were senior to Shri Ram Jeevan Sharma who has been fitted at a basic salary of Rs. 116 w.e.f. 1st October, 1966 in terms of my previous award dated 4th October, 1969 and as cases of these workers were not then referred to the arbitrator, the same were not considered earlier. The management on the other hand submitted that on the date of reference, none of the workmen in question was a clerk and all of them were promoted as senior clerks from 1st October, 1969 and one of the supervisors was promoted as sampling assistant from the same date. The claim of the union now is only in respect of relief for the period from 1st October, 1960 to 1st October, 1969 during which period, the anomaly in pay did exist. The parties have also confirmed that besides these cases, there are no other cases of such nature. For the reasons stated in my earlier Award I decide that S/Shri S. L. Thanvi, Mohan Lal, P. C. Dass, H. K. Bhargava, U.S. Suthar and P. R. Mazoomadar shall be fitted at a basic wage of Rs 116 from 1st October, 1966

- (2) Whether any anomaly has been created in the matter of wages of senior clerks and A.L.F.s. namely Shri R. A. Sharma, N. G. Saha, S. N. Shimpia, D. D. Bhaduri, R. K. Lal Gupta, B. N. Gupta, Harijeet Singh, Kanti Prashad, Shanti Prakash by way of giving three step increments fitting in the grade of senior clerks to Shri S. B. Lal Bhatnagar, Jaswant Singh, Raj Kumar and Virendra Kumar as per O. Maheepathi's award dated 4th October, 1969. If so, to what relief are they entitled?

The second issue is also similar to issue No. 1 but two distinct categories have been clubbed together in this. These cases were not considered earlier as they were not the subject matter of previous arbitration. The management's argument in this case is also similar to the one they advanced in respect of issue No. 1. The management further submitted that in the case of the ALFs, the concerned workmen have been promoted to the rank of Junior Foreman (loading) in the scale of Rs. 160—400 and that they have been given much larger benefits than the senior clerks as indicated below :

Shri B. L. Saha ALF	1.10.52	1.10.69	Rs. 300/-
„ B. N. Gupta „	17.1.62	7-10.69	Rs. 250/-
„ D. D. Singh „	5.6.60	1.10.70	Rs. 235/-
„ Shanti Prakash „	1.3.61	1.10.70	Rs. 235/-
„ Kanti Prashad „	25.7.64	1.10.70	Rs. 220/-
„ Harijeet Singh „	18.3.65	1.10.70	Rs. 220/-
„ B. D. Joshi „	4.8.69	1.10.70	Rs. 205/-

I agree with the management that comparison should not be made between different categories of work or workmen; but for historical reasons there have been inter changes in certain categories in BGL. In view of the submissions of the parties who have assured me that there are no similar cases I feel that the interests of equity would be met if these workmen are treated similar to those dealt

with in my earlier Award. I therefore feel that without prejudice to the contentions of the management S/Shri R. A. Sharma, N. G. Saha, S. N. Bhimra, D. D. Bhaduri, R. K. Lail Gupta, B. N. Gupta, Harjeet Singh, Kanti Prashad and Shanti Prakash should be given 3 step increments w.e.f. 1st October, 1966 and fitted in their grades and I give my Award accordingly.

- (3) Whether the demand of the union that Shri V. N. Gupta be paid acting allowance as compared to Shri N. N. Singh from 5th October, 1965 to 9th January, 1967 for the post of A.L.F. is justified. If so to what relief is he entitled?

The union has argued that S/Shri V. N. Gupta and N. N. Singh had worked in the same nature of jobs in two shifts in the loading section of the company at Dhirera mines and as Shri N. N. Singh's case which was referred to the arbitrator earlier was decided in his favour, similar acting allowance should be awarded to Shri V. N. Gupta for the period mentioned. The management's argument was only that no authority has been produced showing that Shri V. N. Gupta was authorised to work as Assistant foreman (Loading) and hence the demand cannot be conceded. The union submitted that there are many documents in which Shri V. N. Gupta has signed in the same manner as was signed by Shri N. N. Singh which themselves would indicate that Shri Gupta was authorised to work as Assistant Foreman (Loading). In the absence of the documents and records in support of this contention I would not verify this fact. The management should therefore undertake verification of the records for the period in question and if Shri Gupta had performed similar duties as those of Shri N. N. Singh during the period in question Shri Gupta should be treated on par with Shri N. N. Singh and the former be paid the acting allowance for the period during which he had actually worked as such.

- (4) Whether the demand of the union that in view of Shri O. Maheepathi's award in respect of Shri D. D. Singh, A.L.F. and Shri Chait Ram, A.Q.F. the dates of increments of Shri Shanti Prakash be also changed from 1st June, 1967 to 8th April, 1964 is justified, if so to what relief the concerned workman is entitled?

This case also has arisen because the workmen in question were not included in the previous reference and as such, their cases could not be considered. For the reasons I had already indicated in my previous award dated 4th October, 1969, Shri Shanti Prakash, A.L.F. should be given the same relief viz. the date of increment be changed from 1-6-1967 to 8-4-64 as was given to Shri D. D. Singh, A.L.F. and to Shri Chait Ram, A.Q.F.

- (5) Whether the demand of the union that the electrician be also given the same uniform as are being awarded for wireman and attendants by the arbitrator Shri O. Maheepathi in his award dated 21st February, 1970 is justified, if so to what relief the concerned workmen is entitled to?

On a previous reference regarding uniforms to wireman etc. I had, in my arbitration award dated 30th January, 1970 (published in Gazette of India dated 21st February, 1970) laid down certain criteria for supply of the same. One of the conditions laid down was that the wiremen have to work in shift duties and in severe climatic conditions. The management has submitted that the duties of electrician and wireman are not identical and that the electrician does not have to work in the second shift and has no hazardous job. This is a question of fact on which no evidence was adduced before me by either party and hence I am unable to give positive decision.

- (6) Whether the demand of the union that helpers other than workshop be supplied with uniforms same as being supplied to workshop helpers is justified if so to what relief are they entitled?

The main contention of the union is that the helpers working in Sections other than those in workshops have to discharge their duties in the open fields and as these helpers were mostly engaged in the survey, quarry, water supply and sampling sections where the job is of such a nature in which their clothes always get dirty and torn by blasting material and carrying water tins while supplying water to the staff, they should be supplied uniforms. The management has denied these contentions of the union and submitted that the company is running at a heavy loss specially at Jamsar where gypsum deposits have exhausted and it is very difficult for them to provide gainful employment and that the supply of uniforms to all the helpers would entail large financial implications and the management is not in a position to bear any extra financial liability on this account. I am afraid that no convincing case for supply of uniforms to the helpers has been made and as such, I am unable to grant any relief.

- (7) Whether the demand of the union that the principles regarding promotion laid down in the settlement dated 1st October, 1969 be made

applicable for the following categories of workers and also new categories be created for promoting the categories in the grades suggested as under is justified, if so to what relief are the concerned workmen entitled?

(a) Promotions (Categorywise)

(i) Helpers (Workshop)	Attendant, Driller Driver (Light Vehicle) 65—132
(ii) Khallasi (Survey)	Attendant (Survey) 65—132 (New designation to be created)
(iii) Helper (other section)	Chowkidar, Peon, Orderly etc.
(iv) Senior Peon	Assistant Sampler
(v) Attendants	Fitter, Turner, Welder, Auto Electrician Gen. & Telephone Operators, Wireman 'A', Blacksmith.
(vi) Fitters, Bulldozer Operator	Mechanic Shovel Operator.
(vii) Mechanic and Electrician	Junior Foreman (Mech.) and Elec.
(viii) Blacksmith	Blacksmith (Mech.) (New Post to be created) 130—325
(ix) Carpenter	Sr. Carpenter (New designation) 130—325.
(x) Wireman 'A'	Electrician

There has already been an agreement on 1st October, 1969 regarding promotion policy and according to management, the demand of the union for promotions in respect of various categories is not in conformity with the line of promotion already agreed to under that settlement. Further, to determine the lines of promotion, a detailed study of job descriptions of different designations in the establishment and the standard force etc has to be undertaken. In view of the fact that a settlement has recently been reached it is only fair to expect that a further revision to that settlement can be undertaken after expiry of its term. In the circumstances, I am unable to provide the relief asked for by the union viz to make applicable the same principles for promotions in the categories mentioned above for the posts indicated against them.

- (8) Whether the demand of the union that the grades in respect of senior chowkidar as incorporated in the settlement dated 2nd October, 1967 be corrected from the date of settlement from Rs. 45—2—50—65—EB—5—100 to grade Rs. 55—5—65—EB—5—100 is justified if so to what relief are the concerned senior chowkidars entitled?

There were typographical errors in the scales of pay mentioned under this item. The parties had therefore corrected the same as indicated below :—

	For	Read
Chowkidar	Rs. 45—2.50—65—EB—5—100	Rs. 40—2.50—55—5—95
Sr. Chowkidar	Rs. 55—5—65—EB—5—100	Rs. 55—5—65—EB—5—110

The union's contention is that according to the existing scale, a chowkidar drawing a basic salary of Rs. 55 p.m. will get an yearly increment of Rs. 5 and his basic will be Rs. 60 whereas in the case of head/senior chowkidar drawing a basic salary of Rs. 55, the increment will be Rs. 2.50 per annum and his basic will be Rs. 57.50 only. There appears to have been a genuine mistake committed in respect of the increment rates and the demand for revising the scale of senior chowkidar is justified. I therefore award that the existing scale of Rs. 40—2.50—55—5—95 should be revised to Rs. 55—5—65—EB—5—110.

- (9) Whether the demand of the union that Diesel Mechanics Shri Mangoo and Shri Nazie be fitted at a basic salary of Rs. 200 since the date of

appointment of Shri Lal Singh in the post of Diesel Mechanic is justified, if so to what relief are the concerned workmen entitled?

The demand of the union is that Shri Mangoo and Shri Nazie should be fitted at a basic salary of Rs. 200 since the date of appointment of Shri Lal Singh i.e. 24th February, 1964 as the former two were senior to the latter. In their written statements, they have also stated that Shri Lal Singh came to get more pay because of five extra step increments. The management submitted that Shri Lal Singh was given higher starting salary according to his qualifications and his demand and his salary cannot be compared with others. Further, the date of appointment should not always be related to the emoluments for there are very many factors which may help increase the emoluments. I do not see any justification for the demand of the union in this case and hence the question of relief does not arise.

- (10) Whether the demand of the union that all the employees along with their family members be allowed free railway fare as per T.A. rules once in three years for touring any part of the country, is justified, if so to what relief are the employees entitled?

According to management they are allowing railway fares to their employees only under Joshi Award and as per the T.A. Rules for visiting their native places to meet their family members once in 3 years beyond a radius of 300 miles from the places of their duty. The demand of the union is that all employees and their family members should be given free railway fare by the class to which they are entitled as per T.A. Rules, irrespective of the mileage to be covered once in three years for touring any part of the country, as the limitation of 300 miles is creating difficulties for a large number of employees who belong to nearby places and as their family members have invariably to travel in III class while they travel in the entitled class. While I appreciate the need for extending the facility to the family members of the employee, in view of the present economic and financial position of the company, it may not be possible for them to extend the facilities as demanded by the union. I however feel that in respect of those employees who are now being paid railway fare according to the TA Rules of the company and under the Joshi Award, their family members—wife/husband and dependent children—should also be entitled to the same class by which the employee travels. The other conditions would remain unaltered, except that in respect of those employees who are presently entitled to travel only by III class in rail, the present limit of 300 miles should however be reduced to 100 miles.

- (11) Whether the demand of the union that in addition to the present house rent allowance being paid to Bikaner Office staff, an increase at 25 per cent of the basic wage of the Bikaner Office staff be granted subject to minimum Rs. 25 p.m. + 10 per cent of D.A. and Basic is justified, if so to what relief are they entitled?

The management has submitted that at present they are paying 10 per cent of basic wages as house rent allowance to their Bikaner office staff under an award and that the problem of housing at Bikaner is not as acute as is tried to be made out and that besides the H.R.A., there are other facilities such as free tea that are being enjoyed by the Bikaner office staff. In view of the fact that a longterm settlement regarding wages and emoluments was signed on 2nd October, 1967 and is due to expire on 1st October, 1972, I do not see any special justification for the demand at present.

- (12) Whether the demand of the union for effecting changes suggested as under in the gratuity rules as framed by the management is justified, if so to what relief are they entitled?

- (a) Clause 15 on page 2 be modified as:

"Salary or wage shall mean basic and dearness allowance, where separate D.A. is paid, where wages are consolidated it shall mean consolidated wages. No allowance shall be considered as part of wages or salary for the purpose of these rules."

- (b) Sub-Clause C of 5.4 on page 4 be deleted and the benefit of gratuity be made available to the retrenched persons also.

The union has made two demands under this item—(a) that gratuity be paid both on basic wages and D.A. and (b) the same should be paid even when retrenchment compensation is paid.

The management has contended that gratuity is being paid on basic wages only and the same is in conformity with the position prevailing in mining and other industries and also in Government and public sector undertakings. It is seen that the latest trend in 'gratuity schemes' is to take as much of D.A. as possible into

consideration for purposes of payment of gratuity and even in Government, a major portion of D.A. has been converted as Dearness Pay which is taken into consideration for purposes of payment of gratuity and at least in one State, legislative provisions exist for taking D.A. also into account for purposes of gratuity. It is also understood that the service for payment of gratuity in this company is being counted from 1st June, 1966. I therefore decide that for purposes of gratuity in Bikaner Gypsums Ltd. salary or wage shall mean both basic wage and dearness allowance where separate D.A. is paid and where wages are consolidated, shall mean "consolidated wages". No other allowances shall however be considered as part of wages or salary for purposes of this rule.

As retrenchment of persons is done because of certain circumstances and retrenchment compensation is paid under a different law, it is only fair that gratuity which is in recognition of the long service of workmen in the establishment should not be denied to the employees. I therefore award that in addition to retrenchment compensation, gratuity should also be paid to the workmen retrenched.

- (13) Whether the demand of the union that Shri B. K. Deb, Senior Stenographer be sanctioned 2 extra step increments from 1st October, 1967 is justified if so to what relief is he entitled?

The union's demand is mainly based on the fact that the management had given certain extra increments to Shri B. K. Deb's juniors. The management has explained that the increments were granted on the basis of their merit and that Shri B. K. Deb has already been granted relief by way of one special increment from 1st April, 1965 through the arbitration award dated 4th October, 1969 and has also been granted some relief under executive order dated 17th March, 1967. I see no justification in the demand of the union in this case.

- (14) Whether the demand of the union that all the clerks and supervisor senior to Shri A. K. Biswas be given a fitment of Rs. 7 with effect from 19th November, 1966 is justified. If so to what relief are they entitled?

The union's demand is that all clerks and supervisors senior to Shri A. K. Biswas be given a fitment of Rs. 7 w.e.f. 19th November, 1966 because S/Shri R. S. Gaur and 12 others were senior to Shri Biswas and as on 19th November, 1966, the date of his usual increment, Shri Biswas when he received his step increment after the fitment according to the arbitration award crossed his seniors and started to get more than his seniors due to which a serious anomaly has occurred. They therefore demanded that in order to bring the seniors at par with Shri Biswas in the category of clerks and supervisors who have been fixed at a basic salary of Rs. 116 w.e.f. 1st October, 1966, they be again fitted from 19th November, 1966 at a basic salary of Rs. 123 and the arrears paid to them. From the statement filed by the management none of Shri Biswas's seniors are getting less pay than Shri Biswas and I do not see any special justification as to why they should once again be fitted at Rs. 123 on 19th November, 1966 i.e. after a month and 19 days when they fitted at Rs. 116 on 1st October, 1966.

- (15) Whether the demand of the union that the rate of house allowance in respect of all the categories of workmen other than Bikaner Office of the Company subject to settlements in this matter be doubled to its present rates of house rent allowance is justified, if so to what relief are they entitled?

The union's demand is based on the fact that because of the large families, the employees have to maintain a separate house at their place or nearest city for which they have to spend an extra amount on account of house rent by curtailing their other dire necessities and hence the house rent be doubled at least. The management submitted that the house rent allowances are governed by a five-year memorandum of settlement dated 29th March, 1969 and the management has also granted 20 per cent and 25 per cent special allowance subject to a minimum of Rs. 25 beyond this house allowance to the workers concerned. During the course of hearing, the management offered that they will try to provide more accommodation to the extent possible. In view of the settlement and in view of the management's submissions, no separate relief is considered necessary.

- (16) Whether the demand of the union that Shri A. R. Gahlot be given 2 step extra increments since the date of promotion of Shri B. Bose welding-mechanic in order to remove the anomaly of wages of Shri Gahlot with Shri Bose is justified, if so to what relief the concerned workman is entitled?

The union's demand is that Shri Gahlot be given two step extra increments because he is senior to Shri Bose. The management submitted that Shri Gahlot is still a mechanic while Shri Bose is a welding mechanic and these two jobs are

essentially different and are not comparable. Further there is also no anomaly in this case. Seniority alone cannot be a criterion in giving extra increment. I do not see any justification in the union's demand which is therefore rejected. I give my award accordingly.

3. Wherever any amounts are to be paid to the workers in terms of this award, the same shall be paid within two months from the date the award comes into force.

(Sd.) O. MAHEEPATHI,

Dy. Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) & Arbitrator.

NEW DELHI;
25th April, 1971.

[No. 30(6)/70-LRIV.]

S.O. 2114.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Ena Colliery of Messrs North West Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dhansar, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th May, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2)
AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao, Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE No. 11 OF 1970

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Ena colliery of Messrs North West Coal Company Limited, Post office Dhansar, Distt. Dhanbad

AND

Their workmen

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Executive Committee Member, Indian Colliery Owners' Association.

On behalf of the workmen—Shri H. N. Singh, Vice President Koyala Ispat Mazdoor Panchayet.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, 6th May, 1971

AWARD

The Central Government being of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the employers in relation to the management of Ena colliery of Messrs North West Coal Company Limited, Post office Dhansar, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/55/70-LRII dated 7th October, 1970 referred to this Tribunal under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Ena colliery of Messrs North West Coal Company Limited, Post office Dhansar, District Dhanbad in refusing employment to Shri Jumrati Shaw, Machine Helper with effect from the 26th December, 1969 and terminating his lien on permanent employment with effect from the 29th December, 1969 was justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

2. Workmen as well as the employers filed their statements of demands.

3. Jumrati Shaw (hereinafter referred to as the affected workman) was a machine helper in Ena colliery of the employers during the material period. He proceeded home on 10 days sanctioned leave i.e. from 8th December, 1969 to 18th

December, 1969. He was to report for duties on 19th December, 1969. But he did not so. On 29th December, 1969 the management issued a letter to the affected workman intimating him that he had lost lien on his appointment and he had been kept on the badli list. These facts are not in dispute. Now, the case of the workmen is that having gone home the affected workman fell ill and sent an application accompanied by a medical certificate to the manager of the colliery for extension of his leave, that the application was received by the manager on 26th December, 1969, that on the same day the affected workman reported for his duty, but was not allowed to join duty by the manager, that on 28th December, 1969 again the affected workman requested the management to allow him to join his duties but, instead of allowing him to join his duties he was given the letter dated 29th December, 1969 intimating him that his lien had been forfeited and he had been placed on the badli list, that inspite of his repeated requests the affected workman was not given any opportunity nor was he asked to explain the circumstances for his delay in reporting to duty and that, as such, the action of the management was illegal and unjustified. The employers filed their statement admitting that they had received an application dated nil from the affected workman on 26th December, 1969 accompanied by a medical certificate dated 17th December, 1969 and stating that the applicant did not pray for extension of leave for any specific period, that the medical certificate was doubtful, that the affected workman did not return and report to duty within 8 days of the expiry of the leave originally granted and offer satisfactory explanation to the manager about his inability to return in time and that, as such, he automatically had lost lien on his appointment in terms of the standing orders. It is further stated that the affected workman had submitted an application to the management alleged to be dated 28th December, 1969 and it was received by the management on 30th December, 1969. The workmen were represented by Shri H. N. Singh, Vice President, Koyala Ispat Mazdoor Panchayat (formerly Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat) and the employers by Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Executive Committee Member, Indian Colliery Owners' Association. On admission by the employers, Exts. W.1 to W.3 for the workmen and on admission by the workmen, Exts. M1 to M4 for the employers were marked. On behalf of the workmen one witness was examined and Ext W.4 was marked. The employers also examined a witness and marked Exts. M5 to M7.

4 Admittedly, the affected workman was granted leave of 10 days from 8th December, 1969 to 18th December, 1969 and he was to report to duty on 19th December, 1969 but he did not report to duty on that day. It is also admitted by the parties that the management received an application accompanied by a medical certificate on 26th December, 1969. The application is Ext. M2 and the medical certificate accompanying it is Ext. M5. The contention of the employers is that there was no date on the letter and the medical certificate was ambiguous. The letter, Ext. M2 has no date on it. It is stated in the letter that owing to stomach ache the affected workman could not join duty on due date and that the doctor treating him was saying that he required a week's more time for rest. No request was made for extension of leave to a specific date in the application. The medical certificate, Ext. M5 is dated 17th December, 1969. It says, "he is under my treatment and in my opinion it must be continued for about 17th December, 1969. I recommend that a period of absence from duty of 17th December, 1969 days is absolutely necessary for restoration of his/her health." The management's case is that the medical certificate was ambiguous. It is obvious that no period is mentioned which was required according to the medical practitioner for restoration of health of the affected workman if not, upto 17th December, 1969. In order to clear the ambiguity the medical practitioner could be examined but it is not done and no reason is assigned. The envelopes in which Ext. M2 was received is produced and the postal seals on it show the dates 23 and 25. It follows that the letter, Ext. M 2 was posted on 23th December, 1969 and delivered to the management on 26th December, 1969. The contention of the employers cannot be rejected as untrue that the application, Ext. M2 and the medical certificate, Ext. M5 were ambiguous. Justifiably the employers did not extend the leave and they were not bound to extend the leave unless they were satisfied of bonafides. Further the contention of the workmen is that the affected workman had reported for duty on 26th December, 1969 but he was refused duty. This is spoken to on oath by the affected workman, WW. 1 and also denied on oath by the manager, MW. 1. Admittedly, an application was submitted by the affected workman to the manager and it was received on 30th December 1969. It is Ext. W.2 and it is the same as Ext. M4. It is a typed application and it is dated 28th December, 1969. According to the employers it is anti-dated. At the very commencement the letter refers to the letter of the manager No. Misc/69/1835 dated 29th December, 1969, Ext. M5 by which the affected workman was intimated that he had lost lien on his appointment. In para 7 of the letter, Ext. W.2 it is mentioned that after he became at the affected workman reported for duty on 29th December, 1969 and in para 8

It is stated that he is suspended from 29th December, 1969. On 9th January, 1970 another application, Ext. W.4 was submitted to the manager making an attempt to correct the date 29th December, 1969 occurring in para 7 and para 8 of the previous letter, Ext. W.2, dated 26th December, 1969. But it is not denied that Ext. W.2 was addressed having received Ext. M.3 which is dated 29th December, 1969. Hence, this letter, Ext. W.2 could not have been addressed on 28th December, 1969. Not only in the reference but in para 8 also it is stated that "but I was not allowed to resume duty—Mourn I have been forced to receive a letter mentioned for your reference. "It only means that when he reported for duty he was forced to receive, Ext. M.3 dated 29th December, 1969 instead of allowing him to resume duty. It further means that his reporting to duty and his being forced to receive Ext. M.3 were simultaneous. So this letter, Ext. W.2 could not have been addressed on 28th December, 1969. Further, the letter Ext. W.2 shows that he had reported for duty on 29th December, 1969 and not on 26th December, 1969, as was tried to show through the subsequent letter, Ext. W.4, because if he had reported for duty on 26th December, 1969 he could not be forced to receive, Ext. M.3 dated 29th December, 1969 instead of allowing him duty. No where in Ext. W.2 was it stated that after he recouped his health he reported for duty on 26th December, 1969. The inference is that the affected workman had not turned up in the colliery and reported to duty at any time earlier than 29th December, 1969. According to the manager, MW 1 the affected workman had gone to the colliery only on 30th December, 1969. Ext. W.2 supports the evidence of the Manager MW 1. In the result, I find that after expiry of his leave the affected workman reported for duty only on 30th December, 1969. In other words, he reported for duty beyond 8 days of the expiry of the leave granted to him. Clause 11 of the standing orders, Ext. M.7 states thus:

"If any employee remains absent beyond the period of leave originally granted or subsequently extended he shall lose his lien on his appointment unless:—

- (1) he returns within 8 days of the expiry of the leave, and
- (2) gives an explanation to the satisfaction of the manager of his inability to return before the expiry of leave. In case the employee loses his lien on the appointment he shall be entitled to be kept on the "Badli" list.

When this Tribunal interpreted a similar standing order to mean that before terminating the lien of the absentee workman the management should give him an opportunity to explain and produce evidence in support of his explanation as to why he was unable to join his work in time, the Hon'ble High Court of Patna set aside the awards of this Tribunal in Reference Nos. 74/67 and 81/67 respectively in CWJC No. 779 of 1967 and CWJC No. 106 of 1968 and indicated that when the workman does not return within 8 days of the expiry of leave his lien on his appointment comes to an end automatically as laid down by the Supreme Court in *National Engineering Industries, Ltd., Jaipur v. Hanuman* (1967-11-L.L.J. 883). In this view I find that the action taken by the management when the affected workman did not return within 8 days of the expiry of his leave, was justified.

5. I, therefore, find that the action of the management of Ena colliery of Messrs North West Coal Company Limited, Post office Dhansar, District Dhanbad in terminating the lien on permanent employment of Shri Jumrati Shaw, Machine Helper with effect from 29th December, 1969 was justified and that the management did not refuse employment to him on the 26th December, 1969 as he did not report on that date for duty and consequently, he is not entitled to any relief. The award is made accordingly and submitted under Sec. 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO, Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal
(No. 2) Dhanbad.

[No. 2/55/70-LRII.]

S.O. 2115.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of South Bullari Kendwadhi Colliery of Messrs East Indian Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kusunda (Dhanbad) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 11th May, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao. Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE NO. 26 OF 1970

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of South Bullari Kendwadih colliery of Messrs East Indian Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kusunda (Dhanbad).

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers.—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

On behalf of the workmen.—Shri S. Dasgupta, Secretary Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, 4th May 1971

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of South Bullari Kendwadih colliery of Messrs East Indian Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kusunda (Dhanbad) and their workmen, by its order No. 2/83/70-LRII dated 23rd October, 1970 referred to this Tribunal under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the action of the management of South Bullari Kendwadih colliery of Messrs East Indian Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kusunda (Dhanbad) in suspending Shri S. D. Choubey, Mining Sirdar (E. B. No. 33520) from the 3rd January, 1970 to 9th January, 1970 as a measure of punishment is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?”

2. The employers as well as the workmen filed their statements of demands.

3. S. D. Choubey (hereinafter referred to as the affected workman) was a mining Sirdar in South Bullari Kendwadih colliery of the employers. On 3rd January, 1970 he was issued a charge-sheet alleging that on 2nd January, 1970 at about 8.45 A.M. while he was idling his time on the surface, M. K. Singh, 1st Class A. C. M. asked him to go down in East Dip 6 Pit, but he replied him in a very filthy language and did not carry out the instruction and that the above acts on the part of the affected workman were misconduct under clause 27 (5) & (18) of the standing orders. By the same charge-sheet the affected workman was suspended pending enquiry. On 6th January, 1970 the affected workman submitted his explanation on the same charge-sheet denying the allegations. The Welfare Officer of the colliery held a domestic enquiry into the charge-sheet on 28th January, 1970 and submitted his report finding the affected workman guilty of using filthy and insubordinative words against M. K. Singh. Accepting the finding the colliery manager issued a letter to the affected workman treating his suspension pending enquiry as a measure of punishment and also advising him to be cautious against any reoccurrence of such acts in future. These facts are admitted. The case of the workmen is that the enquiry was a show, that at the enquiry full opportunity to defend himself was not given to the affected workman, that the enquiry officer was not competent to conduct the enquiry, that the findings of the enquiry officer were perverse and that the action of the management in suspending the affected workman was unjustified, illegal, mala fide and in violation of the principles of natural justice amounting to victimisation for trade union activities and unfair labour practice. The employers have pleaded that the enquiry was proper, that the punishment of the affected workman was for proved misconduct

and was justified and that the management took a very lenient view in awarding the punishment. The workmen were represented by Shri S. Dasgupta, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate. On admission by the employers, Exts. W1 to W4 for the workmen and on admission by the workmen, Exts. M1 to M6 for the employers were marked. On behalf of the workmen 2 witnesses were examined and Ext. W5 was marked. The employers examined 2 witnesses and marked Exts. M7 to M9.

4. The Charge-sheet issued to the affected workman on 3rd January, 1970 is Ex. M1. Three allegations were made in it, that at about 8.45 A.M. on 2nd January, 1970 the affected workman (1) was idling his time on the surface, (2) he used filthy language against M. K. Singh, 1st Class A.C.M. and (3) did not carry out the instructions of M. K. Singh to go down in East Dip 6 Pit. It was also stated that the above acts on the part of the affected workman constituted misconducts under clause 27(5) & (18) of the standing orders. The standing orders are Ext. M6. Sub-clauses (5) & (18) of Clause 27 thereof refer respectively to drunkenness, fighting, riotous or disorderly or indecent behaviour and leaving work without permission. It could be seen that among the allegations there was nothing in respect of the affected workman leaving work without any permission. There was no provision of the standing orders also cited in respect of the affected workman idling his time. As regards disobedience of the instruction of M. K. Singh also no provision of the standing orders was referred to. Thus, there was only one allegation that the affected workman used filthy language against M. K. Singh and it was covered by sub-clause (5) of clause 27 of the standing orders. The report of the enquiry officer, Ext. M7 also shows that in the opinion of the enquiry officer the only allegation proved against the affected workman was of using filthy language against M. K. Singh. Thus, it is to be seen how far the allegation that the affected workman used abusive language against the A.C.M. M. K. Singh was proved during the domestic enquiry and before this Tribunal. The enquiry officer, S. K. Banerjee is examined as MW2 and the enquiry proceedings are marked as Ext. M3. As per this evidence the Assistant Manager, M. K. Singh was examined at first then another witness for the management Madan Singh, prop mistry, then P. K. Mukherjee, a defence witness, the only witness whom the affected workman wanted to examine and then the affected workman. M. K. Singh had clearly stated that the affected workman had used some filthy language against him (M. K. Singh). The affected workman had cross-examined M. K. Singh but did not put any question in respect of the filthy language attributed to him. The second witness of the management, Madan Singh had deposed that the affected workman had told M. K. Singh, the Assistant Manager, that he would come to duty according to his own time which he was observing for the last 25 years, that he had seen so many managers like M. K. Singh and that M. K. Singh could do whatever he liked. The affected workman refused to state anything further than what he had stated in his explanation to the charge-sheet. The defence witness, P. K. Mukherjee's evidence was that he saw M. K. Singh and the affected workman saughting among themselves, that he could not say what it was due to and that after some time the affected workman got down into 6 Pit. Thus, the evidence of M. K. Singh probalised by the evidence of Madan Singh was not at all rebutted by the evidence of the affected workman or his witness. On the other hand, the evidence of the defence witness, P. K. Mukherjee also appears to probalise the evidence of M. K. Singh, whatever the meaning of the word "saughting" might be. On this evidence there is no room to contend that the finding of the enquiry officer was not supported by the evidence before him or that the finding was perverse. The affected workman was present throughout the enquiry. The enquiry proceedings, Ext. M3 show that the statements of witnesses were recorded in his presence and explained to him in Hindi to his satisfaction, he has cross-examined M. K. Singh to the extent he liked, he declined to cross-examine Madan Singh and he has affixed his signatures on the statements wherever necessary. On the face of such a record I find no substance in the plea of the workmen that during the enquiry the affected workman was not provided with full opportunity to defend himself. Before the enquiry officer the affected workman had stated categorically that P. K. Mukherjee was his only defence witness. One more objection taken by the workmen was that the enquiry officer, being a welfare officer, was not competent to hold the enquiry and Shri S. Dasgupta, the learned representative of the workmen referred to Rule 73(2) of the Indian Mines Rules, 1955 in support of the proposition. Rule 73 deals with duties of a welfare officer and sub-rule (2) of the Rule refers to one of the duties. I do not find any prohibition laid down against a welfare officer from holding a domestic enquiry. I also do not find any such prohibition in the standing orders, Ext. M6. Hence, I find that the domestic enquiry held into the charge-sheet, Ext. M1 was proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice and the finding of the enquiry officer was well supported by evidence before him.

5. Independently of the domestic enquiry the parties have led evidence before me in respect of the allegations made in the charge-sheet, Ext. M1. The Asstt. Colliery Manager, M. K. Singh is examined as MW.1. He has deposed that when he asked the affected workman why he did not go underground the affected workman started abusing him and said that he could not go into that place. The witness was cross-examined at length but nothing was elicited to contradict him on this point. He has emphatically denied that the affected workman had not abused him. The affected workman is examined as WW.1. His evidence is that when M. K. Singh asked him to go to East Dip in the 6th Pit he asked M. K. Singh "the order of which manager I should carry out when three different managers have given three different orders". WW.2 is P. K. Mukherjee who was examined in the enquiry as the defence witness. His evidence on the point is very brief and I should like to extract it. "MW.1 (M. K. Singh) and the affected workman talked for about 5 minutes and I did not hear what it was. Thereafter the affected workman burst out saying whose order he should obey. I did not hear the affected workman abusing MW.1 (M. K. Singh)". It can be seen that the evidence of this witness does not contradict at all the evidence of M. K. Singh, MW.1. On the other hand, his statement that the affected workman "burst out" gives rise to suspicion that perhaps MW.1, M. K. Singh was speaking truth. This witness, MW.2 not hearing the affected workman abusing MW.1 (M. K. Singh) does not prove anything as he did not hear the 5 minutes' talk between MW.1 (M. K. Singh) and the affected workman. Further, the affected workman, WW.1 as well as WW.2 have in their evidence that at that time B. T. Rana, manager and T. P. Singh another Asstt. manager were present at a distance of only 8 or 10 feet. The affected workman, WW.1 says that W.T. Rana and T. P. Singh did not say anything to MW.1 (M. K. Singh) when M. K. Singh asked the affected workman to go to East Dip. The inference is that these two persons also heard the talk between M. K. Singh and the affected workman. But the workmen did not choose to examine either of them. On the material on record I am inclined to accept the evidence of MW.1, M. K. Singh as true and find that the affected workman was guilty of misconduct under clause 27(5) of the standing orders. Ext. M6.

6. As I have already pointed out, the affected workman was suspended pending enquiry through the charge-sheet, Ext. M1 with effect from 3rd January, 1970. By the letter dated 8th January, 1970, Ext. W.1 which is the same as Ext. M4, the affected workman was advised to resume his duties immediately pending enquiry and he resumed duty on and from 10th January, 1970. Thus, pending enquiry he was under suspension from 3rd January, 1970 to 9th January, 1970. By the order, Ext. M5 this period of suspension pending enquiry is treated as a measure of punishment for the proved misconduct. Under clause 27 of the standing orders, Ext. M6 an employee can be punished by way of suspension also for a proved misconduct. By the letter dated 8th January, 1970, Ext. W.1 the affected workman was advised to resume duty immediately because as per clause 27 of the standing orders suspension without pay, whether as a punishment or pending an enquiry, should not exceed 10 days. Thus, I do not find any flaw in the punishment awarded. Nothing substantial is proved from the evidence to give rise to inference that the affected workman was victimised for his trade union activities. Even otherwise, when it is established that the punishment is for proved misconduct the question of the affected workman having been victimised does not arise.

7. I, therefore, find that the action of the management of South Bullari Kondwadih colliery of Messrs East Indian Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kusunda (Dhanbad) in suspending Shri S. D. Choubey, Mining Sirdar (E. B. No. 33520) from the 3rd January, 1970 to 9th January, 1970 as a measure of punishment is justified and, consequently, he is not entitled to any relief on this account. The award is made accordingly and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,

Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,
(No. 2) Dhanbad.

[No. 2/83/70-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 19th May 1971

S.O. 2116.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Damra Colliery of Messrs Katras Jherriah Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kalipahari, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 15th May, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 19 OF 1971

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Damra Colliery of Messrs Katras Jherriah Coal Company Limited,

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT :

Mr. B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of Employers—Mr. D. Narsingh, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen—Mr. Rajdeo Singh along with Mr. A. N. Singh.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/95/70-LR.II, dated January 11, 1971, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Damra Colliery of Messrs Katras Jherriah Coal Company Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

“Whether the management of Damra Colliery of Messrs Katras Jherriah Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kalipahari, District Burdwan was justified in retrenching Sarvashri Bara Basu Gope, Mahabir Kewat, Jugal Kewat and Ujir Kewat, Surface Trammers with effect from 12th October, 1970? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?”

2. Both the parties filed their respective written statement. According to the employers, the four surface trammers named in the order of Reference, became surplus to the requirements of Damra colliery and their services were, therefore, terminated by notice, with effect from October 12, 1970. It was further pleaded on behalf of the management that the workmen were advised to collect their legal dues on account of their retrenchment. The requisite notice, in form ‘P’ was also sent to the Central Government. The workmen, it was pleaded at first, refused to accept notice of retrenchment, sent to them through the office peon. Thereupon, notices were sent to them by registered post and copies thereof were also posted on the notice Board of the colliery. Later on, according to the written statement, Ujir Kewat, Jugal Kewat and Mahabir Kewat, three amongst the four concerned workmen, accepted copies of the notice from the colliery office.

3. In the written statement filed on behalf of the workmen, the story of surplusage was hotly disputed. On that context, it was pleaded in paragraphs 2 and 3 of the written statement:

“(2) That besides the old strength of Surface Trammers the employers brought the following Surface Trammers on transfer from other Collieries belonging to other Companies:

- | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Budhan Bhuian | Sheebpore Colliery. |
| 2. Lakshman Bhuian | New Kenda colliery. |
| 3. Bundel Mahato (Nonia) | Sheebpore colliery. |

(3) That during the time, these men were declared surplus, the employers brought one Underground loader named Shri Uma Pandey to fill up the vacancy of a Banksman on surface though the job of a Trammer and a Banksman is complimentary and when one of these workmen (Bara Basu) has worked in the past as a Banksman.”

It was also pleaded that the number of Underground loaders were increased in the colliery, so also the raisings in the colliery.

4. The management in their rejoinder disputed the correctness of the contents of paragraphs 2 and 3 of the written statement filed on behalf of the workmen and also denied that the number of Underground loaders was increased as alleged. This is in short the pleadings which I need bear in mind.

5. There is no dispute that the four workmen, named in the order of reference, were retrenched. Prior to the retrenchment, it appears, the Manager of Damra colliery wrote to the Superintendent of Personnel a letter, dated August 26, 1970 (Ex. 4), informing him that five surface trammers, including the four named in the present reference, had become surplus to the requirement of Damra colliery and stating therein that in case any suitable vacancy could not be found for them elsewhere, they should be retrenched at an early date. On the same day, it appears, the Manager wrote to the concerned workmen a letter (Ex3) couched in the following language:

"You are surplus to requirement of this colliery as surface trammer and as such the company having failed to find a job as surface trammer elsewhere in this organisation has decided to provide you alternative jobs as piece rated wagon loaders on surface or as piece rated U.G. Loader or U.G. Trammer with immediate effect.

In case you did not work in alternative jobs as offered to you, and absent yourself, your cases will be dealt with as per the provisions of Standing Order and I.D. Act."

It appears further, from Exts. 5 and 6, that the underground jobs were elsewhere found for the four workmen but they refused to work underground. Lastly, it appears that the Superintendent Personnel directed their retrenchment by a letter addressed to the Manager of Damra colliery, dated September 11, 1970 (Ex. 7) which is set out below:

"Since these surplus surface trammers of your colliery are not willing to work as underground trammers, their transfer to Sodepur 9 & 10 Pits Colliery may please be treated as cancelled. Since they are surplus and have refused alternative employment their services will have to be terminated".

What was exhibited before this Tribunal as retrenchment notice (Ex. 1) was a copy of the retrenchment notice in form 'P' as sent to the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, upon which there was the following additional endorsement made:

"Copy to: Sri Basu Gope/Sri Ujir Keot/ Sri Jugal Keot/Shri Mahabir Keot No. 3 Surface trammers, Damra Colliery for their information. They are being retrenched with effect from 12th October, 1970 and their legal dues are ready and can be collected by them on any working day after handing over vacant possession of their quarter here.

ANNEXURE

Reasons:

Due to installation of Coal Handling Plant and elimination of manual handling."

6. The management examined as their witness Satya Prakash Dadu, the Manager of Damra Colliery. He stated in his examination-in-chief:

"Those workmen were retrenched, because they became surplus to the requirements of the colliery. All of them were doing the work of surface trammers. After the aforesaid workmen were retrenched, nobody else were appointed in their vacancies. Before ordering retrenchment of the concerned workmen, they were offered alternative jobs by letter Ex. 3. The offer was made to 5 workmen. One accepted but the concerned workmen declined the offer."

To Tribunal:

Copy of P form sent to the Central Government was sent also to the concerned workmen (*vide* Ex. 1) by way of retrenchment notice. That was the only notice sent to the workmen. The document Ex. 1 was sent to the workmen under cover of Peonbook but was not accepted by them. The relevant entry in the Peon book is marked Ex. 11. The notices were then hung up in the Notice Board and also sent by Registered Post. I have not produced evidence of their being sent by

Registered post. They were not however sent by registered post with acknowledgement due

To Tribunal:

If Budhu Bhuina, Bundela Mahato and Lachman Bhuina be left out of account even then the four concerned workmen would be the juniormost workmen amongst surface trammers."

7. I have already set out hereinbefore a summary of the written statement filed on behalf of the workmen. In that written statement no grievance was made to the effect that the 'last come first go rule had not been observed in the matter of retrenchment or that the workmen had not been paid compensation in accordance with the provisions of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act. Now, Section 25F embodies the conditions precedent to retrenchment of workmen. The provisions are couched in mandatory language. Hence, non-compliance with the mandatory provisions of this section makes retrenchment invalid and inoperative. The fact that the retrenchment was justified on good grounds would not bring the jurisdiction of an industrial tribunal to an end. The tribunal would still have to see whether before the making of the order of retrenchment, the statutory conditions were all complied with. If a retrenchment be found to be not justified in law for non-compliance with the statutory requirements, an industrial tribunal may order reinstatement, or where reinstatement would not be fair to either party, it may direct payment of wages for the period by which payment of statutory compensation have been delayed. Hereinbelow I set out the material portion of Section 25F:

"25F. No workman employed in any industry who has been in continuous service for not less than one year under an employer shall be retrenched by that employer until

- (a) the workman has been given one month's notice in writing indicating the reasons for retrenchment and the period of notice has expired, or the workman has been paid in lieu of such notice, wages for the period of the notice:

Provided that no such notice shall be necessary if the retrenchment is under an agreement which specifies a date for the termination of service.

- (b) the workman has been paid, at the time of retrenchment, compensation which shall be equivalent to fifteen days' average pay for every completed year of service or any part thereof in excess of six months and

(c) x x x x x "

It will appear from the wordings of the section that pre-condition for retrenchment are two, namely (1) the workman has been given one month's notice in writing indicating reasons for retrenchment and the period of notice has expired or the workman has been paid in lieu of such notice wages for the period of notice and (2) the workman has been paid compensation calculation according to the provisions of clause (b) of Section 25F. In the instant case, it appears, there was no separate notice of retrenchment given to the workmen. Although in the P form sent to the Government it was indicated that the workmen would be offered one month's pay in lieu of notice, in the endorsement of the notice made to the workmen no such thing was written. All that was stated in the endorsement was that "their legal dues are ready and can be collected by them on any working day". This offer again was subject to the condition that prior to the collection of the dues, vacant possession of the quarters occupied by them must be made.

8. It appears from the service cards of the retrenched workmen, Exts. 12, 12a, 12b and 12c, that (i) Bara Basu Gope joined service in June 1959, (ii) Mahabir Kewat sometime in the year 1962, (iii) Jugal Kewat in June 1961 and (iv) Ujir Kewat on May 16, 1961. It appears further from Ex. 2, a debit voucher, that compensation for Bara Basu Gope was prepared on the basis of 11 years, for Ujir Kewat on the basis of 9 years of service, for Jugal Kewat on the basis of 9 years and for Mahabir Kewat on the basis of 8 years. It also appears from Ex. 2a, another voucher, that for each of the four workmen one month's pay in lieu of notice was calculated. Both the vouchers are dated September 28, 1970.

9. I have already observed that retrenchment compensation and wages for the period of notice where no notice of retrenchment was served, are condition precedent to retrenchment. Therefore, payment have to be made before the order of retrenchment becomes effective. Occasionally, however, it may happen that at the

time of retrenchment a workman may dodge acceptance of compensation with a view to invalidate the retrenchment. In such a case it may be difficult to make him accept payment if he will not himself do that. In the case of *National Iron and Steel Company vs Third Industrial Tribunal* (1964) 1 LLJ 525, the Calcutta High Court laid down the proposition that if an unconditional offer was made for payment, preceding retrenchment, in such case, that may be equivalent to payment.

10. In the instant case, it does not appear that there was payment or unconditional offer of payment. The compensation vouchers are all dated September 28, 1970. The order of retrenchment was with effect from 12th October, 1970. Questioned as to the reason why the compensation vouchers were prepared a fortnight earlier to the order of retrenchment, the sole witness examined on behalf of the management stated:

"The notice of retrenchment is dated 12 October 1970 and the compensation vouchers are dated 28th September, 1970. There was this gap between the voucher and the notice because I wanted to give to the workmen, more time to reconsider their views. After the issue of this notice to the workmen they did not come to collect their money."

He further stated that amount of compensation payable to the workmen on retrenchment was never made known to them. He also stated:

"The collection of legal dues was according to Ex. 1, the retrenchment notice made conditional upon the retrenched workmen by vacating their quarters. We never thought of sending the compensation on retrenchment by money order to the workmen."

Therefore, this is not a case where the workmen were shown the colour of compensation money but they refused to accept the same. Further what was stated in the notice sent to them was merely a call upon them to collect their "legal dues" after handing over vacant possession of their quarters to the management. This was conditional offer and not unconditional offer of retrenchment compensation and pay for the notice period as required by law.

11. I have next to see whether the rule 'last come first go' was observed. The service cards of all the surface trammers were made exhibits in this Reference and marked Ex. 12 series and Ex. Y series. I find therefrom that calculated from the date of their first appointment, the four concerned workmen were the juniormost. Therefore, there was no violation of the 'last come first go' rule in the present case.

12. I have further to see whether there was in fact any surplusage justifying the retrenchment of four surface trammers. The reason given in the retrenchment notice was surplusage "due to installation of coal handling plant and elimination of manual handling". That such a plant was installed in the colliery was not disputed by the workmen. It is common knowledge that automation reduces the use of man power to some extent. If, therefore, the machine was installed then there was every likelihood that several workmen became surplus. The case pleaded by the workmen of importation of other workmen in their place, was not proved. There was contradictory evidence on the point and one of the witnesses examined by the workmen themselves stated in his evidence:

"When the four persons named in the order of reference were retrenched nobody was called to work in their place."

In these circumstances, I do not make much of this grievance.

13. Mr. Narsingh tried to defeat the present reference on the contention that the dispute was never raised before the management but straitway taken before the Conciliation officer. He invoked the condemnation of such a conduct on the part of the workmen as in the decision of the Supreme Court in *Sindhu Resettlement corporation*, (1968) 1 LLJ 834. This contention does not appeal to me because I find that the present dispute had in fact been placed before the management by a letter marked Ex. D.

14. In the view that I take, I hold that there may have been justification for retrenchment. The workmen have not succeeded in establishing that there was no good reason for their retrenchment. But the precondition of retrenchment in the matter of payment of wages for the notice period and retrenchment compensation were not observed. That makes the retrenchment order invalid.

15. In the result, I direct reinstatement of the workmen. I do not make any award for back wages because it was not proved to my satisfaction that they were

without work any where else, during the days of their forced unemployment. Nothing contained in this award will prevent the management from retrenching the four workmen in future, after conforming with the requirements of the Statute.

This is my award.

Dated: May 7, 1971.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE, Presiding Officer.

[No. 6/95/70-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 21st May 1971

S.O. 2117.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of Shri O. Maheepathi, Arbitrator, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs Bikaner Gypsum Limited, Bikaner and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 15th May, 1971.

ARBITRATION AWARD UNDER SECTION 10A OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947, IN THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE BETWEEN M/S. BIKANER GYPSUMS LTD., BIKANER AND THEIR WORKMEN

PRESENT:

Shri O. Maheepathi, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), and Arbitrator.

Representing Employer: Bikaner Gypsums Ltd., Bikaner

Shri A. K. Mukharjee, Personnel Manager.

Representing workmen: Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh Jamsar.

Shri Dilbagh Singh, Vice President.

Gypsum Mine Workers Union Bikaner.

1. Shri V. K. Gupta, Secretary.

2. Shri B. L. Ojha, Jt. Secy

The representatives of M/s. Bikaner Gypsums Ltd., and the Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh, Jamsar (herein after called as 'Sangh') had by a written agreement signed on 1st July, 1970, under the provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, agreed to refer the following industrial dispute to my arbitration and the said arbitration agreement was published as S.O. No. 2787 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii) dated 22nd August, 1970.

"(i) Specific matter in dispute

1. Whether the demand of the workers represented by the Rashtriya Gypsum Karamchari Sangh for increase in the rate of transportation of gypsum from Rs. 2-50 per tonne payable to the Village Piece-workers is justified in view of clause 4 of settlement dated 19th September, 1967? If so, to what relief are they entitled?
2. Whether any anomaly has been created by merging the allowance of Rs. 30 which was being paid to Shri Radhey Shyam, in his basic salary, in the category of Clerks and Supervisors? If so, to what relief are they entitled?"

2. The agreement had provided that the arbitrator shall make his award within a period of six months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between the parties in writing and that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on them. The parties later on agreed that in view of the fact that certain information and data were to be collected, the arbitrator may give his award by 25th April, 1971.

3. During the first hearing, another union viz. the Gypsum Mine Workers Union which is also a recognised union in the establishment pleaded that it should also be made a party to the proceedings before me. The union's request was acceded to with the common consent of the other two parties to the arbitration agreement.

4. The management of Bikaner Gypsums Ltd., at the outset raised a preliminary objection regarding the right of Shri Dilbagh Singh, Vice-President of the Sangh who had signed the arbitration agreement to represent in the arbitration proceedings in view of certain court cases involving the 'Sangh', etc. As the arbitration agreement was signed by Shri Dilbagh Singh on behalf of the Sangh and I had received no directions from any court authorities in this regard, I decided against the preliminary objection and proceeded with the hearing.

5. There are two specific matters that have been referred to my arbitration—one relating to the demand for increase in the rate of transportation of gypsum and the other relating to an alleged anomaly and I shall deal with these two issues one after the other.

6. The first specific matter in dispute is whether the demand of the workers for increase in the rate of transportation of gypsum from Rs. 2-50 per tonne payable to the village piece workers is justified in view of clause 4 of the settlement dated 19th September, 1967? If so, to what relief are they entitled? The said clause 4 of the settlement reads as follows:—

“The settlement has been arrived at in a spirit of give and take for finding a lasting solution to the question of rate for village piece workers and the workmen assure that after revision of rates as above, they shall not seek revision of the rate on any ground whatsoever during the period of this settlement under various clauses above”.

7. The various clauses of the settlement dated 19th September, 1967, which is a mutual settlement signed under Rule 58 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules between Bikaner Gypsum Ltd., and the Rashtra Gypsum Karamchari Sangh and the Gypsum Mine Workers Union (both recognised) can be summarised as below:—

- (i) The management have agreed to pay the village piece workers at the rate of Rs. 2-10 per metric tonne as transport charges w.e.f. 1st May, 1966, which takes into account all factors including an increase in lead, increase in cost of living and all other factor that might have a bearing on the matter of rates and the same shall continue till such time as Jalalsar operations are started.
- (ii) That since quarrying operations at Jalalsar can be started after settling the terms of acquisition of plot etc., the rate of transport shall be fixed at Rs. 2-50 per metric tonne from the Jalalsar quarries to the Railway siding (all inclusive) and this rate will take account of any increase in D.A., increase in lead, increase in workload, requirement with regard to camel, bullocks or implements and will continue in force for a period of at least one year from the date that the Jalalsar operations are started in full force. For this period of one year, there shall be no question of increase on account of lead, increase in cost of living or D. A. where such increase is allowed to the other workers of the company or not.

Even after this settlement, there seems to have been some difficulties for operations at Jalalsar as the workers concerned did not shift from the village, even after the receipt of due compensation amounting to Rs. 1,62,813 by about 45 villagers as on 5th March, 1970. Because of the lack of mining faces to work, the workers were in fact laid off from 21st January, 1970, to 8th March, 1970, and the rate of Rs. 2-50 per metric tonne for transportation was actually being paid from March, 1970 onwards.

8. On behalf of the management, it was explained that for various reasons, the operations at Jalalsar which were intended to be completed within a period of one year, had taken a longer time and that the settlement dated 19th September, 1967, had taken into consideration that “the nearby mining faces got exhausted the mining faces at Jalalsar would be taken up for excavation” which would necessarily involve increase in lead and for such increases in lead, no extra payment was to be made. They have also stated that in terms of the same settlement, the workers' demand cannot be sustained for any increase in the cost of living etc., and that as the company has worked out its selling prices on the basis of a maximum of Rs. 2-50 per tonne, the whole working will become uneconomical

and impossible. The management also argued that if only the workers put in 8 hours of work, they can always earn much more than what they are earning and their present earnings are always much more than the minimum wages prescribed under the Minimum Wages Act. They have further stated that the company is already losing about Rs. 4 per tonne at Jamsar and the financial position of the company has become more critical in view of lack of demand for gypsum and there has already been a reduction of 26 per cent in the despatches, etc.

9. I have examined the statements of earning of village piece workers over a period and found that while the village piece workers on an average during the quarters ending May, August and November 1969 were earning Rs. 10.88 (4.3 tonnes) Rs. 10.92 (4 tonnes) and Rs. 9.93 (4 tonnes) respectively, the gypsum transported and their earnings during the corresponding quarters in 1970 were as follows:—

Quarter ending	Gypsum transported	Average earnings
May, 1970	3.2 tonnes	Rs. 8.15
August, 1970	3.6 tonnes	Rs. 9.14
November, 1970	3.3 tonnes	Rs. 8.68

The average earning per day for the first three quarters during 1969 was Rs. 10.57 for an average of 4.1 tonnes transported while the average earnings during 1970 were Rs. 8.65 for an average of 3.3 tonnes transported. On the basis of these calculations it is seen that average rate per tonne of ore transported has actually increased by 4 paise during 1970 (Rs. 2.62 minus 2.58 = 4 paise).

10. As a result of subsisting agreements, the dearness allowance in the mines of Bikaner Gypsums Ltd., excepting those of village piece workers is being adjusted every six month at the rate of 60 paise per point of rise or fall in C.P.I. index number for Jaipur. Accordingly while the D.A. paid during the half year ending March, 1970, was Rs. 26.37, it came down to Rs. 23.37 during the half-year ending in September, 1970. The D.A. paid during 1st October, 1970, to 31st March, 1971, was however Rs. 27.67 i.e., an increase of Rs. 4.30 per month. It would appear to me fair and reasonable that the village piece workers should also derive some benefit as a result of increased cost of living.

11. The management however denied that there has been any increase in the distance in transportation and in support of their contention, have also submitted a blue print of Jamsar mines. The unions however did not accept that there has been any reduction in distance.

12. The representatives of the management handed over to me on 26th March, 1971, a copy of minutes of their discussions held on 9th March, 1971, according to which the village piece workers would be allowed to work in such isolated left-out pockets of gypsum in Jamsar and Jalalsar quarries and they would remove overburden, raise gypsum and transport the same to the railway siding at Jamsar and for this, a comprehensive rate of Rs. 4.89 per tonne would be paid to them from "now onwards".

13. After examining all relevant facts and considering the circumstances of the case including the financial conditions of the Company and aspirations of workers, I feel that ends of justice will be met if the rate of transportation of gypsum payable to the village piece workers is increased by ten paise per tonne with effect from 1st October, 1970, i.e., from Rs. 2.50 to Rs. 2.60 per tonne. I give my award accordingly. The arrears accruing to the workers on account of this award shall be paid within sixty days from the date the Award becomes enforceable under the Industrial Disputes Act.

14. The second specific matter referred to me is as to whether any anomaly has been created, by merging the allowance of Rs. 30 which was being paid to Shri Radhey Shyam in his basic salary, in the category of clerks and supervisors. If so, to what relief are they entitled? The facts of the case are that Shri Radhey Shyam, a supervisor, was engaged in the running of the canteen at Jamsar and for this, he was being paid an allowance of Rs. 30 per month. Subsequently he was sent back to the sampling section as supervisor in the month of January, 1967. But this allowance of Rs. 30 per month was continued to be paid to him, according to management, inadvertently. By letter No. J/A-7a/2892/20 dated 1st February, 1968, the management stopped this allowance with effect from 21st February, 1968,

whereupon Shri Radhey Shyam filed a case before the Authority under the Payment of Wages Act. The contention of the workman concerned was that as this allowance was continued to be paid to him from January, 1967, till 21st February, 1968, even after he was sent back to sampling section, this became a part of his wage and cannot be reduced. As a result of prolonged negotiations between the management and the union and with a view to avoid further litigation before the payment of Wages Authority, the parties had agreed to merge the amount of Rs. 30 with Shri Radhey Shyam's pay without making it a precedent and to withdraw the case then pending before the Authority under the Payment of Wages Act. The Sangh has claimed that certain other supervisors and clerks should also be given an increase of Rs. 30 per month w.e.f. 21st February, 1968, along with arrears as has been paid to Shri Radhey Shyam.

15. I have examined the service particulars of the workmen concerned and I find that Shri Radhey Shyam was senior to others mentioned in the Sangh's demand and that the merger of Rs. 30 in the pay of Shri Radhey Shyam was fortuitous arising out of an error on the part of the management and also due to the court case. I do not see that any anomaly has been created by merging this allowance in the salary of Shri Radhey Shyam. As such, the question of relief does not arise and I give my award accordingly.

NEW DELHI:

25th April, 1971.

(Sd.) O. MAHEEPATHI,
Deputy Chief Commissioner (Central),
and Arbitrator.

[No. 30/5/70-LR-IV.]

S.O. 2118.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Chapui Khas Colliery of Messrs Sahu Minerals and Properties Limited, Post Office Kalipahari, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 18th May, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 30 OF 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Chapui Khas Colliery,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee—Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri S. C. Sen, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen—Mr. B. Malkhandy, Advocate.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/100/70-LR-II, dated February 4, 1971, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Chapui Khas Colliery and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

“Whether the management of Chapui Khas Colliery of Messrs Sahu Minerals and Properties Limited Post Office Kalipahari, District Burdwan was justified in refusing employment to the following casual wagon loaders on the various dates when the work of wagon loading was available for the casual wagon loaders on and from 5-9-70? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?”

Names of the workmen as in the schedule have been omitted by me

2. Both the parties filed their respective written statement. In paragraph 2 of the written statement filed on behalf of the employers, a preliminary objection was raised in the following language:

"That it is submitted that the dispute as mentioned in the Schedule of the notification was not raised by the workmen or any Union on their behalf with the employers and as such the present reference is bad in law and is liable to be summarily dismissed."

The workmen attempted to traverse the objection in paragraph 2 of the written statement of the management (hereinbefore set out) with the following allegations as in paragraph 12 of their written statement:

"12. With reference to paragraph 2 of the written statement on behalf of the employers (hereinafter called the said written statement), the allegations and contentions made therein are denied and disputed. As a matter of fact workmen and their Union approached the management with written demand for reinstatement of the workmen and to do justice. The management refused to do so and thereafter the workmen approached to the proper authorities for redressal of the grievance. Further more Sri Lakhan Misra, the Branch Secretary asked and demanded reinstatement of the workers to the then Manager of the Colliery."

3. Mr. Malkhandy, learned Counsel for the workmen, however, felt that it would be difficult for the workmen to prove that the dispute had been raised by the workmen with the management before it was taken before the Assistant Labour Commissioner. He, therefore, in his fairness, conceded that the reference may invite condemnation by the Supreme Court as in the case of *Sindhu Resettlement Corporation* (1968) 1 LLJ 834. He, however, prayed that I should not debar the workmen from raising the industrial dispute formally with the management and again trying to have the same reference before this Tribunal. According to my opinion, Mr. Malkhandy makes a very wise choice.

4. I hold that the present reference is bad in law because the dispute had at no stage been raised with the management formally before it was taken before the Assistant Labour Commissioner. Nothing, however, hereinbefore contained shall debar the workmen from raising the same industrial dispute with the management and on refusal on the part of the management to fulfil the demand of the workmen to take steps for having the same reference made again before this Tribunal.

This may be treated as my award disposing of the Reference.

DATED:

May 13, 1971.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,

Presiding Officer.

[No. 6/100/70-LRII.]

S.O. 2119.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Neamatpur Workshop of Messrs Equitable Coal Company Limited, Post Office Sitarampur, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 14th May, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 28 of 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Neamatpur Workshop of Messrs Equitable Coal Company Ltd.,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. B. N. Banerjee—Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri H. R. Das Gupta, Personnel Officer.

On behalf of Workmen—Sri K. K. Chatterjee, Joint Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMS).

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/64/70-LR.II, dated February 4, 1971, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Neamatpur Workshop of Messrs Equitable Coal Company Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the management of Neamatpur Workshop of Messrs Equitable Coal Company Limited, Post Office Sitarampur, District Burdwan was justified in transferring S/Smt. Bimala, Pabi and Atika, Kamins to their Bhanora Colliery with effect from 3rd September, 1970? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?"

2. Both the parties filed their respective written statement. Today was fixed as the date of peremptory hearing. Parties have, in the meantime, settled the dispute amicably and in token thereof filed a petition of compromise embodying the terms. Now that the parties have compromised the dispute, I pass an award in terms of the petition of compromise. Let the petition of compromise form part of this award.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,
Presiding Officer.

Dated, May 7, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA
REFERENCE No. 28 OF 1971

Employers in relation to the management of Neamatpur Workshop of
Messrs. Equitable Coal Co. Ltd.

AND

Their workmen.

The humble joint petition of the parties abovenamed.

Most respectfully sheweth:—

1. That without prejudice to the merits of the case of either parties, the parties have amicably settled their disputes out of which the present reference arises on the following terms:—

(a) That the three concerned workmen viz., Bimala, Pabi and Atika shall be deemed to have voluntarily retired from services of the employers with effect from 20th August, 1970.

(b) That the three workmen who have put in the following completed years of service will receive exgratia payment which shall be equivalent to 10 days average pay per year for the first twenty years of completed service and at the rate of two days for the remaining completed years of service along with other legal dues, if any:

Bimala—24 years

Pabi—22 years

Atika—24 years

(c) That the above payments will be made to each of the workmen within a period of two months from the date of publication of the Award, to be made in terms of this compromise.

(d) That the workmen shall have no other claim or claims against the management.

(e) That the Parties shall bear their own costs of the reference.

(f) That the terms of compromise are fair and reasonable.

In the circumstances, the Parties pray that the Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to accept the compromise and pass an Award in terms of the compromise making this petition as a part of this Award.

And as in duty bound the parties shall ever pray.

Dated, 6th May, 1971.

(Sd.) Illegible,
Representing Employers:
Duly Constituted Attorney.
Equitable Coal Company Ltd.

(Sd.) Illegible,
Representing Workmen:
General Secretary.
Colliery Mazdoor Congress (H.M.S.).
Regd. No. 965.

(Sd.) H. R. DAS GUPTA.

(Sd.) K. K. CHATTERJEE.
[No. 6/64/70-LRII.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 6th May, 1971

S.O. 2120.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Swang Colliery of National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Kargali, Post Office Bermo, District Hazaribagh and their workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Swang Branch, Post Office Swang, District Hazaribagh;

And whereas the said employers and their workmen have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to arbitration by the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 24th April, 1971

AGREEMENT

Arbitration Agreement under Section 10A of the I.D. Act, 1947.

NAME OF THE PARTIES :

- (a) *Representing employers.*—(1) Shri M. L. Gulati, Additional Chief Personnel Officer (B & K), N.C.D.C. Ltd., Kargali, P.O. Bermo, District Hazaribagh.
- (b) *Representing workmen.*—(1) Shri J. L. Das, Assistant Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Swang Branch, P.O. Swang, District Hazaribagh.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following dispute to the arbitration of Sri N. Chandra, General Manager (B & K), M/s N.C.D.C. Ltd., P.O. Bermo, District Hazaribagh.

- (i) *Specific matters in dispute.*—Whether the demand of Sree Kishan Kamar, Traffic Supervisor (at present in the Coal Wage Board's Category V) Swang Colliery of M/s N.C.D.C. Ltd. for the Scale of Rs. 210—10—290—15—320—EB—15—380 (C.P.C's Scale) or any equivalent N.C.D.Cs. Scale under the Coal Wage Board is justified or not.
- (ii) *Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.*—M/s. N.C.D.C. Ltd. (B & K), Kargali, P.O. Bermo, District Hazaribagh.
- (iii) *Name of the workman in case he himself involved in the dispute or the Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen or workman in question.*—Assistant Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh (INTUC) Swang Branch, P.O. Swang, District Hazaribagh.
- (iv) *Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.*—About 2,300.
- (v) *Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.*—One (Sri Kishan Kamar, Traffic Supervisor, Swang Colliery).

We further agree that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 3 months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing.

Representing employers

(Sd.) M. L. GULATI,
Addl. C.P.O. (B & K),
N.C.D.C. Ltd., Kargali.
12-2-1971.

Witnesses:

(1) (Sd.) KISHUN.
(2) (Sd.) G. DUBEY.
W.W.O. Bokaro Colliery.
12-2-1971.
(3) (Sd.) ILLEGIBLE.
12-2-1971.

Representing workmen

(Sd.) J. L. DAS,
Asstt. Secretary, C.M.S.,
Swang Colliery Branch.

श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वासि संचालय

(श्रम और रोजगार विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 6 मई, 1971

का० आ० 3120—यतः राष्ट्रीय कोयला विकास निगम लिमिटेड, कारगली डाकघर बेरमो जिला हजारीबाग की स्वांग कोलियरी से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व कोलियरी मजदूर संघ, स्वांग शाखा, डाकघर स्वांग, जिला हजारीबाग, करता है, एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और यतः उक्त नियोजकों और कर्मकारों ने औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में एक लिखित करार द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उसमें वर्णित व्यक्ति के माध्यस्थ के लिए निर्देशित कर दिया है और उक्त माध्यस्थ करार की एक प्रति केन्द्रीय सरकार को भजी गई है।

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उपधारा (3) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त माध्यस्थ करार को, जो उसे 24 अप्रैल, 1971 को मिला था, एतद्वारा प्रकाशित करती है।

(करार)

(औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10-क के अधीन)

(करार)

पक्षकारों के नाम :

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

1 श्री एस० एल० गुलाटी, अतिरिक्त मुख्य कार्मिक अधिकारी, (बी० एन्ड के०), राष्ट्रीय कोयला विकास निगम, लिमिटेड, कारगली, डाकघर बेरमो, जिला हजारीबाग।

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

1 श्री जे० एल० दास, सहायक सचिव, कोलियरी मजदूर संघ, स्वांग शाखा, डाकघर स्वांग, जिला हजारीबाग।

पक्षकारों के बीच निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद को एतद्वारा श्री एन० चन्द्रा, महा प्रबन्धक, (बी० एन्ड के०), मैसर्स राष्ट्रीय कोयला विकास निगम लिमिटेड, डाकघर बेरमो, जिला हजारीबाग के माध्यस्थ के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है।

1 विनिर्दिष्ट विवाद अस्त विषय :

क्या श्री किशन कुमार, यातायात पर्यवक्षक (इस समय कोयला मजदूरी बोर्ड की श्रेणी 5 में) मैसर्स राष्ट्रीय कोयला विकास निगम लिमिटेड की स्वांग कोलियरी की 210-10-290-15-320-दक्षतारोघ-15-380 रु० के वेतनमान (सी० पी० सी० का वेतनमान) या कोयला मजदूरी बोर्ड के अधीन राष्ट्रीय कोयला विकास निगम के किसी अन्य समान वेतनमान के लिए मांग न्यायोचित है या नहीं ?

2. विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण, जिसमें अन्त-
र्वलित स्थापन या उपक्रम का नाम और पता
भी सम्मिलित है। मैसर्स राष्ट्रीय कोयला विकास निगम लिमिटेड
(बी० एन्ड के०), कारगली, डाकघर बेरमो,
जिला हजारीबाग।
3. कर्मकार का नाम यदि वह विवाद में स्वयं
अंतर्वलित हो या यदि कोई संघ प्रश्नगत
कर्मकारों या कर्मकार का प्रतिनिधित्व
करता हो तो उसका नाम — सहायक सचिव, कोलियरी मजदूर संघ (राष्ट्रीय
मजदूर कांग्रेस), स्वांग शाखा, डाकघर स्वांग
जिला हजारीबाग।
4. प्रभावित उपक्रम में नियोजित कर्मकारों की
कुल संख्या लगभग 2,300
5. विवाद द्वारा प्रभावित या सम्भावित प्रभावित
होने वाले कर्मकारों की प्रावक्कलित संख्या एक (श्री किशन कुमार, यातायात पर्यवेक्षक,
(स्वांग कोलियरी)।
हम यह करार भी करते हैं कि मध्यस्थ का विनिश्चय हम पर आबद्ध कर होगा।

मध्यस्थ अपना पंचाट तीन मास की कालावधि या इतने और समय के भीतर जो हमारे बीच
पारस्परिक लिखित करार द्वारा बढ़ाया जाय, देगा।

पक्षकारों के हस्ताक्षर

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

ह०-एम० एल० गुलाटी,
अतिरिक्त मुख्य कार्मिक
अधिकारी, (बी० एन्ड के०)
राष्ट्रीय कोयला विकास निगम, कारगली

ह०-जे० एल० दास,
सहायक सचिव,
कोलियरी मजदूर संघ,
स्वांग कोलियरी शाखा।

साक्षी

1. ह० _____

तारीख 12-2-1971

2. ह० _____

3. ह० _____

[संख्या एल० 2013/3/71—एल० आर०-2]

New Delhi, the 17th May 1971

S.O. 2121.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of New Dharmaband Colliery, Post Office Malkera, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1) Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of New Dharmaband Colliery, Post Office Malkera, District Dhanbad in dismissing the following workmen with effect from the 18th October, 1969 is justified? If not, to what relief are they entitled?"

S.No.	Name	Designation.
1.	Shri Khalipa Raut	Fireman
2.	" Tapsi Mahate	S. Trammer
3.	" Chandra Deo Shaw	"
4.	" Deo Lal Singh	U/G Trammer
5.	" Shib Lal Shaw	"
6.	" Satyanarayan Singh	"
7.	" Baijnath Yadav	S. Trammer
8.	" Rameshar Singh	C. C. M. Driver
9.	" Muneshar Singh	S. Trammer
10.	" Babu Chand Dusadh	U/G Trammer
11.	" Janardan Bharati	Banksman
12.	" Jairam Rewani	"
13.	" Lallechand Shai	S. Trammer
14.	" Madan Rauth	Fan Khalasi
15.	" Bindeshwar Misir	U/G Trammer
16.	" Nazir Shai	"
17.	" Thapsi Bin	"
18.	" Gokhul Rewani	W. E. Khalasi
19.	" Fazil Shai	S. Trammer
20.	" Pancham Pasi	"
21.	" Ramdeo Rabidas	Loader.
22.	" Ramasajivan Murai	S. Trammer
23.	" Khushi Lal Lohar	U/G Trammer
24.	" Fawdari Dusadh	"
25.	" Garibchand Lohar	Loader
26.	" Nathun Mahato	U/G Trammer
27.	" Kuldip Mochi	Loader
28.	" Chanaik Dusadh	U. G. Trammer
29.	" Rambhai Dusadh	"
30.	" Jafar Ali Mian	"
31.	" Pradip Singh	"
32.	" Bara Hamid Mia	"
33.	" Mohan Dhobi	Surface Trammer
34.	" Yakin Mian	Loader
35.	" Panchu Rabidas	U/ G Trammer
36.	" Mahabir	Loader
37.	" Sukhan Mahato	U/G Trammer
38.	" Dayanand Pandey	Trolleyman
39.	" Munir Khan	Machine Driver
40.	" Basdeo Singh	U/G Trammer.

[No. 2/68/70-LR-II.]

R. KUNJITHAPADAM, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 17 मई, 1971

क्रा० प्रा० 2121—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपावद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में न्यू धर्माबन्द कोलियरी, डाकधर मलकेरा, जिला धनबाद के प्रबन्धतन्त्र से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच एक औद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निदशित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

अतः अत्र, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा उक्त विवाद

को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7-क के अधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकारी औद्योगिक अधिकरण, (सं० 1) धनवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अनुसूची

“क्या मैसर्स न्यू धर्मावन्द कोलियरी, डाकघर मलकेरा, जिला धनवाद के प्रबन्धतन्त्र की निम्नलिखित कर्मकारों को 18 अक्टूबर, 1969 से पदच्युत करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित है। यदि नहीं, तो वे किस अनुतोष के हकदार हैं ?

क्रमांक	नाम	पद
1	श्री खलीफा रावत	फायर मैन
2	श्री तापसी महतो	एस० ट्रेमर
3	श्री चन्द्र देव शा	”
4	श्री देव लाल सिंह	यु/जी ट्रेमर
5	श्री शिव लाल शा	यु/जी ट्रेमर
6	श्री सत्यानाथ सिंह	”
7	श्री वैजनाथ यादव	एस ”
8	श्री रामेश्वर सिंह	सी०सी०एम० ड्राइवर
9	श्री मुनेश्वर सिंह	एस० ट्रेमर
10	श्री बाबु चन्द दुसाध	यु/जी ट्रेमर
11	श्री जनार्दन भारतीय	वेक्स मैन
12	श्री जैराम रेवानी	”
13	श्री लाल चन्द शाय	एस० ट्रेमर
14	श्री मदन रावत	फनखलासी
15	श्री विन्देश्वर मिसिर	यु/जी ट्रेमर
16	श्री नाजिर शाय	यु/जी ट्रेमर
17	श्री गोखल रेवानी	डब्ल्यू०ई० खलासी
18	श्री थापसी बिन	यु/जी ट्रेमर
19	श्री फाजिल शाय	एस० ट्रेमर
20	श्री पंचम पासी	”
21	श्री रामदेव रवीदास	लोडर
22	श्री रामासा जीवन मुरायी	एस० ट्रेमर
23	श्री खुशीलाल लोहार	यु/जी ट्रेमर
24	श्री फादरी दुसाध	यु/जी ट्रेमर
25	श्री गरीबनचन्द लोहार	लोडर
26	श्री नाथन महतो	यु/जी ट्रेमर
27	श्री कुलदीप मोची	लोडर
28	श्री चनायक दुसाध	यु/जी ट्रेमर
29	श्री रामबली दुसाध	”

क्रमांक	नाम	जपव
30	श्री जाफर अली मियां	यु/जी ट्रेमर]
31	श्री प्रदीप सिंह	"
32	श्री बड़ा हमिद मियां	"
33	श्री मोहन धोबी	सरफस ट्रेमर
34	श्री यकीन मियां	लोडर
35	श्री पंच रवीदास	यु/जी ट्रेमर
36	श्री महाबीर	लोडर
37	श्री सुख नेव महतो	यु/जी ट्रेमर
38	श्री दयानन्द पांडे	ट्रोलोमैन
39	श्री मुनीर खां	मशीन ड्राईवर
40	श्री बास देव सिंह	यु/जी ट्रेमर]

[संख्या 2/68/70-एल० आर० 2]

आर० कुंजीयापदम, अव्वर सचिव ।

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 19th May 1971

S.O. 2122.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1664 dated the 30th April, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Government Press, Patiala in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said press from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 11th March, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 10th March 1972.

[No. 601(8)/70-HI.]

(श्रम और रोजगार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 19 मई, 1971

का० आ० 2122.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वासि मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1664 तारीख 30 अप्रैल, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार, सरकारी मुद्रणालय, पटियाला की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त मुद्रणालय को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 11 मार्च, 1971 से 10 मार्च, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दोनों भी सम्मिलित है, एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है ।

[सं० फा० 601(8)/70—एच० आई०]

S.O. 2123.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government having regard to the location of the factories specified in column (4) of the Schedule hereto annexed in areas, specified in column (3) of the said Schedule in the State of Maharashtra in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the Act are not in force hereby exempts the said factories from the payment of employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette of India until the enforcement of provisions of Chapter V of the said Act in those areas, whichever is earlier.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Area	Name of the Factory
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	Akola	Karanja	Messrs. Kailash Dal and Flour Mills.
2.	Amravati	Badnera	(1) Messrs. Gulabchand Oil Mills. (2) Messrs. Vegetable Oil Mfg. Co. Pvt. Ltd. (3) Messrs. Habib Kasam Kum Kum Factory.
3.	Bhandara	Bhandara	Messrs. Bajaj Metal Works, Station Road.
4.	Buldhana	Malkapur	Messrs. Bharat General and Textile Industry Ltd., Oil Mills.
5.	Nagpur	Nagpur (Kamptee Road)	Messrs. Asiatic Oxygen and Accetyline Co. Ltd., Veli Manzil.
6.	Nanded	Wazegaon	Messrs. Marat hveda U. L. Ltd. Girmi Maryadit.
7.	Osmanabad	Murud	Messrs. P. C. Pole Factory, M.S. Elec. Board.
8.	Yeotmal	Lahora	Messrs. Distt. Cooperative Development Society, Ltd., Gandhj Bhavan, Azad Maidan.

[No.F. 602(27)/70-HI]

का० प्रा० 2123—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट कारखानों की महाराष्ट्र राज्य में, उक्त अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्रों में, जिनमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त नहीं हैं; अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त कारखानों को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के सन्दाय से इस अधिसूचना के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए या तब तक के लिए जब तक कि उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5 के उपबन्ध उन क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त नहीं हो जाते, जो भी पहले हो, एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं०	जिले का नाम	क्षेत्र का नाम	कारखाने का नाम
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	अक्रोला	करन्जा	मैसर्स कलाश दाल एण्ड फ्लोर मिल्स।

1	2	3	4
2	अमरावती	बदनेरा	(1) मैसर्स गुलाब चन्द आइल मिल्स । (2) मैसर्स बजीटवल आइल मैन्युफैक्चरिंग कम्पनी प्राइवट लिमिटेड । (3) मैसर्स हबीब कशम कुम कुम फैक्टरी ।
3	भण्डारा	भण्डारा	मैसर्स वजाज मेटल वर्क्स स्टेशन रोड ।
4	बलधाना	मल्कापुर	मैसर्स भारत जनरल एण्ड टक्सेटाइल इण्डस्ट्री लिमिटेड, आइल मिल्स ।
5	नागपुर	नागपुर (कैम्पटी रोड)	मैसर्स एशियाटिक आक्सीजन एण्ड ऐंसे-टालाइन कम्पनी लिमिटेड, बाली मंजिल ।
6	ननदेश	वजगांव	मैसर्स मराठवाड़ा उत्पादक सहकारी सूत गिर्नी मर्यादित ।
7	श्रीसमाबाद	मरूद	मैसर्स पी० सी० पोल फैक्ट्री, एम० एस० इलैक्ट्रीसिटी बोर्ड ।
8	योलमल	लाहोरा	मैसर्स डिस्ट्रक्ट, काआपरेटिव डवलपमेंट सोसाइटी लिमिटेड गांधी भवन, आजाद मैदान ।

[सं० फा० 602(27)/70—एच० आई०]

S.O. 2124.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2419, dated the 10th July, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Central Jail Woollen Factory, Bhagalpur in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said factory from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act, for a further period of one year with effect from the 20th April, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 19th April, 1972.

[No. F.601(9)/70-HL.]

का० आ० 2124.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की तरा 73च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्बी मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या का० आ० 2419 तारीख 10 जुलाई, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार केन्द्रीय जेल ऊन कारखाना, भागलपुर की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवर्त्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त कारखाने को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के सन्दाय से 20 अप्रैल, 1971 से 19 अप्रैल, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छुट देती है ।

[सं० फा० 601(9)/70—एच० आई०]

S.O. 2125.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 807, dated the 20th February, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Assam Government Branch Press, Gauhati in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said press from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st March, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 29th February, 1972.

[No. F.S-38017(6)/71-HI.]

का० आ०-2125 कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 807 तारीख 20 फरवरी, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार असम सरकार शाखा, मुद्रणालय, गोहाटी को ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त मुद्रणालय को उक्त अधिनियम, के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से प्रथम मार्च, 1971 से 29 फरवरी, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० का० एस० 38017(6)/71 एच० आई०]

S.O. 2126.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2417, dated the 10th July, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the factories known as (1) Corporation Printing Press, Nagpur (2) Nagpur Corporation Workshop, Nagpur and (3) Gorewara Pumping Station, Nagpur in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said factories from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 4th April, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 3rd April, 1972.

[No. F.S-38017(10)/71-HI.]

का० आ० 2126.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम, और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 2417 तारीख 10 जुलाई, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार (1) निगम मुद्रणालय, नागपुर (2) नागपुर निगम कर्मशाला, नागपुर और (3) गोरेवारा पम्पिंग स्टेशन, कारखानों का ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त कारखानों को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 4 अप्रैल, 1971 से 3 अप्रैल, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित हैं, एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० का० एस० 38017(10)/71-एच० आई०]

S.O. 2127.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 805 dated the 20th February, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Municipal Mechanical and Transport Workshop, Agra in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said workshop from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable

under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st March, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 29th February, 1972.

[No. F.S-38017(5)/71-HI.]

का० आ० 2127.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 805, तारीख 20 फरवरी, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार निम्न यांत्रिक और परिवहन कर्मशाला, आगरा की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त कर्मशाला की उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से प्रथम मार्च, 1971 से 29 फरवरी, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० एस०-38017(5)/71-एच०आई०]

S.O. 2128.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 3160 dated the 8th September, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Sewage Purification Plant, Colaba, Bombay, in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said plant from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 3rd April, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 2nd April, 1972.

[No. F.S-38017(9)/71-HI.]

का० आ० 2128.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 3160, दिनांक 8 सितम्बर, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार सल शोधन संयंत्र, कोलाबा, मुम्बई की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त संयंत्र को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 3 अप्रैल, 1971 से 2 अप्रैल, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक और वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० एस०-38017(9)/71-एच०आई०]

S.O. 2129.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2421 dated the 10th July, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Government Regional Press, Tiruchirapalli in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said press from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st June, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 31st May, 1972.

[No. F.601(11)/70-HI.]

का० आ० 2129.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 2421, तारीख 10

जुलाई, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार सरकारी प्रादेशिक मुद्रणालय, त्रिचनापल्ली की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त कारखाने को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के सन्दाय से पहली जून, 1971 से 31 मई, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 601(11)/70-एच० आई०]

S.O. 2130.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2422 dated the 10th July, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Municipal Static Power Laundry, Bombay owned by the Bombay Municipal Corporation, in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said laundry from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 4th April, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 3rd April, 1972.

[No. F.602(3)/70-HL.]

क्र० आ० 2130.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-व द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० फा० आ०, 2422, तारीख 10 जुलाई, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार मुम्बई नगर निगम के स्वामित्वाधीन में मुम्बई स्थैतिक विद्युत् लाट्री की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध, प्रवृत्त है, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त लाट्री को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के सन्दाय से 4 अप्रैल, 1971 से 3 अप्रैल, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 602(3)/70—एच० आई०]

S.O. 2131.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 4126, dated the 29th September, 1969, the Central Government, having regard to the location of the factories specified in column (4) of the Schedule hereto annexed in areas specified in column (3) of the said Schedule in the State of Bihar in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are not in force, hereby exempts the said factories from the payment of employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the date of expiry of the period specified in the said notification or until the enforcement of provisions of Chapter V of the said Act in those areas, whichever is earlier.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Area	Name of the factory
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	Bhagalpur	Baunsi	(1) M/s. N. P. C. C. Ltd., Spillway Stone Crusher Chandan Dam Unit, Baunsi District, Bhagalpur. (2) M/s. N. P. C. C. Ltd., Rosania Quarry Chandan Dam Unit. (3) M/s. N. P. C. C. Ltd., Workshop.

(1)	(2)	(3)	()
2. Gaya	Navinagar	Chandan Dam Unit. M/s. Shree Radha Krishna Rice and Oil Mill.	

[No. F.S-38017(1)/71-HI.]

का० आ० 2131.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 च द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 4126 तारीख 29 सितम्बर, 1969 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट कारखाना की बिहार राज्य में, उक्त अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्रों में, जिनमें उक्त अधिनियम, के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त नहीं हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त कारखानों को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के सन्दाय से उक्त अधिसूचना में विनिर्दिष्ट अवधि की समाप्ति की तारीख से एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए या तब तक के लिए जब तक कि उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5 के उपबन्ध उन क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त नहीं हो जाते, जो भी पूर्वतर हो, एतद्द्वारा छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं०	जिले का नाम	क्षेत्र का नाम	कारखाने का नाम
(1) ^k	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	भागलपुर]	बौसी	1. मैसर्स एन० पी० सी० सी० लिमिटेड, स्पिलवे स्टोन क्रशर चन्दन डैम यूनिट बौसी जिला, भागलपुर। 2. मैसर्स एन० पी० सी० सी० लिमिटेड रोसनिया कबैरी। चन्दन डैम यूनिट। 3. मैसर्स एन० पी० सी० सी० लिमिटेड कर्मशाला, चन्दन डैम यूनिट।
2	गया	नवीनगर	मैसर्स श्री राधा कृष्ण राइस एण्ड आयास मिल।

[सं० फा० एस०-38017(1)/71—एच० आई०)]

S.O. 2132.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 380 dated the 13th January, 1971, the Central Government having regard to the location of the Eluru Water Works Pumping Supply Station, Eluru West Godavari District Andhra Pradesh in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said works from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st March, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 29th February, 1972.

[No. F.S-38017(4)/71-HI.]

का० आ० 2132.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वासि मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 380 तारीख 13 जनवरी, 1971 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार एलुरु वाटर वर्क्स पंपिंग सप्लाई स्टेशन एलुरु वेस्ट गोदावरी जिला आन्ध्र प्रदेश की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त वर्क्स को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्यहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संवाय से प्रथम मार्च, 1971 से 29 फरवरी, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० एस०-38017(4)/71-एच० आई०]

S.O. 2133.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 3262, dated the 8th September, 1970, the Central Government having regard to the location of the Government Press, Sector 18, Chandigarh in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said press from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 11th March, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 10th March, 1972.

[No. F.601(8)/70-HL.]

का० आ० 2133.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वासि मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 3262 तारीख 8 सितम्बर 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार, सरकारी मुद्रणालय, सेक्टर 18, चण्डीगढ़ की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त मुद्रणालय को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्यहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संवाय से 11 मार्च, 1971 से 10 मार्च, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 601(8)/70-एच० आई०]

S.O. 2134.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 808 dated the 20th February, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Bus Depot at Poisar, Kandivli (West) Bombay owned by the Bombay Electric and Transport Undertaking, Bombay in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said factory from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 20th February, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 19th February, 1972.

[No. S-38017(7)/71-HL.]

का० आ० 2134.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वासि मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 808 तारीख 20 फरवरी, 1970 के क्रम में केन्द्रीय सरकार मुम्बई विद्युत और परिवहन संस्थान, मुम्बई के स्वामित्वाधीन बस डिपो, पोइसर, कांडिवली (पश्चिमी) मुम्बई की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते

हुए उक्त कारखाने को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 20 फरवरी, 1971 से 19 फरवरी, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० एस०—38017(7)/70—एच० आई०]

S.O. 2135.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1164 dated the 20th March, 1970 the Central Government having regard to the location of the Atladara Sewage Purification Treatment Plant, Baroda Municipal Corporation, Baroda, in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are in force, hereby exempts the said factory from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 15th February, 1971 upto and inclusive of the 14th February, 1972

[No. 602(1)/70-HL.]

का० ० 2135.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1164 तारीख 20 मार्च, 1970 के अम में, केन्द्रीय सरकार अटलादरा महशोधन कार्य संयंत्र, बड़ौदा नगर निगम, बड़ौदा की ऐसे क्षेत्र में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त कारखाने को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के संदाय से 15 फरवरी, 1971 से 14 फरवरी, 1972 तक जिसमें वह दिन भी सम्मिलित है, एक और वर्ष की अवधि के लिए एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० फा० 602(1)/70—एच० आई०]

S.O. 2136.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that Damodar Headworks Pumping Filtration Plant was situated in Jamadoba area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500), in the district of Dhanbad in the State of Bihar;

And, whereas by virtue of its location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factory was granted exemption from the payment of the employer's special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 859 dated the 13th March, 1963.

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Jamadoba area in the district of Dhanbad in the State of Bihar has now exceeded 500 and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, against Serial No. 2, the entry "Jamadoba" in column 3 and the corresponding entry in column 4 shall be omitted.

[No. F.S.38018(1)/71-HL.]

का० आ० 2136.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया था कि दामोदर हैडवर्क्स पम्पिंग एण्ड फिल्टरेशन प्लांट, जामदोबा क्षेत्र में स्थित था जो बिहार राज्य के धनबाद जिले में बिखरी हुई आबादी का क्षेत्र (अर्थात् ऐसा क्षेत्र जिसकी बीमा योग्य आबादी 500 से कम थी) था ;

और यतः उसकी बिखरी हुई आबादी के क्षेत्र में अवस्थिति के आधार पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उपर्युक्त कारखाने को, भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का० आ० 859 तारीख 13 मार्च, 1963 द्वारा कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब के अधीन नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के सन्दाय से तब तक के लिए छूट दे दी थी जब तक कि उस अधिनियम के अध्याय 5 के उपबन्ध उस क्षेत्र में प्रवर्तित नहीं हो जाते ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार राज्य के धनबाद जिले में जामदोबा क्षेत्र की बीमा योग्य आबादी अब 500 से बढ़ गई है, और वह अब बिखरी हुई आबादी का क्षेत्र नहीं है ;

अतः अब कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उक्त अधिसूचना में और आगे निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में, क्रम संख्या 2 के सामने, स्तम्भ 3 में "जामदोबा" प्रविष्टि और स्तम्भ 4 में तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि का लोप कर दिया जायेगा ।

[सं० फा० एस० 38018(1)/71—एच० आई०.]

S.O. 2137.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the location of the factory, known as Messrs Shanker Sheetalaya in the Govindpur Baori area, post office Talera, District Bundi, in the State of Rajasthan in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the said Act are not in force, hereby exempts the said factory from the payment of employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette or until the enforcement of provisions of Chapter V of the said Act in this area, whichever is earlier.

[No. F.S-38014(4)/71-HI.]

का० आ० 2137.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स शंकर शीतालया नामक कारखाने की गोविन्दपुर बाउरी क्षेत्र, डाकघर, तलेरा, जिला बूंदी राजस्थान राज्य में, जिसमें उक्त अधिनियम, के अध्याय और 5 के उपबन्ध प्रवृत्त हैं, अवस्थिति को ध्यान में रखते हुए उक्त कारखाने को उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 5-क के अधीन उद्ग्रहणीय नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के सन्दाय से इस अधिसूचना के शासकीय राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से एक वर्ष की अवधि के लिए या तब तक के लिए जब तक कि उक्त अधिनियम, के अध्याय 5 के उपबन्ध उस क्षेत्र में प्रवृत्त नहीं हो जाते, जो भी पूर्वतर हो एतद्वारा छूट देती है ।

[सं० फा० एस०—38014(4)/71—एच० आई०.]

S.O. 2138.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that Messrs. TISCO Limited Central Washery was situated in Jamadoba area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Dhanbad in the State of Bihar;

And, whereas by virtue of its location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factory was granted exemption from the payment of the employer's special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 1797 dated the 31st May, 1962;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Jamadoba area in the district of Dhanbad in the State of Bihar has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, against Serial No. 12, the entry "Jamadoba" in column 3 and the corresponding entry in column 4 shall be omitted.

[No. F.S-38018/1/71-HL.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

का० आ० 2138.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया था कि मैसर्स टिस्को लिमिटेड सेंट्रल बाणरी, जमादोबा क्षेत्र में स्थिति था जो बिहार राज्य के धनबाद जिले में बिखरी हुई आबादी का क्षेत्र (अर्थात् ऐसा क्षेत्र जिसकी बीमा योग्य आबादी 500 से कम थी) था ;

और यतः उसकी बिखरी हुई आबादी के क्षेत्र में अवस्थिति के आधार पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उपर्युक्त कारखाने को, भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1797 तारीख 31 मई, 1962 द्वारा कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब के अधीन नियोजक के विशेष अभिदाय के सन्दाय से तब तक के लिए छूट दे दी थी जब तक कि उस अधिनियम के अध्याय 5 के उपबन्ध उस क्षेत्र में प्रवर्तित नहीं हो जाते ;

और, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार राज्य के धनबाद जिले में जमादोबा क्षेत्र की बीमा योग्य आबादी अब 500 से बढ़ गई है, और वह अब बिखरी हुई आबादी का क्षेत्र नहीं है ।

अतः अब कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73-ब द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा उक्त अधिसूचना में और आगे निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में, क्रम संख्या 12 के सामने, स्तम्भ 3 में "जमादोबा" प्रविष्टि और स्तम्भ 4 में तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि का लोप कर दिया जायेगा ।

[संख्या का० एस०-380/18(1)/71-एच० आई०]

दलजीत सिंह, अवसर सचिव ।

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 20th May 1971

S.O. 2139.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Arbitrator in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Central Bank of India and their workmen represented by the Central Bank Workers Union, Delhi which was received by the Central Government on the 15th May, 1971.

IN THE MATTER OF ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS REGARDING INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE BETWEEN THE MANAGEMENT OF THE CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA AND ITS WORKMEN REPRESENTED BY CENTRAL BANK WORKERS UNION, DELHI IN THE CASE OF SARVASHRI BHARAT SINGH AND RAMESH SHARMA IN CHIEF AGENT'S OFFICE, CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA, DELHI.

BEFORE

SHRI V. P. GUPTA, REGIONAL LABOUR COMMISSIONER (CENTRAL)
AND ARBITRATOR

APPEARANCES:

For the Management:

1. Shri M. L. Bhalla, Chief Agent, Central Bank of India, New Delhi.
2. Shri S. K. Gauha, Assistant Chief Agent, Central Bank of India, New Delhi.

For the Workmen:

1. Shri M. L. Razdan, General Secretary, Central Bank Workers Union, New Delhi.

The management of the Central Bank of India represented by Shri M. L. Bhalla, Chief Agent, and the workmen of the bank represented by General Secretary, Central Bank Workers Union, Delhi *vide* their arbitration settlement signed before the ALC(C), Chandigarh referred the following dispute to my arbitration under Sec. 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

"Whether the management of Central Bank of India is justified in not making Sarvashri Bharat Singh and Ramesh Sharma, Deftaries of Chief Agent's Office, Central Bank of India, New Delhi, Clerks? If not, to what relief they are entitled?"

2. The above said arbitration settlement was published in the Government of India Gazette dated 26th December, 1970 in Part II Section 3 sub-section (ii) at page 5789 under S.O. 4073.

3. The parties were requested to submit their claim and counter-claims, which were duly received. A hearing in the matter was proposed for 9th February, 1971 when both the parties appeared but the management representative requested for an adjournment since they had been busy with yearly closing and certain other jobs. Another hearing was fixed for 29th March, 1971 when the hearing was adjourned at the request of the parties due to general elections. Final hearing took place on 12th May, 1971.

4. The Union's case is that in February, 1960 the local management of the Bank had recommended to the Head Office to grant a special allowance of Rs. 25/- per month to Shri Bharat Singh for performing certain duties outside the purview of a daftary. The Head Office, according to the Union, did not agree to the recommendation of the local management and advised them to utilise the services of a clerk instead of allowing a special allowances for performing additional duties outside the purview of a daftary. The management did not change the duties of Shri Bharat Singh and, according to the union, he continued to perform the duties he had been performing heretofore. According to the Union, by implication, the management has admitted that Shri Bharat Singh was doing a job superior to that of a Daftary and, as such, there is a case for his posting as a Clerk. The Union has not given any such details about Shri Ramesh Sharma but they have demanded that he should be dealt with on the same footing. On the other hand, the management's contention is that Sarvashri Bharat Singh and Ramesh Sharma are performing the duties of a Daftary and Peon respectively as prescribed in the bipartite settlement and, as such, the Union's claim is baseless. In the counter-statements filed by the parties, the union has listed the duties performed by Sarvashri Bharat Singh and Ramesh Sharma. The management, on the other hand, has stated that on receipt of their Head Office letter in 1960, they had redistributed the duties among the clerks and it was neither necessary for them to appoint an additional clerk to do the record-keeping job heretofore done by Sarvashri Bharat Singh and Ramesh Sharma nor it was necessary for both these workmen to perform functions which were not the legitimate functions of a Daftary.

5. During the course of personal hearing, it transpired that the duties which were being performed by Shri Bharat Singh, Daftary till 1960 were not changed

by the management nor any duty performed by him heretofore was taken away from him. In view of this, it is difficult for me to believe that Shri Bharat Singh and Ramesh Sharma are not performing any duty which is not the legitimate duty of a Daftary. I further find that according to the duties listed by the union, which have not been desired by the management, the workmen in question are maintaining old records and supplying them as and when required by departments independently. They are stacking daily papers and periodicals independently and maintaining Head Office circulars and supplying them to the departments concerned as and when required. On a comparison of these duties with the duties listed in Appendix B of the bipartite settlement dated 19th October, 1966, I find it difficult to believe that they are performing the duties of a daftary since daftarries are required to do stacking etc. under guidance and assist in issuing stationery etc. I, therefore, find that Sarvashri Bharat Singh and Ramesh Sharma have undoubtedly been performing duties of a higher order and, as such, there is a case for some relief to them.

6. As regards relief, I find that the two workmen do not seem to have any clerical experience. There is, however, no denying the fact that they have been keeping records and keeping the same for a long time. I am given to understand that Shri Bharat Singh has been doing record-keeping for over ten years while Shri Ramesh Sharma has also been performing the same job for about three years.

7. In view of the above, I hold that both the workmen should be posted as record-keepers.

8. The parties had initially agreed that the arbitrator shall give his award within two months. The period was subsequently extended till 17th May, 1971.

9. I award accordingly. The Award will take effect from the date of its publication and the workmen shall not be allowed any relief whatever for the period prior thereto.

New Delhi, the 13th May, 1971.

(Sd.) V. P. GUPTA,

Regional Labour Commissioner (C) and Arbitrator.

[No. 24/39/70/LRIII.]

T. K. RAMACHANDRAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 15th April 1971

S.O. 2140.—Whereas the industrial dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed is pending before Shri P.N. Thukral, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad;

And whereas the services of Shri P. N. Thukral are no longer available;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 33 B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said dispute from Shri P. N. Thukral, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad and transfers the same to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Jabalpur constituted under section 7A of the said Act, and directs that the said Tribunal shall proceed with the said proceedings from the stage at which they are transferred to it and dispose of the same according to law.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Parties to the dispute	Order No. and date	S. O. No. of Gazette and year of publication
	Central Bank of India and their workmen	23/71/69-LRIII dated 3rd September, 1970.	3106/70

[No. 23/71/69/LRIII]

[(अस और रोजगार विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 15 अप्रैल, 1971

का० आ० 2140.—यतः इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद श्री पी० एन० ठुकराल पीठासीन अधिकारी, औद्योगिक अधिकरण, फरीदाबाद के समक्ष लम्बित है ;

और यतः श्री पी० एन० ठुकराल की सेवाएँ अब उपलब्ध नहीं रही हैं ;

अतः अब औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 33ख की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद से संबंधित कार्य-वाहियों को श्री पी० एन० ठुकराल, पीठासीन अधिकारी, औद्योगिक अधिकरण, फरीदाबाद से एतद्-द्वारा प्रत्याहृत करती है और उन्हें उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7-क के अधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर को अन्तरित करती है और निदेश देती है कि उक्त अधिकरण उक्त कार्यवाहियों पर आगे कार्यवाही उस प्रक्रम से करेगा जिस पर वे उसे अन्तरित की गई हैं और विधि के अनुसार उनका निपटान करेगा ।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं०	विवाद के पक्षकार	आदेश सं० और तारीख	राजपत्र की का० आ० संख्या और प्रकाशन का वर्ष
1	सैंट्रल बैंक आफ इंडिया और उसके कर्मकार ।	23/71/69—एल० आर० 3, तारीख 3 सितम्बर, 1970	3106/70

[सं० 23/71/69/एल० आर 03]

S.O. 2141.—Whereas the industrial disputes specified in the Schedule hereto annexed are pending before Shri K. P. Gupta, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Allahabad;

And, whereas, the services of Shri K. P. Gupta, have ceased to be available;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby withdraws the Proceedings in relation to the said disputes pending before Shri K. P. Gupta, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Allahabad and transfers the same to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur constituted under section 7A of the said Act, and directs that the said Tribunal shall proceed with the said proceedings from the stage at which they are transferred to it and dispose of the same according to Law.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Parties to the dispute	Order No. and date	S.O. No. of Gazette and year of publication
1.	Bank of Baroda and their workmen	23/2/70/LR III dated the 15th May, 1970.	1970/70
2.	Chartered Bank and their workmen	23/65/70/LR III dated the 6th July, 1970.	2438/70

[No. 24/3/70-LR III]

का० आ० 2141—यतः इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद श्री के० पी० गुप्त, पीठासीन, अधिकारी, औद्योगिक अधिकरण, इलाहाबाद के समक्ष लम्बित है;

और यतः श्री के० पी० गुप्त की सेवाएँ अब उपलब्ध नहीं रही हैं ;

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 33-ख की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद से संबंधित कार्यवाहियों को श्री के० पी० गुप्त, पीठासीन अधिकारी, औद्योगिक अधिकरण, इलाहाबाद से प्रत्याहृत करती है और उन्हें उक्त अधिनियम, की धारा 7-क के अधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर को अन्तरित करती है और निदेश देती है कि उक्त अधिकरण उक्त कार्यवाहियों पर आगे कार्यवाही उस प्रक्रम से करेगा जिस पर वे उसे अन्तरित की गई हैं और विधि के अनुसार उनका निपटान करेगा ।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं०	विवाद के पक्षकार	आदेश सं० और तारीख	राजपत्र की का० आ० संख्या और प्रकाशन का वर्ष
1	बैंक आफ बरोदा और उसके कर्मकार	23/2/70-एल आर 3, तारीख 15 मई, 1970	1970/70
2	चार्टर्ड बैंक और उसके कर्मकार	23/65/70-एल आर० 3 तारीख 6 जुलाई, 1970	2438/70

[सं० 24/3/70-एल० आर० 3]

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1971

S.O. 2142.—Whereas the applications under section 33C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) specified in the Schedule hereto annexed are pending before the Labour Court, Bombay constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 1698, dated the 22nd May 1965;

And whercas, a large number of applications are pending with the said Labour Court;

And whereas, the Central Government desires that the said applications should be disposed of expeditiously;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said applications and transfers the same to the Labour Court No. 2, Bombay constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1970 dated the 28th May, 1968 and directs that the said Court shall proceed with each of the said proceedings from the stage at which it is transferred to it and dispose of the same according to law.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Application No. LCB	Applicant	Opposite Party
1	2	3	4
1	46 of 1965	Amrut Ningappa & 126 others C/o S. K. Munshiff, Advocate, Shrinath Bhuvan, 27, Picket Cross Road, Kalabadevi, Bombay-2.	Shri P. N. Kaul, Divisional Superintendent, Central Railway Bombay V.T.
2	159 of 1966	Shri B. R. D. Deshmukh & 8 others. T. P. Staff (Finc), C. Rly. Poona.	General Manager, V.T. and Chief Security Officer, Central Railway V.T.
3	178 of 1966	Shri Mohmed Hanif, Retired Guard, Poona.	1. General Manager, V.T. 2. Divisional Superintendent, V.T.
4	181 of 1966	Shri Abu Bhagu & 509 others C/o Shri S. K. Munshiff, Advocate.	Shri P. N. Kaul, Divisional Superintendent, Bombay V.T.
5	186 of 1966	Shri Pandurang Maruti Pharate, Store Clerk, Central Railway, Poona and other Store Office Staff.	The Divisional Superintendent, Central Railway, Bombay V.T.
6	187 of 1966	Do.	Divisional Superintendent Bom- bay and General Manager, Bombay V.T.
7	188 of 1966	Shri Shivram Ramji Londhe, Khatasi C. & W. Railway, Neral.	Do.
8	190 of 1966	Shri Aboo Laxman & 130 others	Shri B. C. Mathias, Divisional Superintendent, Bombay V.T.
9	191 of 1966	Shri Mahadu Sindu Core, Fitter C&W Railway, Poona.	General Manager, Bombay V.T. Divisional Superintendent, V.T.
10	195 of 1966	Shri Atmaram Dhule & 547 others C/o S. K. Munshiff.	Shri R. T. Shahani, Divisional Superintendent, Central Rail- way, Bhusawal.
11	4 of 1967	Shri S. T. Jagtap & 5 others, working under Carriage Fore- man, Bombay V.T.	Divisional Superintendent, Cent- ral Railway, Bombay V.T.
12	5 of 1967	Shri V. R. Kirpekar and 2 others, working under Electrical Fore- man, Bombay V.T.	Do.
13	9 of 1967	Shri Mahadeo Tukaram & others, workmen under the Divl. Superintendent, Central Rail- way, C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Sahe- kar, D. L. Vadya Road, Dadar, Bombay-21.	Divisional Superintendent, Central Railway, Bombay V.T.
14	128 of 1967	Ramachandra Ambaji Nansode, T.C. Central Rly., Kurla.	1. General Manager, C. Rly. V.T. 2. Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly. V.T.
15	129 of 1967	Shri V. G. Ghate and 25 others, C/o K. R. Munshiff.	Shri B. C. Mathias Divisional Supdt. V.T.

1	2	3	4
16	140 of 1967	Shri Shridhar Bhaskar & 239 others, C/o S.K. Munsiff, Advocate.	Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly. V.T.
17	141 of 1967	Shri Dada Aba Dhodke & others, Liverman, Central Railway, Poona & others.	General Manager, C. Railway, Bombay V.T. Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly. V.T.
18	155 of 1967	Shri Appa Sadashiv & 182 others C/o Shri S. K. Munsiff.	Shri P. Natesari, Divisional Superintendent, South Central Railway Administration, Sholapur.
19	194 of 1967	Shri Abaji Balku & 23 others, C/o Kantilal R. Munsiff.	Divisional Superintendent C. Railway, V.T.
20	1 of 1968	Abdul Karim Makbool & 211 others, C/o S.K. Munsiff.	Divisional Supdt, South Central Rly., Sholapur.
21	2 of 1968	Shri M. J. Jahangir & others, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Supdt. Central Rly., Bombay V.T.
22	3 of 1968	Shri K. C. Midha & Others, C/o Shri L.M. Nerlekar.	Do.
23	4 of 1968	Shri Magan Thama Pagare, Trolleyman, Central Railway, Ahmedabad.	General Manager, C. Rly., V.T. Divisional Supdt. Central Rly. Bhusawal.
24	6 of 1968	Shri G. V. Kalekar & Others, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Superintendent Central Railway, Bombay V.T.
25	7 of 1968	Ignatious Joseph D' Souza, Train Examiner, Central Railway, Poone.	1. General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay V.T. 2. Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly. V.T.
26	8 of 1968	Dharma Laxman Pawar, Hamal, Central Rly., Igatpuri.	Do.
27	10 of 1968	Shri L. J. Kalke & others C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Superintendent C. R. Railway V.T.
28	11 of 1968	Shri Abdul Kadar & 141 others C/o S.K. Munsiff.	Divisional Supdt, South Central Rly., Sholapur.
29	12 of 1968	Shri Abdul Aziz Md., Ismail & 158 others, C/o Shri S. K. Munsiff.	Divisional Supdt. South Central Rly., Sholapur.
30	22 of 1968	Shri M. B. Shijwalkar & others, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Supdt., C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
31	24 of 1968	Shri N. Narayanaswamy & others, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Do.
32	45 of 1968	Shri R. N. Kadam, Prahlad Mansion, Block No. 5, 4th Road, Tilak Nagar, Goregaon, West, Bombay-62.	The General Manager.
33	46 of 1968	Shri Shantaram Sagun & 21 others C/o Shri S. K. Munsiff.	Divisional Superintendent, Western Railway, Churchgate, Bombay-I
34	72 of 1968	Shri S.D. Karkare & Others, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Supdt. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
35	279 of 1968	Shri V. Krishna Moorti, Head Clerk, Office of the Chief, Engineer C. Rly. Bombay.	General Manager, Central Rly., Bombay V.T.
36	282 of 1968	Shri V. C. Dara and Others, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Supdt. Central Rly. Bombay V.T.
37	283 of 1968	Shri M. C. Dandade, Workers, Maistry C. Rly., Poona.	1. General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay, V.T. 2. General Manager, S. C. Rly., Secunderabad. 3. Divisional Engineer, South Central Railway, Poona-Dhond (Poona).

1	2	3	4
38	304 of 1968	Smt. Champabai Tejusang Thakur, Residing at Railway Qr. No. RB-1-550/2, Tadiwala Poona A&P Poona.	1. General Manager, Central Rly., Bombay V.T. 2. Divisional Superintendent C. Railway, V.T.
39	305 of 1968	Shri L. M. Nerlekar, Sankar, D. L. Vaidya Road Dadar, Bombay-28.	Chief Personnel Officer, C. Rly. Bombay, V.T.
40	306 of 1968	Shri V. T. Nikumbha, C/o Shri L. M. Nerlekar, Dadar.	Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly. Bombay, V.T.
41	307 of 1968	Shri Madhukar Chimaji C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Do.
42	308 of 1968	Shri V. M. Koly, C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate	Do.
43	309 of 1968	Shri Jaisingh Rai Singh & others, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Superintendent, C. Rly. Bombay, V.T.
44	310 of 1968	Shri Seetaram Rao & Others C/o Shri L. M. Nerlekar.	Do.
45	322 of 1968	Shri Ramu Narayan Bhosale, Rly., Qrs. Visapur District Ahmednagar & five others	Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly., Bhusawal Distt. Jalgaon, Bhusawal.
46	335 of 1968	Shri A. Jones, Ex. Carcaker, Central Rly. Poona.	1. The General Manager, C. Rly. Bombay V. T. 2. Divisional Supdt. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
47	336 of 1968	Shri Ganpat, Gangman & others C/o N. P. Matai, Advocate.	The Divisional Sup t. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
48	337 of 1968	Smt. Bhagirathi bai Damodar Jadhav, C/o R. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Divisional Supdt. Central Rly. Bombay V.T.
49	338 of 1968	Smt. Shobha Narayan Loke C/o Shri L. M. Nerlekar.	Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
50	339 of 1968	Shri M. D. Wadia, C/o S. M. Dharap, Advocate.	The Divisional Superintendent Western Rly., Bombay Central, Bombay.
51	537 of 1968	Shri Shaikh Yusufalal, Retired Khalasi, Matunga Workshop Central Railway, Bombay.	1. General Manager, Central Railway Bombay V.T. 2. Chief Mechanical Engineer, G.M.S. Office Central Railway, Bombay V.T.
52	809 of 1968	Shri Nilkanth Keshav Pitkar, C/o Shri L. M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Superintendent C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
53	1 of 1969	Shri H. D. Bhambre, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Divisional Superintendent, Central Rly., Bombay, V.T.
54	2 of 1969	Shri Sadusngh Tharwardas Gurbaami, C/o N.P. Matai, Advocate.	General Manager, Western Railway, Churchgate Bombay.
55	24 of 1969	Shri J. D. Chawan, C/o S. K. Munsiff.	Divisional Superintendent, Central Rly., Bombay V.T.
56	25 of 1969	Shri Gopal Bhiva, H.S. Fitter Locoshed, W. Rly., Staff, T. No. 3065, Western Rly., Lower Parel, Bombay.	Divisional Superintendent, (Mech. 'E') Bombay Central Railway, Western Railway.
57	26 of 1969	Shri Bachubai M. Fitter Mistry T. No. 305 Western Rly., Lower Parel, Bombay.	Do.
58	279 of 1969	Shri Mahadeo Manohar, Fitter, Locoshed, Staff No. 342, W. Rly. Lower Parel.	Do.

1	2	3	4
59	132 of 1969	Shri Takhat Singh Ratan Singh, Electrical Inspector, 172/174, Dr. D. N. Road, 4th floor, R. No. 29, Fort, Bombay-1,	Divisional Superintendent, Central Railway, Bombay V.T.
60	200 of 1969	Shri Ramsavan Jawale	Divisional Personal Officer, Western Railway, Bombay Central.
61	213 of 1969	Shri P.R. Petkar, Clerk, Goods Superintendent, C. Rly. Bombay.	Divisional Superintendent, Central Rly., Bombay, V.T.
62	214 of 1969	Shri M. D. Chogule, Clerk, Goods Superintendent's Central Railway, Bombay.	Do.
63	216 of 1969	Shri F.R. Shelar & Other C/o Shri. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Chief Commercial Sudpt. Central Rly., Bombay V.T.
64	217 of 1969	Shri S. M. Dedao, C/o Shri M. Nerlekar, Advocate	Divisional Supdt. Rly., Bombay V.T.
65	324 of 1969	Shri L. R. Burhade, C/o S. M. Dharap, Advocate	Divisional Supdt. Western Rly., Bombay.
66	335 of 1969	Shri S. N. Chitra C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Divisional Supdt. Central Rly., Bombay V.T.
67	381 of 1969	Shri Piara Singh & 164 others, C/o S.K. Munsiff, Advocate	Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly, Bombay V.T.
68	389 of 1969	Shri Balbhim Rakhamji, 86, Bachhawar Banglow, Rly. Lines, Sholapur-1.	1. Divisional Supdt. (Workers) South Central Railway Sholapur-1. 2. Divisional Personnel Officer.
69	390 of 1969	Shri D. D. Borge, Adult, Indian Inhabitant, Resident of Bombay working Sr. Clerk, M/s. Premier Automobile Ltd., Agra Road, Bombay-70.	Executive Engineer (Construction) Divc-Panvel-Uran Section C. Rly. Dadar.
70	407 of 1969	Shri M. L. Banarjee & others, C/o N.P. Matai, Advocate.	1. General Manager, C. Rly. Bombay V.T. 2. Controller of Stores, C. Rly. Bombay-V.T. 3. District Controller of Stores, (C.W.E.) C. Rly. Matunga, Bombay.
71	409 of 1969	Shri Premchand Rathod & 57 others C/o S. K. Munsiff, Advocate.	Divisional Supdt. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
72	459 of 1969	Shri Sitaram Ganpat & 256 others C/o N. P. Matai, Advocate.	Divisional Supdt. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
73	460 of 1969	Shri R. D. Gadkari, Karjat, Distt. Kolaba.	Divisional Supdt. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
74	461 of 1969	Shri Padmanabha Kurup R. C/o A.V. Menon, Advocate	Divisional Personnel Officer, W. Rly. Bombay Central General Manager, W. Rly Churchgate, Bombay.

I	2	3	4
75	462 of 1969	Shri Sam Prakash C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	The Chief Commercial Supdt. C. Rly., Bombay V. T.
76	463 of 1969	Shri C. G. Deo	Do.
77	464 of 1969	Shri R. Narayan Rao	Do.
78	465 of 1969	Shri N. S. Santhanam	Do.
79	466 of 1969	Shri N. N. Sharma	Do.
80	467 of 1969	Shri K. S. Midha	Do.
81	468 of 1969	Shri Chottar Singh	Do.
82	469 of 1969	Shri M. L. Ghose	Do.
83	470 of 1969	Shri M. G. Shejwalkar	Do.
84	471 of 1969	Shri L. J. Kulke	Do.
85	472 of 1969	Shri Jugal Kishore Singh	Do.
86	473 of 1969	Shri B. M. Bhagat	Do.
87	474 of 1969	Shri A. S. Bhumkar	Do.
88	475 of 1969	Shri R. M. Tiwari	Do.
89	476 of 1969	Shri K. L. Chopra	Do.
90	477 of 1969	Shri D. M. Pathak	Do.
91	478 of 1969	Shri P. G. Ranade	Do.
92	479 of 1969	Shri M. S. Bhandre	Do.
93	480 of 1969	Shri M. A. Subramaniam	Do.
94	481 of 1969	Shri L. B. Thakar	Do.
95	482 of 1969	Shri D. L. Mahajan	Do.
96	483 of 1969	Shri S. G. Joshi	Do.
97	484 of 1969	Shri Mannurlal Dvivede, C/o Shri L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Do.
98	485 of 1969	Shri T. T. Dudeni	Do.
99	486 of 1969	Shri P. S. Choudhary	Do.
100	487 of 1969	Shri S. N. Ratnaparkhi	Do.
101	488 of 1969	Shri D. P. Kulkarni	Do.
102	489 of 1969	Shri V. G. Vaidhya	Do.
103	490 of 1969	Shri M. N. Chandrachud	Do.
104	491 of 1969	Shri S. M. Kunte	Do.
105	492 of 1969	Shri M. B. Unhale	Do.
106	493 of 1969	Shri S. S. Georaiker	Do.
107	494 of 1969	Shri S. P. Sharma	Do.
108	495 of 1969	Shri R. R. Tiwari	Do.
109	496 of 1969	Shri R. M. Nerlekar	Do.
110	497 of 1969	Shri H. C. Shrivastava	Do.
111	498 of 1969	Shri Shambhanath Shrivastava	Do.
112	499 of 1969	Shri D. N. Adhoulia	Do.
113	500 of 1969	Shri Narain Dattu	Do.
114	501 of 1969	Shri S. P. Chanekar	Do.
115	502 of 1969	Shri C. V. Vaidynathan	Do.
116	503 of 1969	Shri P. C. Joshi	Do.
117	504 of 1969	Shri T. K. Bhawsar	Do.
118	505 of 1969	Shri D. H. Sanghwikari	Do.
119	506 of 1969	Shri F. H. Shakar	Do.
120	507 of 1969	Shri Vidyaram	Do.
121	508 of 1969	Shri K. N. Gupta	Do.
122	509 of 1969	Shri U. G. Patki	Do.
123	510 of 1969	Shri S. Jogannathan C/o Shri L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate	Do.
124	511 of 1969	Shri K. Kanan	Do.
125	512 of 1969	Shri D. S. Kashjap	Do.
126	513 of 1969	Shri V. P. Khalkanikar	Do.
127	514 of 1969	Shri N. R. Rangari	Do.
128	515 of 1969	Shri B. W. Mechandale	Do.
129	516 of 1969	Shri Madan Mohan Ojho	Do.
130	517 of 1969	Shri A. S. Nerkar	Do.
131	518 of 1969	Shri S. D. Tongavankar	Do.
132	519 of 1969	Shri Shamsundar Varma	Do.

1	2	3	4
133	520 of 1969	Shri G. S. Joshi	The Chief Commercial Supdt. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
134	521 of 1969	Shri P. J. Lala	Do.
135	522 of 1969	Shri K. N. S. Pancker	Do.
136	523 of 1969	Shri A. H. Qareshi	Do.
137	524 of 1969	Shri Ram Jiwan	Do.
138	525 of 1969	Shri P. S. Niranjane	Do.
139	526 of 1969	Shri D. S. Panch	Do.
140	527 of 1969	Shri M. G. Prachand	Do.
141	528 of 1969	Shri V. V. Thakare	Do.
142	529 of 1969	Shri M. B. Belapure	Do.
143	530 of 1969	Shri N. J. Ghanurde	Do.
144	531 of 1969	Shri Danbahadur Singh	Do.
145	532 of 1969	Shri S. N. Khare C o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate	Do.
146	533 of 1969	Shri P. T. Thakre	Do.
147	534 of 1969	Shri M. C. Patel	Do.
148	535 of 1969	Shri N. K. Saxena	Do.
149	536 of 1969	Shri Y. B. Gharpure C o L.M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Do.
150	537 of 1969	Shri N. Narayanswami	Do.
151	538 of 1969	Shri D. L. Sapru	Do.
152	539 of 1969	Shri B. S. Aute	Do.
153	540 of 1969	Shri S. Y. Dikshit	Do.
154	541 of 1969	Shri G. G. Tiwari	Do.
155	542 of 1969	Shri G. G. Tiwari	Do.
156	560 of 1969	Shri M. P. O. Kurup, Mangutram Colony, Agra Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78	Divisional Supdt. Bombay Division C. Railway.
157	1 of 1970	Shri Ramachandra D. Ahir C/o A.S. Shaikh	1. General Manager, C. Rly. Bombay V.T. 2. Divisional Supdt. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
158	8 of 1970	Shri D. D. Mahajan C/o L.M. Nerlekar	Chief Commercial Supdt. C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
159	9 of 1970	Shri D.D. Mahajan	Do.
160	11 of 1970	Shri Yeshwant Bhagoji, C/o P.K. Namjoshi, Advocate.	Divisional Supdt. Bombay Division C. Rly. Bombay V.T.
161	12 of 1970	Shri Yar Mohd. Illi	Do.
162	13 of 1970	Shri Nazib Ali Abdul	Do.
163	14 of 1970	Shri Vasant Baban	Do.
164	15 of 1970	Shri Tatyaram Anandrao	Divisional Supdt. Bombay Division C. Rly. Bombay V. T.
165	16 of 1970	Shri Sheikh Sandhur Sheikh Kadar.	Do.
166	17 of 1970	Shri Sahebrao Bhajanbur.	Do.
167	18 of 1970	Shri Sheikh Shamusuddin	Do.
168	19 of 1970	Shri Sitaram Dhondiram	Do.
169	20 of 1970	Shri T. Rapose	Do.
170	21 of 1970	Shri R. V. Patila	Do.
171	22 of 1970	Shri Noor Mohd. Shakhurkhan	Do.
172	23 of 1970	Shri A. Nizamuddin.	Do.
173	24 of 1970	Shri Nana Namdeo Dhone	Do.
174	25 of 1970	Shri Nan Shivaji, C/o P. K. Namjoshi, Advocate.	Do.
175	26 of 1970	Shri Narayanan Bhujo	Do.
176	27 of 1970	Shri S. K. Mahboob	Do.
177	28 of 1970	Shri Mansoorkhan	Do.
178	29 of 1970	Shri Maruti Tukaram	Do.
179	30 of 1970	Shri M. Y. Kasim.	Do.
180	31 of 1970	Shri M. S. Mahmed.	Do.

1	2	3	4
181	32 of 1970 . . .	Shri M. S. Kulkarni	Divisional Supdt. Bombay Division G. Rly, Bombay V.T.
182	33 of 1970 . . .	Shri G. P. Das	Do.
183	34 of 1970 . . .	Shri Damu Rama	Do.
184	35 of 1970 . . .	Shri Deotaddin Patan	Do.
185	36 of 1970 . . .	Shri Desaram Ananda C/o P. K. Namjoshi, Advocate,	Do.
186	37 of 1970 . . .	Shri Balaram Zoma	Do.
187	38 of 1970 . . .	Shri Anna Shripat	Do.
188	39 of 1970 . . .	Shri Abdul Khan	Do.
189	40 of 1970 . . .	Shri K. P. Baluoa	Dv.
190	5/4 of 1970 . . .	Shri G. O. Gagre, C. Rly., Bombay, Head Clerk,	General Manager C. Rly, Bombay V. T.
191	55 of 1970 . . .	Shri D. V. Ganaiyal, Section Controller, Bombay V. T.	Divisional Supdt., C. Rly, Bombay V. T.
192	87 of 1970 . . .	Shri V. S. Pilla, C/o L. W. Nerlekar, Advocate	Chief Commercial Supdt. C. Rly., Bombay V. T.
193	88 of 1970 . . .	Shri B. A. Dhulekar, Claim Tresser, Akola	Do.
194	89 of 1970 . . .	Shri A. A. Tinble & Others, C/o L. M. Nerlekar	Controller of Stores, C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
195	95 of 1970 . . .	Shri A. R. Mahanlizam & 5 Others, C/o L.M. Nerlekar.	Chief Commercial Supdt. C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
196	864 of 1970 . . .	Shri N. D. Shinde & 21 Others, C/o K. R. Munsiff, Advocate.	Divisional Supdt. C. Rly., Bombay V. T.
197	865 of 1970 . . .	Shri Shankar Chimna & 22 Others C/o K. R. Munsiff.	Do.
198	866 of 1970 . . .	Shri Rama Hari & 35 Others C/o K. R. Munshiff, Ad- vocate.	Do.
199	938 of 1970 . . .	Shri Y. B. Pagare & 20 Others C/o K. R. Munsiff, Advocate.	Do.
200	1489 of 1970 . . .	Shri T. K. Rajan C/o Loco Foreman, Diesel Locoshed, C. Rly, Bombay, Kurla.	Divisional Supdt. Bombay Division C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
201	1490 of 1970 . . .	Shri M. Mahalingam . . .	Do.
202	1491 of 1970 . . .	Shri G. S. Koner . . .	Do.
203	1492 of 1970 . . .	Shri Maruti Rama . . .	Do.
204	1493 of 1970 . . .	Shri V. L. Kalamkar . . .	Do.
205	1494 of 1970 . . .	Shri R. C. Ka.e. . . .	Do.
206	1495 of 1970 . . .	Shri Arjun Narayan . . .	Do.
207	1496 of 1970 . . .	Shri T. K. Sonawane . . .	Do.
208	1497 of 1970 . . .	Shri Hari Narayan . . .	Do.
209	1498 of 1970 . . .	Shri R. S. . . .	Do.
210	1499 of 1970 . . .	Shri Ganpat Manaji . . .	Do.
211	1500 of 1970 . . .	Shri Vasant Raghunath . . .	Do.
212	1501 of 1970 . . .	Shri Vaman Chaiman . . .	Do.
213	1502 of 1970 . . .	Shri Maruti Shivram . . .	Do.
214	1503 of 1970 . . .	Shri Abdul Hasan . . .	Do.
215	1504 of 1970 . . .	Shri Anant Janu . . .	Do.
216	1505 of 1970 . . .	Shri Kushal Laxman . . .	Do.
217	1506 of 1970 . . .	Shri G. R. D Godbole . . .	Do.
218	1507 of 1970 . . .	Shri Prabhakar Govind . . .	Do.
219	1508 of 1970 . . .	Shri Sitaram Baboo . . .	Do.
220	1509 of 1970 . . .	Shri V. P. Joshi . . .	Do.

1	2	3	4
221	1510 of 1970	Shri L. S. Dehade, C/o Loco Foreman, Diesel Locoshed C. Rly., Bombay Kurla.	Divisional Supdt., Bombay Division C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
222	1511 of 1970	Shri Baboo Dagdoo	Do.
223	1512 of 1970	Shri Valu Srawan	Do.
224	1513 of 1970	Shri K.M.Y. Swami, C/o Loco Foreman, Diesel Locoshed C. Rly., Bombay, Kurla.	Do.
225	1514 of 1970	Shri Mohd. Hussain	Do.
226	1515 of 1970	Shri Baboo Wasir	Do.
227	1516 of 1970	Shri N. R. Magar	Do.
228	1517 of 1970	Shri S. D. Gupta	Do.
229	1518 of 1970	Shri M. B. Dhapre	Do.
230	1519 of 1970	Shri Soma Raghoo	Do.
231	1520 of 1970	Shri Kachroo Soma	Do.
232	1521 of 1970	Shri N. S. Kalaskar	Do.
233	1522 of 1970	Shri B. R. Nakhale	Do.
234	1523 of 1970	Shri M. B. Gaikwad	Do.
235	1524 of 1970	Shri S. D. Namjoshi	Do.
236	1525 of 1970	Shri Yeshwant Narayan	Do.
237	1526 of 1970	Shri F. Raghoo	Do.
238	1527 of 1970	Shri Balkrushna Ziprao	Do.
239	1528 of 1970	Shri Rameshwar Bundo	Do.
240	1529 of 1970	Shri R. V. Chuneekar	Do.
241	1530 of 1970	Shri D. V. Deorukhakar	Do.
242	1531 of 1970	Shri G. Sunderrajan C/o Loco Foreman, Diesel Locoshed C. Rly., Bombay, Kurla.	Do.
243	1532 of 1970	Shri Shripat Ganpat	Do.
244	1533 of 1970	Shri Gopal Chander	Do.
245	1534 of 1970	Shri M. B. Temble	Do.
246	1535 of 1970	Shri B. Sadashiv	Do.
247	1536 of 1970	Shri C. Gomse	Do.
248	1537 of 1970	Shri P. L. Kharat	Do.
249	1538 of 1970	Shri V. K. Rankhambe, & 50 Others C/o K. R. Munshiff, Advocate.	Division Supdt. C. Rly, Bombay V. T.
250	1539 of 1970	Shri Namdeo Narayan & 13 others, C/o K. R. Munshiff, Advocate	Do.
251	1540 of 1970	Shri N. N. Deodhar, C/o K. R. Munshiff, Advocate.	General Manager Bombay V. T.
252	1541 of 1970	Shri K. T. Bawaskar, C/o L. M. Nerlekar.	Divisional Supdt. C. Rly., Bombay V. T.
253	1551 of 1970	Shri H. K. Waigankar, C/o S. M. Dharap, Advocate	Divisional Supdt. W. Rly., Bombay Central.
254	1928 of 1970	Shri R. Victor, C/o S. R. Ay, Advocate.	1. General Manager, W. Rly. Churchgate 2. Divisional Personnel Officer. W. Rly. Bombay Central, Bombay-8.
255	1631 of 1970	Shri R. T. Jambotkar, C/o R. P. Patil, Advocate.	Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly., Bombay V. T.
256	1632 of 1970	Shri K. D. Sohani, 247, Narayan Peth, Poona-30	1. General Manager, C. Rly. Bombay V. T. 2. General Manager, S.C.Rly., Secunderabad. 3. Divisional Supdt. C. Rly., Bombay V. T.
257	1638 of 1970	Shri R. N. Singh, Bhajoull, Bombay-8.	1. Divisional Supdt. C. Rly., Bombay V. T. 2. General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay V. T.

1	2	3	4
258	1645 of 1970	Shri B. B. Wagh, & 9 others, C/o Madhya Railway Karma- chari Sangh, 25, Ibrahim Mansion, 2nd Floor, Bom- bay-12.	General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
259	1646 of 1970	Shri S. S. Saldana	Do.
260	1647 of 1970	Shri D. R. More	Do.
261	1648 of 1970	Shri P. M. Brindaban	Do.
262	1649 of 1970	Shri J. K. Kalaste	Do.
263	1650 of 1970	Shri R. B. Narkar	Do.
264	1651 of 1970	Shri M. V. Vichare	Do.
265	1662 of 1970	Shri R. M. Malwankar	Do.
266	1663 of 1970	Shri A. S. Deshmukh	Do.
267	1664 of 1970	Shri V. M. Gujar	Do.
268	1640 of 1970	Shri V. S. Chandrachand, C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Chief Commercial Supdt., C., Rly., Bombay V.T.
269	1641 of 1970	Shri Narayan Datta	Do.
270	1642 of 1970	Shri V. R. Ambekar	Do.
271	1643 of 1970	Shri V. R. Ambekar	Do.
272	1657 of 1970	Shri M.B. Raverkar C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Chief Commercial Supdt., C.Rly., Bombay V. T.
273	1659 of 1970	Shri B. M. Gogte C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Do.
274	1660 of 1970	Shri Datta Mala & 33 others, C/o S. K. Munsiff.	Divisional Supdt., C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
275	1661 of 1970	Shri P. M. Farate & 14 others, 70-655, Gokhale Nagar, Poona-16.	1. General Manager, C. Rly. Bombay V. T. 2. Divisional Supdt., C. Rly., Bombay V. T.
276	1662 of 1970	Shri Vithal Bhaurao & 32 others, C/o A.A. Sheikh, Poona.	1. General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay V.T. 2. Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly., Bombay, V. T.
277	1663 of 1970	Shri P. M. Parate & 27 others, Poona-16.	1. General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay V. T. 2. Divisional Supdt., C. Rly., Bombay V. T.
278	1654 of 1970	Shri N. K. Thandayat & 9 others, C/o S. D. Borkar, Advocate.	General Manager, Western Rly., Churchgate.
279	1665 of 1970	Shri Trigugi	Do.
280	1666 of 1970	Shri Antu Banwari	Do.
281	1667 of 1970	Shri Bhadaï Sadil	Do.
282	1668 of 1970	Shri M. V. Shenvi	Do.
283	1669 of 1970	Shri Durgaprasad Rambag	Do.
284	1670 of 1970	Shri Ramdas Jagannath	Do.
285	1671 of 1970	Shri Jagdish Ramsingh	Do.
286	1672 of 1970	Shri Yeshwant Aba	Do.
287	1673 of 1970	Shri Bisesar Pancham	Do.
288	1729 of 1970	Shri D. J. Chawan, & 39 others, C/o S. K. Munsiff.	Divisional Supdt., C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
289	1730 of 1970	Shri Narayansingh Santsingh & 12 others C/o S. K. Munsiff.	Do.
290	1731 of 1970	Shri Prabhakar Ramji & 54 others C/o S.K. Munsiff.	Do.
291	1736 of 1970	Shri D. B. Abhichandani & 35 others, R. B. 2nd 48, Flat No. 7, Rly., Bldg., Near Sion Hospital, Bombay-22.	1. General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay V. T. 2. Chief Personnel Officer, C. Rly, Bombay V.T.
292	2361 of 1970	Shri Harishchandra Desaram C/o L. M. Nerlekar, Advocate.	Chief Commercial Superinten- dent, Central Railway, Bom- bay V. T.

1	2	3	4
293	2369 of 1970	Shri Laxman Prasad, C/o R. P. Patil, Advocate.	Divisional Superintendent, C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
294	154 of 1966	Shri H. S. Gadagkar, Officiating Asstt. Yard Inspector, C. Rly., Poona.	General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
295	155 of 1966	Shri H. S. Gadagkar	Do.
296	156 of 1966	Shri Dattatraya Krishan Paranjape Retired PWI, C. Rly., Jejur.	Do.
297	157 of 1966	Shri Dattatraya Krishna Paranjape.	1. General Manager, C. Rly., Bombay V. T. 2. Divisional Supdt., C. Rly., Sholapur.
298	412 of 1969	Shri D.B. Mayekar, C/o P. R. Namjoshi, Advocate, 32, Likhmanya Nagar, L.H. Road, Mahim, Bombay-16.	Shri T. R. Sahani, Chief Personnel Officer, C. Rly., Bombay V.T.
299	413 of 1969	Shri Prabakhakar Anaji Pawar.	Do.
300	414 of 1969	Shri V. N. Salvekar.	Do.
301	415 of 1969	Shri J. S. Pandey	Do.
302	416 of 1969	Shri K. N. Bancrjee	Do.
303	417 of 1969	Shri Salve Narayan Gamaji	Do.
304	418 of 1969	Shri Champalal Ramsa Kumud	Do.
305	419 of 1969	Shri R. N. Sharma	Do.
306	420 of 1969	Shri Indradeo Amboo	Do.
307	421 of 1969	Shri A. K. Shukla	Do.
308	422 of 1969	Shri G. R. Sapkal	Do.
309	423 of 1969	Shri M. N. Kulkarni	Do.
310	424 of 1969	Shri M. L. Dudani	Do.
311	425 of 1969	Shri A. J. Raivelkar	Do.
312	426 of 1969	Shri Thomas Joseph	Do.
313	427 of 1969	Shri Bhajan Lal	Do.
314	428 of 1969	Shri Indersing Budhsingh	Do.
315	429 of 1969	Shri V. V. Pande.	Do.
316	430 of 1969	Shri J. S. Raikar	Do.
317	431 of 1969	Shri Y. R. Kamble, C/o Shri P. R. Namjoshi, Advocate.	Shri R. T. Shanhai, Chief Personnel Officer, Central Railway, V. T. Bombay.
318	432 of 1969	Shri Taralal Haro Prasad.	Do.
319	433 of 1969	Shri T. S. Sundersan	Do.
320	434 of 1969	Shri Girmo M. L.	Do.
321	435 of 1969	Shri A. N. Nagarkar	Do.
322	436 of 1969	Shri R. L. Seshwani	Do.
323	437 of 1969	Shri D. J. Gomes	Do.
324	438 of 1969	Shri L. V. Dhapre	Do.
325	439 of 1969	Shri S. R. Shrotriya	Do.
326	440 of 1969	Shri Tejmal Husein	Do.
327	441 of 1969	Shri A. K. Nain	Do.
328	442 of 1969	Shri B. V. Adhyapak	Do.
329	443 of 1969	Shri M.S.Sadnekar	Do.
330	444 of 1969	Shri Munnalal Sukhalal	Do.
331	445 of 1969	Shri L.V. Bhide	Do.
332	446 of 1969	Shri B. V. Ghag.	Do.
333	447 of 1969	Shri K. R. Rao	Do.
334	448 of 1969	Shri G.D. Gokhale.	Do.
335	455 of 1969	Shri Surrinder Mohan Gupta, C/o Shri P.R. Namjoshi, Advocate.	Chief Personnel Officer, Central Rly., Bombay V.T.
336	456 of 1969	Shri J. K. Sharma.	Do.

नई दिल्ली, 22 अप्रैल, 1971

का० आ० 2142--यतः औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 33ग(2) के अधीन, इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट आवेदन भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1698 तारीख 22 मई, 1965 द्वारा गठित श्रम न्यायालय, बम्बई के समक्ष लंबित है ;

और यतः उक्त श्रम न्यायालय के पास बड़ी संख्या में आवेदन लंबित हैं ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार चाहती है कि उक्त आवेदनों को शीघ्रता से निपटाया जाना चाहिए ;

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 83ख की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उक्त आवेदनों से सम्बन्धित कार्यवाहियों को प्रत्याहृत करती है और उन्हें भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम और रोजगार विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1970 तारीख 28 मई, 1968 द्वारा गठित श्रम न्यायालय सं० 2, बम्बई को अन्तर्गत करती है और निदेश देती है कि उक्त न्यायालय उक्त कार्यवाहियों में से प्रत्येक पर उस प्रक्रम से कार्यवाही करेगा जिस पर वह उसे अन्तर्गत की गई है और उसे विधि के अनुसार निपटाएगा ।

क्रम सं०	आवेदन सं० एल सी बी	आवेदक	विरोधी पक्षकार
1	2	3	4
1	1965 का 46	अम्बुलत निर्गम्या और 126 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ, अधिवक्ता, श्री नाथ भवन, 27, पिकेट क्रास रोड, कालबा देवी, मुम्बई-2	श्री पी० एन० कौल, मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, बी० टी०
2	1966 का 159	श्री बी० आर० देशमुख और 8 अन्य टी० पी० स्ट्राफ (फाइन) मध्य रेलवे पुना	महाप्रबन्धक, बी० टी० और मुख्य सुरक्षा अधिकारी, मध्य रेलवे, बी० टी०
3	1966 का 178	श्री मोहम्मद हनीफ, सेवानिवृत्त गार्ड, पुना	1. महाप्रबन्धक, बी० टी० 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, बी० टी०
4	1966 का 181	श्री आबु भाग और 509 अन्य द्वारा श्री एम० के० मुंसिफ अधिवक्ता	श्री पी० एन० कौल, मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई, बी० टी०

1	2	3	4
5	1966 का 186	श्री पांडुरंग मारुति फड़ते, भंडार लिपिक मध्य रेलवे पूना और अन्य भंडार कार्यालय कर्मचारीवृन्द	मंडल अधीक्षक, केन्द्रीय रेलवे मुम्बई, वी०टी०
6	1966 का 187	—यथोक्त—	मंडल अधीक्षक मुम्बई और महाप्रबन्धक, मुम्बई, वी०टी०
7	1966 का 188	श्री शिवराम रामजी लोर्धे, खलासी सी एंड डब्ल्यू, रेलवे, नेरल	—यथोक्त—
8	1966 का 190	श्री आब लक्ष्मन और 130 अन्य	श्री बी० सी० मथियास, मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई वी०टी०
9	1966 का 191	श्री महदु सिन्दु गोरे, फिटर सी एंड डब्ल्यू रेलवे, पूना	महाप्रबन्धक, मुम्बई वी०टी० मंडल अधीक्षक, वी०टी०
10	1966 का 195	श्री आत्माराम घुले और 547 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ	श्री आर० टी० शाहनी, मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, भसावल ।
11	1967 का 4	श्री एस० टी० जगताप और 5 अन्य, जो कैंरिज फोरमैन, मुम्बई वी०टी० के अधीन काम कर रहे हैं ।	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, वी० टी०
12	1967 का 5	श्री वी० आर० किरपेकर और 2 अन्य, जो बिद्युत फोरमैन, मुम्बई वी० टी० के अधीन काम कर रहे हैं ।	—यथोक्त—
13	1967 का 9	श्री महादेव तुकाराम और अन्य, मंडल अधीक्षक मध्य रेलवे, के अधीन कर्म-कार/द्वारा एल० एम० नेल्लेकर, साहेकर, डी० एल० बाह्या रोड, दादर, मुम्बई-21	—यथोक्त—
14	1967 का 128	राजचन्द्र अम्बाजी तन्सादे, टी० सी०, मध्य रेलवे, कुर्ना	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, वी०टी०] । 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, वी० टी०
15	1967 का 129	श्री बी० जी० घाटे और 25 अन्य द्वारा के० आर० मुंसिफ	श्री बी० सी० मथियास, मंडल अधीक्षक, वी०टी०
16	1967 का 140	श्री श्रीधर भास्कर और 239 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, वी०टी०

1	2	3	4
17	1967 का 141	श्री दादा आबा धोदके और अन्य लिबर- मैन, मध्य रेलवे, पूना और अन्य	महा प्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी० मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, बी० टी०
18	1967 का 155	श्री अप्पा सदाशिव और 182 अन्य द्वारा श्री एस० के० मुंसिफ	श्री पी० नटेशरी, मंडल अधीक्षक, दक्षिण मध्य रेलवे प्रशासन, शोलापुर
19	1967 का 194	श्री आबाजी बालकु और 23 अन्य, द्वारा कान्तिलाल आर० मुंसिफ	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे बी० टी०
20	1968 का 1	अब्दुल करीम, मकबुल और 211 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ	मंडल अधीक्षक, दक्षिण मध्य रेलवे, शोलापुर
21	1968 का 2	श्री एम० जहांगीर और अन्य द्वारा एल० एम० नेल्लेकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
22	1968 का 3	श्री के० सी० मिधा और अन्य, द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेल्लेकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, बी० टी०
23	1968 का 4	श्री मगन थामा पगारे, टालीमैन, मध्य रेलवे, अहमदाबाद	महाप्रबन्ध मध्य रेलवे बी० टी० मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, भुसावल
24	1968 का 6	श्री सी० बी० कालेकर और अन्य द्वारा एम० एल० नेल्लेकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
25	1968 का 7	इग्नेसियस जोसेफ डी, सूजा, रेलगाड़ी परीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, पूना	1. महा प्रबन्धक मध्य रेलवे मुम्बई, बी० टी० 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, बी० टी०
26	1960 का 8	धर्म लक्ष्मण पवार, हमल, मध्य रेलवे, इगतपुरी	—रथोक्त—
27	1968 का 10	श्री एल० जे० कालके और अन्य द्वारा एल० एम० नेल्लेकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, बी० टी०
28	1968 का 11	श्री अब्दुल कादर और 141 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ	मंडल अधीक्षक दक्षिण मध्य रेलवे, शोलापुर

1	2	3	4
29	1968 का 12	श्री अब्दुल अजीज नो. इसमाइल और 158 अन्य द्वारा श्री एस. के. मुंसिफ	-यथोक्त-
30	1968 का 22	श्री एम. बी. शिजवाकर और अन्य द्वारा एल. एम. नेलेंकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी. टी.
31	1968 का 24	श्री एन. नरायणस्वामी और अन्य द्वारा एल. एम. नेलेंकर	-यथोक्त-
32	1968 का 45	श्री आर. एन. कायम, प्रह्लाद, मेन्सन, ब्लाक सं. 5, चौथी रोड, तिलक नगर, गोरेगांव, पश्चिम, मुम्बई 62	महाप्रबन्धक
33	1968 का 46	श्री शान्ताराम सगुन और 21 अन्य द्वारा श्री एस. के. मुंसिफ	मंडल अधीक्षक, पश्चिम रेलवे चर्चंगेट, मुम्बई-1
34	1968 का 72	श्री एस. डी. करकरे और अन्य द्वारा एल. एम. नेलेंकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी. टी.
35	1968 का 279	श्री वी. कृष्ण मूर्ति, प्रधान लिपिक मुख्य इंजीनियर का कार्यालय, मध्य रेलवे मुम्बई	महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी. टी.
36	1968 का 282	श्री वी. जी. दाते और अन्य द्वारा एल. एम. नेलेंकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी. टी.
37	1968 का 283	श्री एम. जी. दांडे, वर्कस मस्तरी, मध्य रेलवे, पूना	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे मुम्बई वी. टी. 2. महाप्रबन्धक, दक्षिण-मध्य रेलवे, सिकन्दराबाद 3. मंडल इंजीनियर, दक्षिण मध्य रेलवे, पूना धीद (पूना)
38	1968 का 304	श्रीमती चम्पाबाई तेजसिंह ठाकुर जो रेलवे क्वार्टर सं. आर बी-1-550 2, तादीवाला पूना ए. एंड पी पूना की निवासी है	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी. टी. 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे वी. टी.
39	1968 का 305	श्री एल. एम. नेलेंकर, शंकर डी. एल. वैद्य रोड, दादर, मुम्बई, 28	मुख्य कार्मिक अधिकारी, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी. टी.

1	2	3	4
40	1968 का 306	श्री बी० टी० निकुम्ब, द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेलकर, दादर	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
41	1968 का 307	श्री मधुकर चिमाजी, द्वारा एल० एम० नेलकर, अधिवक्ता	—यथोक्त—
42	1968 का 308	श्री बी० एम० कोली, द्वारा एन० एम० नेल्लेकर, अधिवक्ता	—यथोक्त—
43	1968 का 309	श्री जयसिंह राय सिंह और अन्य, द्वारा एल० एम० नेलकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
44	1968 का 310	श्री सीताराम राव और अन्य द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेल्लेकर	—यथोक्त—
45	1968 का 322	श्री राम नारायण भोसाले, रेलवे क्वार्टर्स, बिसापुर जिला अहमदनगर और पांच अन्य	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, भुसावल, जिला जलगांव भुसावल
46	1968 का 335	श्री ए० जोन्स, भुतपूख रखवाल, मध्य रेलवे, पूना	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे वी० टी० 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
47	1968 का 336	श्री गणपत, गेंगमन और अन्य द्वारा एन० पी० मेतई, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
48	1968 का 337	श्रीमति भार्गवबाई दामोदर जाधव, द्वारा एल० एम० नेलकर, अधिवक्ता	—यथोक्त—
49	1968 का 338	श्रीमति शोभा नारायण लोक द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेलकर	—यथोक्त—
50	1968 का 339	श्री एम० डी० वाडिया, द्वारा एस० एम० धरण, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, पश्चिम रेलवे मध्य मुम्बई, मध्य मुम्बई
51	1968 का 537	श्री शंख यूसफलाल, सेवानिवृत्त खलासी मतुंगा कर्मशाला, मध्य रेलवे मुम्बई	1. महा प्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे मुम्बई वी० टी० 2. मुख्य यांत्रिक इंजीनियर जी० एम० एस० कार्यालय मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
52	1968 का 809	श्री नीलकण्ठ केशव पिटकर द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेल्लेकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०

1	2	3	4
53	1969 का 1	श्री एच० डी० भाम्बरे, द्वारा एल० एम० नेल्लेकर	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, बी० टी०
54	1969 का 2	श्री सादुसिंह थावरदास गुरवामी, द्वारा एन० पी० मर्तई, अधिवक्ता	महाप्रबन्धक, पश्चिम रेलवे, चर्चगेट, मुम्बई
55	1969 का 24	श्री जे० डी० चवन, द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, बी० टी०
56	1969 का 25	श्री गोपाल भीवा, एच० एस० फिटर लोकोशैड, पश्चिम रेलवे कर्मचारी वृन्द, टी० सं० 30615, पश्चिम रेलवे, लोवर परेल, मुम्बई	मंडल अधीक्षक (मैकेनिकल 'ई') मुम्बई मध्य रेलवे, पश्चिम रेलवे
57	1969 का 26	श्री बन्धुभाई एम० फिटर मिस्तरी, टी० सं० 305 पश्चिम रेलवे, लोवर परेल, मुम्बई	-यथोक्त-
58	1969 का 27	श्री महादेव मनोहर, फिटर, लोकोशैड, कर्मचारी वृन्द सं० 342 पश्चिम रेलवे, लोवर परेल	-यथोक्त-
59	1969 का 132	श्री तख्त सिंह रत्न सिंह, विद्युत निरीक्षक, 172/174 डा० डी० एन० रोड, चौथी मंजिल, कमरा सं० 29, फोर्ट, मुम्बई-1	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, बी० टी०
60	1969 का 200	श्री रामसवन जवाले	मंडल कार्मिक अधिकारी, पश्चिम रेलवे, मुम्बई मध्य
61	1969 का 213	श्री पी० आर० पेटकर, लिपिक, माल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
62	1969 का 214	श्री एम० डी० चोग्यूल, लिपिक माल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई	-यथोक्त-
63	1969 का 216	श्री एफ० एच० शेलेर और अन्य, द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेल्लेकर, अधिवक्ता	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
64	1969 का 217	श्री एस० एम० देश, द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेल्लेकर अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, बी० टी०
65	1969 का 324	श्री एल० आर० बुर्हादे द्वारा एस० एम० धरण, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, पश्चिम रेलवे, मुम्बई
66	1969 का 325	श्री एस० एन० चित्ता, द्वारा एल० एम० नेल्लेकर, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०

1	2	3	4
67	1969 का 381	श्री प्यारा सिंह और 164 अन्य, द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, बी० टी०
68	1969 का 389	श्री बालभीम राखमजी, 86, बछावर बंगला, रेलवे लाइन्स, शोलापुर-1	1. मंडल अधीक्षक (कर्म-कार) दक्षिण-मध्य रेलवे, शोलापुर-1 2. मंडल कार्मिक अधिकारी
69	1969 का 390	श्री डी० डी० बोर्ठा, वयस्थ, भारतीय निवासी, मुम्बई का निवासी जो ज्येष्ठ लिपिक, के रूप में कार्य कर रहा है, मैसर्स प्रिमियर आटोमोबाइल लिमिटेड, आगरा रोड, मुम्बई-70	कार्यकारी इंजीनियर (संन्तिमार्ण) डाइव पावेल उपान-खण्ड, मध्य रेलवे, दादर
70	1969 का 407	श्री एम० एल० बैनर्जी और अन्य, द्वारा एन० पी० मर्तई, अधिवक्ता	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य, रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी० 2. भंडार नियंत्रक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी० 3. भंडार जिला नियंत्रक (सी० डब्ल्यू० ई०) मध्य रेलवे, मटुना, मुम्बई
71	1969 का 409	श्री प्रेमचन्द राठोड और 57 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
72	1969 का 459	श्री सीता राम गणपत और 256 अन्य द्वारा/एन० पी० मर्तई, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, बी० टी०
73	1969 का 460	श्री आर० डी० गदकारी, करजात, जिला कोलाबा	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
74	1969 का 461	श्री पदमनाम कुरुप आर० द्वारा/ए० बी० मेनन, अधिवक्ता	मंडल कार्मिक अधिकारी, पश्चिम रेलवे, मुम्बई मध्य और महाप्रबन्धक, पश्चिम रेलवे, चर्चगेट, मुम्बई।
75	1969 का 462	श्री साम प्रकाश द्वारा/एल० एम० नेल्लेकर, अधिवक्ता	मुख्य बाणिज्य, अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
76	1969 का 463	श्री सी० जी० देव	—यथोक्त—
77	1969 का 464	श्री आर० नारायण राव	—यथोक्त—
78	1969 का 465	श्री एन० एस० सन्धानम	—यथोक्त—
79	1969 का 466	श्री एन० एन० शर्मा	—यथोक्त—

1	2	3	4
80	1969 का 467	श्री के० एम० मिश्रा	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी० १
81	1969 का 468	श्री चोत्तर सिंह	-यथोक्त-
82	1969 का 469	श्री एम० एल० चौस	-यथोक्त-
83	1969 का 470	श्री एम० जी० गेजवाकर	-यथोक्त-
84	1969 का 471	श्री एल० जे० कालके	-यथोक्त-
85	1969 का 472	श्री जुमल किशोर सिंह	-यथोक्त-
86	1969 का 473	श्री बी० एम० भगत	-यथोक्त-
87	1969 का 474	श्री ए० एस० भूमकर	-यथोक्त-
88	1969 का 475	श्री आ० एम० तिवारी	-यथोक्त-
89	1969 का 476	श्री के० एल० चोपड़ा	-यथोक्त-
90	1969 का 477	श्री डी० एम० पाठक	-यथोक्त-
91	1969 का 478	श्री पी० जी० रानाडे	-यथोक्त-
92	1969 का 479	श्री एम० एस० भांडारे	-यथोक्त-
93	1969 का 480	श्री एम० ए० सुश्रामनियम	-यथोक्त-
94	1969 का 481	श्री एल० बी० ठाकर	-यथोक्त-
95	1969 का 482	श्री डी० एल० महाजन	-यथोक्त-
96	1969 का 483	श्री एस० जी० जोशी	-यथोक्त-
97	1969 का 484	श्री मन्मूलाल द्विवेदी, द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेल्लेकर, अधिवक्ता	-यथोक्त-
98	1969 का 485	श्री टी० टी० हुड्डीनी	-यथोक्त-
99	1969 का 486	श्री पी० एस० चौधरी	-यथोक्त-
100	1969 का 487	श्री एम० एन० रत्नवारखी	-यथोक्त-
101	1969 का 488	श्री डी० पी० कुलकर्णी	-यथोक्त-
102	1969 का 489	श्री बी० जी० वेद्य	-यथोक्त-
103	1969 का 490	श्री एम० एन० चन्द्रचूड	-यथोक्त-
104	1969 का 491	श्री एस० एम० कुन्ते	-यथोक्त-
105	1969 का 492	श्री एम० वी० उन्हाले	-यथोक्त-
106	1969 का 493	श्री एस० एम० ज्योरेकर	-यथोक्त-
107	1969 का 494	श्री एस० पी० शर्मा	-यथोक्त-
108	1969 का 495	श्री आर० आर० तिवारी	-यथोक्त-
109	1969 का 496	श्री आर० एम० नेल्लेकर	-यथोक्त-
110	1969 का 497	श्री एच० सी० श्रीवास्तव	-यथोक्त-
111	1969 का 498	श्री अमृताश्री श्रीवास्तव	-यथोक्त-

1	2	3	4
112	1969 का 499	श्री डी० एन० अधोलिया	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
113	1969 का 500	श्री नारायण दत्त	—यथोक्त—
114	1969 का 501	श्री एसे० पी० खनेकर	—यथोक्त—
115	1969 का 502	श्री सी० वी० वैद्यनाथन	—यथोक्त—
116	1969 का 503	श्री पी० सी० जोशी	—यथोक्त—
117	1969 का 504	श्री टी० के० भास्कर	—यथोक्त—
118	1969 का 505	श्री ड० एच० संधीकवीरी	—यथोक्त—
119	1969 का 506	श्री एफ० एच० शर्कर	—यथोक्त—
120	1969 का 507	श्री विद्याराय	—यथोक्त—
121	1969 का 508	श्री के० एन० गुप्त	—यथोक्त—
122	1969 का 519	श्री यू० जी० पटकी	—यथोक्त—
123	1969 का 510	श्री एस० जगन्नाथन द्वारा श्री एल० एम० नेल्लेकर, अधिवक्ता	—यथोक्त— —यथोक्त—
124	1969 का 511	श्री के० कानन	—यथोक्त—
125	1969 का 512	श्री डी० एस० कश्यप	—यथोक्त—
126	1969 का 513	श्री वी० पी० खलकनीकर	—यथोक्त—
127	1969 का 514	श्री एन० आर० रंगारी	—यथोक्त—
128	1969 का 515	श्री वी० डब्ल्यू० मेकडेल	—यथोक्त—
129	1969 का 516	श्री मदन मोहन ओझा	—यथोक्त—
130	1969 का 517	श्री ए० एस० नेरकर	—यथोक्त—
131	1969 का 518	श्री एस० डी० टोंगवांकर	—यथोक्त—
132	1969 का 519	श्री ह्यामसुन्दर वर्मा	—यथोक्त—
133	1969 का 520	श्री जी० एस० जोशी	—यथोक्त—
134	1969 का 521	श्री पी० जे० लाला	—यथोक्त—
135	1969 का 522	श्री के० एत० एस० पैकर	—यथोक्त—
136	1969 का 523	श्री ए० एच० कुरेशी	—यथोक्त—
137	1969 का 524	श्री रामजीवन	—यथोक्त—
138	1969 का 525	श्री पी० एस० निरनजने	—यथोक्त—
139	1969 का 526	श्री डी० एस० पंच	—यथोक्त—
140	1969 का 527	श्री एम० जी० प्राचन्द	—यथोक्त—
141	1969 का 528	श्री वी० वी० ठाकरे	—यथोक्त—
142	1969 का 529	श्री एम० बी० बेलापुर	—यथोक्त—
143	1969 का 530	श्री एन० जे० धनुरडे	—यथोक्त—
144	1969 का 531	श्री वानवहादुर सिंह	—यथोक्त—

1	2	3	4
145	1969 का 532	श्री एस० एन० खरे, द्वारा/एल० एम० नेल्लेकर, अधिवक्ता	मुख्य वाणिज्य, अधीक्षक मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
146	1969 का 533	श्री पी० टी० ठाकरे	-यथोक्त-
147	1969 का 534	श्री एम० सी० पटेल	-यथोक्त-
148	1969 का 535	श्री एन० के० सक्सेना	-यथोक्त-
149	1969 का 536	श्री वाई० बी० धरपुरे द्वारा/एल० एम० नेल्लेकर, अधिवक्ता	-यथोक्त-
150	1969 का 537	श्री एन० नारायण स्वामी	-यथोक्त-
151	1969 का 538	श्री डी० एल० सप्रु	-यथोक्त-
152	1969 का 539	श्री बी० एस० श्रोते	-यथोक्त-
153	1969 का 540	श्री एस० वाई० दिक्षित	-यथोक्त-
154	1969 का 541	श्री जी० जी० तिवारी	-यथोक्त-
155	1969 का 542	श्री जी० जी० तिवारी	-यथोक्त-
156	1970 का 560	श्री एम० पी० श्री० कुरुप, मंगतराम कालोनी, आगरा रोड, भानुप, मुम्बई-78	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल मध्य रेलवे
157	1970 का 1	श्री रामचंद्र डी० अहीर द्वारा ए० एस० शेख	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे मुम्बई, बी० टी० 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
158	1970 का 8	श्री डी० डी० महाजन द्वारा एल० एम० नेल्लेकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य, अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
159	1970 का 9	श्री डी० डी० महाजन द्वारा/एल० एम० नेल्लेकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य, अधीक्षक, मुम्बई बी० टी०
160	1970 का 11	श्री यशवंत भगोजी द्वारा पी० के० नाम- जोशी, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
161	1970 का 12	श्री यार मोहम्मद इल्ली	-यथोक्त-
162	1970 का 13	श्री नजीब अली अब्दुल	-यथोक्त-
163	1970 का 14	श्री वसन्त बाबन	-यथोक्त-
164	1970 का 15	श्री तात्याराम आनन्दराव	-यथोक्त-
165	1970 का 16	श्री शेख संधूर शेख कादर	-यथोक्त-
166	1970 का 17	श्री साहेबराव भजनबुर	-यथोक्त-
167	1970 का 18	श्री शेख शमसुद्दीन	-यथोक्त-
168	1970 का 19	श्री सीता राम डोंडी राम	-यथोक्त-

1	2	3	4
169	1970 का 20	श्री टी० रंजोज	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
170	1970 का 21	श्री आर० बी० पटीला	—यथोक्त—
171	1970 का 22	श्री नूर मोहम्मद शखूरखां	—यथोक्त—
172	1970 का 23	श्री ए० निजामुद्दीन	—यथोक्त—
173	1970 का 24	श्री नाना नामदेव दांडे	—यथोक्त—
174	1970 का 25	श्री नाना शिवाजी द्वारा पी० के० नाम- जोशी अधिवक्ता	—यथोक्त—
175	1970 का 26	श्री नारायण भुजो	—यथोक्त—
176	1970 का 27	श्री एस० के० महबूब	—यथोक्त—
177	1970 का 28	श्री मंसूरखां	—यथोक्त—
178	1970 का 29	श्री मारुति तुकाराम	—यथोक्त—
179	1970 का 30	श्री एम० वाई० कासिम	—यथोक्त—
180	1970 का 31	श्री एम० एस० मेहमद	—यथोक्त—
181	1970 का 32	श्री एम० एस० कुलकर्णी	—यथोक्त—
182	1970 का 33	श्री जी० पी० दास	—यथोक्त—
183	1970 का 34	श्री दमुराम	—यथोक्त—
184	1970 का 35	श्री देवतादीन पाटन	—यथोक्त—
185	1970 का 36	श्री देवराम आनन्द द्वारा पी० के० नामजोशी, अधिवक्ता	—यथोक्त—
186	1970 का 37	श्री बलराम जोमा	—यथोक्त—
187	1970 का 38	श्री अन्ना श्रीपत	—यथोक्त—
188	1970 का 39	श्री अब्दुल खां	—यथोक्त—
189	1970 का 40	श्री के० पी० बलौम	—यथोक्त—
190	1970 का 54	श्री जी० ओ० गेगर, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई प्रधान लिपिक, नियंत्रण कार्यालय	महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी० मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
191	1970 का 55	श्री डी० बी० गेंगल, अनुभाग नियंत्रण मुम्बई बी० टी०	महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी० मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी० टी०
192	1970 का 87	श्री बी० एस० फिल्ला, द्वारा एल डब्ल्यू नेलेकर, अधिवक्ता ।	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई बी टी०
193	1970 का 88	श्री बी० ए० घुलेकर, क्लेम ट्रेसर, अकोला	—यथोक्त—

1	2	3	4
194	1970 का 89 श्री ए० ए० तिम्बले और अन्य द्वारा एल० एम० नेलेंकर	मण्डार नियंत्रक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
195	1970 का 95 श्री ए० आर० महालिंगम और 5 अन्य द्वारा एल० एम० नेलेंकर	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
196	1970 का 864 श्री एन० डी० शिन्दे और 21 अन्य, द्वारा के० आर० मुंसिफ अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
197	1970 का 865 श्री शंकर चिमना और 22 अन्य द्वारा के० आर० मुंसिफ ।	-यथोक्त-	
198	1970 का 866 श्री राम हरि और 35 अन्य द्वारा के० आर० मुंसिफ, अधिवक्ता	-यथोक्त-	
199	1970 का 938 श्री वाई० बी० पगाडे और 20 अन्य द्वारा के० आर० मुंसिफ, अधिवक्ता ।	-यथोक्त-	
200	1970 का 1489 श्री टी० के० राजन द्वारा लोको फोरमैन, डीजल लोकोमोटिव, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, कुर्ला	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
201	1970 का 1490 श्री एम० महालिंगम	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
202	1970 का 1491 श्री जी० एस० कोनेर	-यथोक्त-	
203	1970 का 1492 श्री मारुति राम	-यथोक्त-	
204	1970 का 1493 श्री बी० एल० कलभकर	-यथोक्त-	
205	1970 का 1494 श्री आर० सी० फाले	-यथोक्त-	
206	1970 का 1495 श्री अर्जुन नारायण	-यथोक्त-	
207	1970 का 1496 श्री टी० के० सोनवाने	-यथोक्त-	
208	1970 का 1997 श्री हरी नारायण	-यथोक्त-	
209	1970 का 1498 श्री आर० एस० मोर	-यथोक्त-	
210	1970 का 1499 श्री गणपत मानाजी	-यथोक्त-	
211	1970 का 1500 श्री वसन्त रघुनाथ	-यथोक्त-	
212	1970 का 1501 श्री वामन चिमन	-यथोक्त-	
213	1970 का 1502 श्री मारुति शिवराम	-यथोक्त-	
214	1970 का 1503 श्री अब्दुल हसन	-यथोक्त-	
215	1970 का 1504 श्री अनन्त जानु	-यथोक्त-	
216	1970 का 1505 श्री कुशल लक्ष्मण	-यथोक्त-	

1	2	3	4
217	1970 का 1506 श्री जी० आर० गोडबोल	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
218	1970 का 1507 श्री प्रभाकर गोविन्द	—यथोक्त—	
219	1970 का 1508 श्री सीताराम बाबू	—यथोक्त—	
220	1970 का 1509 श्री बी० पी० जोशी	—यथोक्त—	
221	1970 का 1510 श्री एल० एस० देहाड़े द्वारा लोको फोरमैन, डीजल लोकोशीड, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, कुर्ली	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
222	1970 का 1511 श्री बाबू दागहू	—यथोक्त—	
223	1970 का 1512 श्री बालु श्रवण	—यथोक्त—	
224	1970 का 1513 श्री के० एम० वाई स्वामी द्वारा लोको फोरमैन, डीजल, लोकोशीड, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, कुर्ली	—यथोक्त—	
225	1970 का 1514 श्री मोहम्मद हुसेन	—यथोक्त—	
226	1970 का 1515 श्री बाबू बसीर	—यथोक्त—	
227	1970 का 1516 श्री एन० आर० मगर	—यथोक्त—	
228	1970 का 1517 श्री एस० डी० गुप्त	—यथोक्त—	
229	1970 का 1518 श्री एम० बी० धापड़े	—यथोक्त—	
230	1970 का 1519 श्री सोमा रघु	—यथोक्त—	
231	1970 का 1520 श्री कचरू सोमा	—यथोक्त—	
232	1970 का 1521 श्री एन० एस० कालस्कर	—यथोक्त—	
233	1970 का 1522 श्री बी० आर० नखल्ले	—यथोक्त—	
234	1970 का 1523 श्री एम० बी० गायकवाड़	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
235	1970 का 1524 श्री एस० डी० नामजोशी	—यथोक्त—	
236	1970 का 1525 श्री यशवन्त नारायण	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
237	1970 का 1526 श्री एफ० रघु	—यथोक्त—	
238	1970 का 1527 श्री बालकृष्ण जिपराग्रो	—यथोक्त—	
239	1970 का 1528 श्री रामेश्वर बुन्दो	—यथोक्त—	
240	1970 का 1529 श्री आर० वी० चुनेकर	—यथोक्त—	
241	1970 का 1530 श्री डी० बी० देवहजाकर	—यथोक्त—	

1	2	3	4
242	1970 का 1531	श्री जी० सुन्दरगुप्त द्वारा लोको फोरमैन, डीजल लोकोमोटिव, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, कुर्ली	मंडल अधीक्षक, मुम्बई मंडल, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
243	1970 का 1532	श्री श्रीपत गणपत	—यथोक्त—
244	1970 का 1533	श्री गोपाल खन्तर	—यथोक्त—
245	1970 का 1534	श्री एम० बी० टेम्बले	—यथोक्त—
246	1970 का 1535	श्री बी० सदाशिव	—यथोक्त—
247	1970 का 1536	श्री सी० गोम्ते	—यथोक्त—
248	1970 का 1537	श्री पी० एल० खरत	—यथोक्त—
249	1970 का 1538	श्री वी० के रणखम्बे और 50 अन्य द्वारा के० आर० मुंसिफ, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
250	1970 का 1539	श्री नामदेव नारायण और 13 अन्य द्वारा के० आर० मुंसिफ, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
251	1970 का 1540	श्री एन० एन० देवधर द्वारा के० एन० मुंसिफ अधिवक्ता	महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
252	1970 का 1541	श्री के० टी० बावस्कर द्वारा एल० एम० नेल्कर	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
253	1970 का 1551	श्री एच० के० वेगन्कर द्वारा एस० एम० धरप,	मंडल अधीक्षक, पश्चिम रेलवे, मुम्बई मध्य
254	1970 का 1628	श्री आर० विक्टर द्वारा एस० आर० अय्यर, अधिवक्ता	1. महाप्रबन्धक, पश्चिम रेलवे, चर्चगेट 2. मंडल कार्मिक अधि-कारी, पश्चिम रेलवे, मुम्बई मध्य, मुम्बई-8
255	1970 का 1631	श्री आर० टी० जम्बोकर द्वारा आर० पी० पाटिल, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
256	1970 का 1632	श्री के० डी० सोहानी, 247, नारायण पेठ, पूना—30	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी० 2. महाप्रबन्धक, दक्षिण मध्य रेलवे, सिन्दराबाद 3. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०

1	2	3	4
257	1970 का 1638 श्री आर० एन० सिंह, भजकुल, मुम्बई 8	1. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी० 2. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, वी० टी०	
258	1970 का 1645 श्री बी० बी० वाघ और 9 अन्य, द्वारा मध्य रेलवे कर्मचारी संघ, 25 हज्राहीम मेंसन, दूसरी मंजिल, मुम्बई-12	महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
259	1970 का 1646 श्री एस० एस० सल्लदाना	—यथोक्त—	
260	1970 का 1647 श्री डी० आर० मोर	—यथोक्त—	
261	1970 का 1648 श्री पी० एम० वृन्दावन	—यथोक्त—	
262	1970 का 1649 श्री जे० के० कलस्ते	—यथोक्त—	
263	1970 का 1850 श्री आर० बी० नारकर	—यथोक्त—	
264	1970 का 1851 श्री एम० वी० वीचारे,	—यथोक्त—	
265	1970 का 1662 श्री आर० एम० मालवकर	—यथोक्त—	
266	1970 का 1663 श्री ए० एस० देशमुख	—यथोक्त—	
267	1970 का 1664 श्री वी० एम० गूजर	—यथोक्त—	
268	1970 का 1640 श्री वी० एस० चन्द्रचन्द द्वारा एल० एम० नेलकर, अधिवक्ता	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
269	1970 का 1641 श्री नारायण दत्त द्वारा एल० एम० नेलकर अधिवक्ता ।	—यथोक्त—	
270	1970 का 1642 श्री वी० आर० अम्बेकर	—यथोक्त—	
271	1970 का 1643 श्री वी० आर० अम्बेकर	—यथोक्त—	
272	1970 का 1657 श्री एम० बी० खेरकर	—यथोक्त—	
273	1970 का 1659 श्री बी० एम० गोगते द्वारा	—यथोक्त—	
274	1970 का 1660 श्री दत्त मल और 33 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
275	1970 का 1661 श्री पी० एम० फराट और 14 अन्य 70-655, गोखले नगर, पूना-16	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी० 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई, वी० टी०	

1	2	3	4
276	1970 का 1662 श्री विठ्ठल भाखुराव और 32 अन्य, द्वारा ए० ए० शेष, पूना	1. महा प्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी० 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
277	1970 का 1663 श्री पी० एम० पाराटे और 27 अन्य, पूना-16	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे मुम्बई वी० टी० 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
278	1970 का 1664 श्री एन० के० थांडायत और 9 अन्य, द्वारा एस० डी० बोरकर अधिवक्ता	महाप्रबन्धक, पश्चिम रेलवे वर्च गेट	
279	1970 का 1665 श्री त्रिगनी	-यथोक्त-	
280	1970 का 1666 श्री अन्तु बनवारी	-यथोक्त	
281	1970 का 1667 श्री भदई सादिल	-यथोक्त-	
282	1970 का 1668 श्री एम० वी० शेनवी	-यथोक्त-	
283	1970 का 1669 श्री दुर्गा प्रसाद रामबाग	-यथोक्त	
284	1970 का 1670 श्री रामदास जगन्नाथ	-यथोक्त-	
285	1970 का 1671 श्री जगदीश रामसिंह	-यथोक्त-	
286	1970 का 1672 श्री यशवन्त आबा	-यथोक्त-	
287	1970 का 1673 श्री बिसेसर पंचम	-यथोक्त-	
288	1970 का 1729 श्री डी० जे० चवन और 39 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
289	1970 का 1730 श्री नारायण सिंह सांसिह और 12 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ	-यथोक्त	
290	1970 का 1731 श्री प्रभाकर रामजी और 54 अन्य द्वारा एस० के० मुंसिफ	-यथोक्त-	
291	1970 का 1736 श्री डी० बी० अभिचन्दानी और 35 अन्य, आर० बी० द्वितीय 48, फ्लैट सं० 7 रेलवे बिल्डिंग सायन अस्पताल के निकट, मुम्बई-22	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी० 2. मुख्य कार्मिक अधि- कारी, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
292	1970 का 2361 श्री हरिश्चन्द्र देसराम, द्वारा एल० एम० नेलकर, अधिवक्ता	मुख्य वाणिज्य अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	
293	1970 का 2369 श्री लक्ष्मण प्रसाद द्वारा आर० पी० पाटिल, अधिवक्ता	मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०	

1	2	3	4
294	1966 का 154	श्री एच० एस० गवगकर, स्थापन सहायक वार्ड निरीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे पूना ।	महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
295	1966 का 155	श्री एच० एस० गवगकर	—यथोक्त—
296	1966 का 156	श्री दत्तात्रेय कृष्ण परंजपा सेवानिवृत्त स्थायीमार्ग निरीक्षक (पी० डब्ल्यू० ग्राई०) मध्य रेलवे, जेजुर	—यथोक्त—
297	1966 का 157	श्री दत्तात्रेय कृष्ण परंजपे	1. महाप्रबन्धक, मध्य रेलवे मुम्बई वी० टी० 2. मंडल अधीक्षक, मध्य रेलवे शोलापुर ।
298	1969 का 412	श्री डी० बी० मायेकर द्वारा पी० आर० नामजोशी, अधिवक्ता, 32, लिंक-मन्य नगर, एल० एच० रोड, महिम मुम्बई-16	श्री आर० टी० साहनी, मुख्य कार्मिक अधिकारी, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
299	1969 का 413	श्री प्रबाह०कर अन्नजी पवार	—यथोक्त—
300	1969 का 414	श्री वी० एन० सालवेकर	—यथोक्त—
301	1969 का 415	श्री जे० एस० पांडे	—यथोक्त—
302	1969 का 416	श्री आर० एन० बैनर्जी	—यथोक्त—
303	1969 का 417	श्री सालवे नारायण गामाजी	—यथोक्त—
304	1969 का 418	श्री चम्पालाल रामसा कुमुद	—यथोक्त—
305	1969 का 419	श्री आर० एन० शर्मा	—यथोक्त—
306	1969 का 420	श्री इंद्र देव आम्बु	—यथोक्त—
307	1969 का 421	श्री ए० के० शुक्ल	—यथोक्त—
308	1969 का 422	श्री जी० आर० सपकाले	—यथोक्त—
309	1969 का 423	श्री एम० एन० कुलकर्णी	—यथोक्त—
310	1969 का 424	श्री एम० एल० हुडानी	—यथोक्त—
311	1969 का 425	श्री ए० जे० रेवल्कर	—यथोक्त—
312	1969 का 426	श्री थोमस जोसेफ	—यथोक्त—
313	1969 का 427	श्री भजन लाल	—यथोक्त—
314	1969 का 428	श्री ईश्वर सिंह कुधसिंह	—यथोक्त—
315	1969 का 429	श्री वी० बी० पांडे	—यथोक्त—
316	1969 का 430	श्री जे० एम० रेकर	—यथोक्त—

1	2	3	4
317	1969 का 431	श्री वाई० आर० काम्बले, द्वारा श्री पी० आर० नामजोशी, अधिवक्ता	श्री आर० टी० साहनी मुख्य कार्मिक अधिकारी, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
318	1969 का 432	श्री तारा लाल हरो प्रसाद	—यथोक्त—
319	1969 का 433	श्री टी० एस० सुन्दरन	—यथोक्त—
320	1969 का 434	श्री गिरसे एस० एल०	—यथोक्त—
321	1969 का 435	श्री ए० एन० नागरकर	—यथोक्त—
322	1969 का 436	श्री आर० एल० सेणवानी	—यथोक्त—
323	1969 का 437	श्री डी० जे० गोम्स	—यथोक्त—
324	1969 का 438	श्री एल० वी० धापड़े	—यथोक्त—
325	1969 का 439	श्री एस० आर० शरोत्रिया	—यथोक्त—
326	1969 का 440	श्री तेजमल हुसैन	—यथोक्त—
327	1969 का 441	श्री ए० के० नहन	—यथोक्त—
328	1969 का 442	श्री बी० वी० अध्यापक	—यथोक्त—
329	1969 का 443	श्री एम० एस० सदनकर	—यथोक्त—
330	1969 का 444	श्री मुन्नालाल सुखलाल	—यथोक्त—
331	1969 का 445	श्री एल० वी० भिडे	—यथोक्त—
332	1969 का 446	श्री बी० वी० धग	—यथोक्त—
333	1969 का 447	श्री के० आर० राव	—यथोक्त—
334	1969 का 448	श्री डी० जी० गोखले	—यथोक्त—
335	1969 का 455	श्री सुरेन्द्र मोहन मेहता द्वारा श्री पी० आर० नामजोशी, अधिवक्ता	मुख्य कार्मिक अधिकारी, मध्य रेलवे, मुम्बई वी० टी०
336	1969 का 456	श्री जे० के० शर्मा	—यथोक्त—

[सं० एल० 12025/9/71—एल० आर० 3(1)]

S.O. 2143.—Whereas the industrial disputes specified in the schedule hereto annexed are pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay.

And whereas a large number of cases are pending with the said Tribunal ;

And whereas, the Central Government desires that the said cases should be disposed of expeditiously;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub section (1) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said disputes pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay and transfers the same to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, and directs that the said Tribunal shall proceed with the said proceedings from the stage at which they are transferred to it and dispose of the same according to law.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and date of reference	Parties
1.	25/9/68-LRIII dated 26-10-1968 (Reference No. 25/68)	Advance Insurance Co. Ltd., and their workmen.
2.	26/3/68-LRIII dated 25-3-69 (Reference No. 2/69)	Ruby General Insurance Co. Ltd., and their workmen.
3.	73/2/70-Fac. II dated 4-2-1970 (Reference No. 1/70) [Published <i>vide</i> S. O. No. 589, dated 14-2-1970].	Bombay Port Trust and their workmen, represented by Bombay Port Trust Employees Union, Bombay.
4.	40/39/70-LRI dated 16-1-71	New India Assurance Co. Ltd., and their workmen.

[No. L. 12025/9/71-LRIII(iii)]

S. S. SAHASRANAMAN, Under Secy.

का० आ० 2143.—यतः इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, मुम्बई के समक्ष लंबित हैं ;

और यतः उक्त अधिकरण के पास बड़ी संख्या में मामले लंबित हैं ;

और यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार चाहती है कि उक्त मामलों को शीघ्रता से निपटाया जाना चाहिए ;

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 की 14) की धारा 33ख की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवादों से संबंधित कार्यवाहियों को, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण मुम्बई के समक्ष लंबित हैं एतद्वारा वापस लेती है और उहें उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7क के अधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर को अन्तर्गत करती है और निवेश देती है कि उक्त अधिकरण उक्त कार्यवाहियों पर उस प्रक्रम से कार्यवाही करेगा जिस पर वे उसे अन्तर्गत की गई हैं और उन्हें विधि के अनुसार नपटाएगा ।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं०	निर्देश की सं० और तारीख	पक्षकार
1.	25/9/68-एल० आर० 3 तारीख 26-10-1968 (निर्देश सं० 25/68)	एडवॉस इंस्योरेंस कंपनी लिमिटेड और उसके कर्मकार
2.	26/3/68-एल० आर० 3 तारीख 25-3-69 (निर्देश सं० 2/69)	रुबी जनरल इंस्योरेंस कंपनी लिमिटेड और उसके कर्मकार
3.	73/2/70-फैक 2 तारीख 4-2-1970 (निर्देश सं० 1/70) (का० आ० सं० 589 तारीख 14-2-70 के अनुसार प्रकाशित)	मुम्बई पत्तन न्यास और उसके कर्मकार जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व मुम्बई पत्तन न्यास एम्पलायीज यूनियन, मुम्बई करती है ।
4.	40/39/70-एल० आर० आई० तारीख 16-1-71	न्यू इंडिया इंस्योरेंस कंपनी लिमिटेड और उसके कर्मकार ।

[सं० एल० 12025/9/71—एल० आर० 3 (III)]

एस० एस० सहस्रनामन, अधर सचिव ।

(Department of Rehabilitation)

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 21st May 1971

S.O. 2144.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), and in partial modification of this Ministry's Notification No. 3(2)/LR/69, dated 10th February 1971 [Published in Part II Section 3(ii)] of the Gazette of India, dated 20th March, 1971, the Central Government hereby appoints Superintendent(s) (Non-Gazetted) in the Rehabilitation Department of the Punjab Government as Managing Officer for the purpose of performing, in addition to his/their own duties as Superintendents (non-Gazetted), all the functions assigned to a Managing Officer by or under the said Act in respect of acquired urban and rural evacuee properties and urban and rural agricultural lands forming part of the compensation Pool transferred to the State Government in a 'Package Deal' or under the Administrative and financial arrangements.

[No. 3(2)/LR/69.]

W. G. PATHAK.

Chief Settlement Commissioner-cum-Jt. Secy.

(पुनर्वास विभाग)

(मुख्य बन्दोबस्त आयुक्त का कार्यालय)

नई दिल्ली, 21 मई, 1971

एस० ओ० 2144.—विस्थापित व्यक्ति (प्रतिकर तथा पुनर्वास) अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या 3(2)/एल०आर०/69 दिनांक 10-2-1971, जो कि भारत के राजपत्र के भाग II खंड 3 (ii) में दिनांक 20-3-1971 को प्रकाशित हुई थी, का आंशिक संशोधन करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार इसके द्वारा पंजाब सरकार के पुनर्वास विभाग में अधीक्षकों (अराजपत्रित) को उनके अपने कार्य के अलावा उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा या उसके अधीन, पेकेज डील या प्रशासनिक और वित्तीय व्यवस्थाओं के अन्तर्गत राज्य सरकार को हस्तान्तरित किए गए मुआवजा भण्डार के अन्तर्गत आने वाली अर्जित शहरी और ग्रामीण निश्क्रान्त सम्पत्तियों और शहरी तथा ग्रामीण कृषि भूमियों, जो मुआवजा पूल का भाग हैं, के बारे में प्रबन्ध अधिकारी को सौंपे गए कार्यों के निष्पादन के लिए, प्रबन्ध अधिकारी के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 3(2)/एल०आर०/69]

वा० ग० पाठक,

मुख्य बन्दोबस्त आयुक्त

एवं संयुक्त सचिव, भारत सरकार।

